

Fast Multiplication of Integers

Example 4, p. 528 (p. 475, 6th ed), shows a method of multiplying 2n bit integers which is more efficient than the standard method shown in Example 10, p. 252 (p.225 6th ed). This is interesting, but somewhat technical and is left to the curiosity of the ambitious reader.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 1

Fast Matrix Multiplication

Example 5, p. 529 (p.476, 6th ed) describes a method for matrix multiplication of a 2n x 2n matrix which works by manipulating the main n x n blocks to improve efficiency. If f(n) is the number of multiplications and additions used, it turns out that $f(n) = 7f(n/2) + 15n^2/4$. We apply this by embedding our matrix in a matrix of size $n = 2^k$ and repeatedly dividing by 2, and estimating the form of our recurrence relation for f.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 2

$f(n) = 7f(n/2) + 15n^2/4$ has the form

$$f(n) = af(n/b) + g(n) \text{ [now apply this formula again]}$$

$$= a^2f(n/b^2) + ag(n/b) + g(n)$$

$$= a^3f(n/b^3) + a^2g(n/b^2) + ag(n/b) + g(n)$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= a^kf(n/b^k) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a^jg(n/b^j) \text{ [now use } n=b^k]$$

$$= a^kf(1) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a^jg(n/b^j)$$

$$= a^kf(1) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a^jg(b^{k-j})$$

It turns out that this type of formula can be used to estimate the big-O growth of f.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 3

Divide-and-Conquer Recurrences

Usually, we do not try to solve such divide-and conquer recurrences, but we use them to derive a **big-O estimate** for the complexity of an algorithm.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 4

Divide & Conquer, first theorem

Theorem 1 (see p 530, p 477, 6th ed). If f is an increasing function satisfying:
 $f(n) = af(n/b) + c$, $b|n$, $b>1$ an integer and $c>0$ real then
 if $a > 1$ then $f(n)$ is $O(n^{\log_b a})$
 if $a = 1$ then $f(n)$ is $O(\log n)$.
 If $n = b^k$, $k > 0$ an integer then
 $f(n) = C_1 n^{\log_b a} + C_2$ (^ means power)
 $C_1 = f(1) + c/(a-1)$, $C_2 = -c/(a-1)$

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 5

Proof: to iterate, assume $n = b^k$. (else bound n above by b^k)

$$f(n) = af(n/b) + c.$$

$$= a(af(n/b^2) + c) + c = a^2f(n/b^2) + ac + c$$

$$= a^3f(n/b^3) + a^2c + ac + c = \dots$$

$$= a^kf(n/b^k) + c\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i = a^kf(1) + c\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^i \text{ (geometric series)}$$

Case 1: $a = 1$: $f(n) = f(1) + ck = f(1) + c\log_b n$
 Case 2: $a > 1$: $f(n) = a^kf(1) + c(a^k - 1)/(a - 1)$
 $= a^k[f(1) + c/(a-1)] - c/(a-1)$ (used geometric series formula)
 $= un^{\log_b a} + v$, since $a^k = a^{\log_b n} = n^{\log_b a}$
 Note: $\log(u^v) = v\log(u)$, so
 $\log(a^{\log(n)}) = \log(n)\log(a) = \log(n^{\log(a)})$.
 So $a^{\log(n)} = n^{\log(a)}$. Here log means \log_b

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 6

Divide-and-Conquer

Example 7 p. 531 (p 478, 6th ed) using Theorem 1:
 For binary search, we have the number of operations $f(n) = f(n/2) + 2$, so $a = 1$, $c = 2$.
 Consequently, by theorem 1, $f(n)$ is $O(\log n)$.
 The binary search algorithm has logarithmic time complexity.

15 Oct 2015CS 3207

Divide-and-Conquer

Theorem 2: (p 532. p 479 6th ed. No proof here)
 Let f be an increasing function that satisfies the recurrence relation
 $f(n) = af(n/b) + cn^d$
 whenever $n = b^k$, where k is a positive integer, a , c , and d are real numbers with $a \geq 1$, and b is an integer greater than 1. Then $f(n)$ is
 $O(n^d)$, if $a < b^d$,
 $O(n^d \log n)$ if $a = b^d$,
 $O(n^{\log_b a})$ if $a > b^d$

15 Oct 2015CS 3208

Divide-and-Conquer

Example 7 p. 531 using Theorem2:
 For binary search, we have the number of operations $f(n) = f(n/2) + 2$, so $a = 1$, $b = 2$, and $d = 0$
 ($d = 0$ because here, $g(n)$ does not depend on n).
 Consequently, $a = b^d$, and therefore, $f(n)$ is $O(n^d \log n) = O(\log n)$.
 The binary search algorithm has logarithmic time complexity.

15 Oct 2015CS 3209

Divide-and-Conquer

Example 11, p532 (p479 6th ed).
 Fast matrix multiplication of $n \times n$ matrices uses $f(n) = 7f(n/2) + 15n^2/4$ additions and multiplications, so by Th. 2, since $7 > 2^2$, ($a > b^d$)
 $f(n)$ is $O(n^{\log_2 7})$, and $\log_2 7 \sim 2.8$.
 The standard method of multiplying matrices is $O(n^3)$.

15 Oct 2015CS 32010

Let's look at ...

Relations

(Chapter 9)

15 Oct 2015CS 32011

Relations

If we want to describe a relationship between elements of two sets A and B , we can use **ordered pairs** with their first element taken from A and their second element taken from B .
 Since this is a relation between **two sets**, it is called a **binary relation**.
Definition: Let A and B be sets. A binary relation from A to B is a subset of $A \times B$.
 In other words, for a binary relation R we have $R \subseteq A \times B$. We use the notation aRb to denote that $(a, b) \in R$ and $a \not R b$ to denote that $(a, b) \notin R$.

15 Oct 2015CS 32012

Relations

When (a, b) belongs to R , a is said to be **related** to b by R .

Example: Let P be a set of people, C be a set of cars, and D be the relation describing which person drives which car(s).

$P = \{\text{Carl, Suzanne, Peter, Carla}\}$,
 $C = \{\text{Mercedes, BMW, tricycle}\}$
 $D = \{(\text{Carl, Mercedes}), (\text{Suzanne, Mercedes}), (\text{Suzanne, BMW}), (\text{Peter, tricycle})\}$

This means that Carl drives a Mercedes, Suzanne drives a Mercedes and a BMW, Peter drives a tricycle, and Carla does not drive any of these vehicles.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 13

Functions as Relations

You might remember that a **function** f from a set A to a set B assigns a unique element of B to each element of A .

The **graph** of f is the set of ordered pairs (a, b) such that $b = f(a)$.

Since the graph of f is a subset of $A \times B$, it is a **relation** from A to B .

Moreover, for each element a of A , there is exactly one ordered pair in the graph that has a as its first element.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 14

Functions as Relations

Conversely, if R is a relation from A to B such that every element in A is the first element of exactly one ordered pair of R , then a function can be defined with R as its graph.

This is done by assigning to an element $a \in A$ the unique element $b \in B$ such that $(a, b) \in R$.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 15

Relations on a Set

Definition: A relation on the set A is a relation from A to A .

In other words, a relation on the set A is a subset of $A \times A$.

Example: Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Which ordered pairs are in the relation $R = \{(a, b) \mid a < b\}$?

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 16

Relations on a Set

Solution: $R = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$

| R | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | X | X | X |
| 2 | | | X | X |
| 3 | | | | X |
| 4 | | | | |

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 17

Relations on a Set

How many different relations can we define on a set A with n elements?

A relation on a set A is a subset of $A \times A$.
 How many elements are in $A \times A$?

There are n^2 elements in $A \times A$, so how many subsets (= relations on A) does $A \times A$ have?

The number of subsets that we can form out of a set with m elements is 2^m . Therefore, 2^{n^2} subsets can be formed out of $A \times A$.

Answer: We can define 2^{n^2} different relations on A .

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 18

Properties of Relations

We will now look at some useful ways to classify relations.

Definition: A relation R on a set A is called **reflexive** if $(a, a) \in R$ for every element $a \in A$.

Are the following relations on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ reflexive?

$R = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 3), (4, 4)\}$ No.
 $R = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 3), (4, 4)\}$ Yes.
 $R = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$ No.

Definition: A relation on a set A is called **irreflexive** if $(a, a) \notin R$ for every element $a \in A$.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 19

Properties of Relations

Definitions:

A relation R on a set A is called **symmetric** if $(b, a) \in R$ whenever $(a, b) \in R$ for all $a, b \in A$.

A relation R on a set A is called **antisymmetric** if $a = b$ whenever $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, a) \in R$.

A relation R on a set A is called **asymmetric** if $(a, b) \in R$ implies that $(b, a) \notin R$ for all $a, b \in A$.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 20

Properties of Relations

Are the following relations on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ symmetric, antisymmetric, or asymmetric?

$R = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (3, 3), (4, 4)\}$ symmetric
 $R = \{(1, 1)\}$ sym. and antisym.

$R = \{(1, 3), (3, 2), (2, 1)\}$ antisym. and asym.

$R = \{(4, 4), (3, 3), (1, 4)\}$ antisym.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 21

Properties of Relations

Definition: A relation R on a set A is called **transitive** if whenever $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$, then $(a, c) \in R$ for $a, b, c \in A$.

Are the following relations on $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ transitive?

$R = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 1), (3, 3)\}$ Yes.
 $R = \{(1, 3), (3, 2), (2, 1)\}$ No.
 $R = \{(2, 4), (4, 3), (2, 3), (4, 1)\}$ No.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 22

Counting Relations

Example: How many different reflexive relations can be defined on a set A containing n elements?

Solution: Relations on R are subsets of $A \times A$, which contains n^2 elements.

Therefore, different relations on A can be generated by choosing different subsets out of these n^2 elements, so there are 2^{n^2} relations.

A **reflexive** relation, however, **must** contain the n elements (a, a) for every $a \in A$.

Consequently, we can only choose among $n^2 - n = n(n - 1)$ elements to generate reflexive relations, so there are $2^{n(n - 1)}$ of them.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 23

Combining Relations

Relations are sets, and therefore, we can apply the usual **set operations** to them.

If we have two relations R_1 and R_2 , and both of them are from a set A to a set B , then we can combine them to $R_1 \cup R_2$, $R_1 \cap R_2$, or $R_1 - R_2$.

In each case, the result will be **another relation from A to B**.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 24

Combining Relations

... and there is another important way to combine relations.

Definition: Let R be a relation from a set A to a set B and S a relation from B to a set C . The **composite** of R and S is the relation consisting of ordered pairs (a, c) , where $a \in A$, $c \in C$, and for which there exists an element $b \in B$ such that $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in S$. We denote the composite of R and S by $S \circ R$.

In other words, if relation R contains a pair (a, b) and relation S contains a pair (b, c) , then $S \circ R$ contains a pair (a, c) .

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 25

Combining Relations

Example: Let D and S be relations on $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

$D = \{(a, b) \mid b = 5 - a\}$ "b equals $(5 - a)$ "
 $S = \{(a, b) \mid a < b\}$ "a is smaller than b"

$D = \{(1, 4), (2, 3), (3, 2), (4, 1)\}$
 $S = \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$
 $S \circ D = \{(2, 4), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4)\}$

D maps an element a to the element $(5 - a)$, and afterwards S maps $(5 - a)$ to all elements larger than $(5 - a)$, resulting in $S \circ D = \{(a, b) \mid b > 5 - a\}$ or $S \circ D = \{(a, b) \mid a + b > 5\}$.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 26

Combining Relations

We already know that **functions** are just **special cases of relations** (namely those that map each element in the domain onto exactly one element in the codomain).

If we formally convert two functions into relations, that is, write them down as sets of ordered pairs, the composite of these relations will be exactly the same as the composite of the functions (as defined earlier).

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 27

Combining Relations

Definition: Let R be a relation on the set A . The powers R^n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, are defined inductively by

$R^1 = R$
 $R^{n+1} = R^n \circ R$

In other words:
 $R^n = R \circ R \circ \dots \circ R$ (n times the letter R)

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 28

Combining Relations

Theorem: The relation R on a set A is transitive if and only if $R^n \subseteq R$ for all positive integers n . Remember the definition of transitivity:

Definition: A relation R on a set A is called transitive if whenever $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$, then $(a, c) \in R$ for $a, b, c \in A$.

The composite of R with itself contains exactly these pairs (a, c) .

Therefore, for a transitive relation R , $R \circ R$ does not contain any pairs that are not in R , so $R \circ R \subseteq R$. Since $R \circ R$ does not introduce any pairs that are not already in R , it must also be true that $(R \circ R) \circ R \subseteq R$, and so on, so that $R^n \subseteq R$.

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 29

Combining Relations

Another Example: Let X and Y be relations on $A = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

$X = \{(a, b) \mid b = a + 1\}$ "b equals a plus 1"
 $Y = \{(a, b) \mid b = 3a\}$ "b equals 3 times a"

$X = \{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4), (4, 5), \dots\}$
 $Y = \{(1, 3), (2, 6), (3, 9), (4, 12), \dots\}$
 $X \circ Y = \{(1, 4), (2, 7), (3, 10), (4, 13), \dots\}$

Y maps an element a to the element $3a$, and afterwards X maps $3a$ to $3a + 1$.

$X \circ Y = \{(a, b) \mid b = 3a + 1\}$

15 Oct 2015 CS 320 30

n-ary Relations

In order to study an interesting application of relations, namely **databases**, we first need to generalize the concept of binary relations to **n-ary relations**.

Definition: Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be sets. An **n-ary relation** on these sets is a subset of $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$. The sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are called the **domains** of the relation, and n is called its **degree**.

15 Oct 2015

CS 320

31

n-ary Relations

Example:

Let $R = \{(a, b, c) \mid a = 2b \wedge b = 2c \text{ with } a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}\}$

What is the degree of R ?

The degree of R is 3, since its elements are triples.

What are its domains?

Its domains are all equal to the set of integers.

Is $(2, 4, 8)$ in R ?

No.

Is $(4, 2, 1)$ in R ?

Yes.

15 Oct 2015

CS 320

32