# Clustering - I

Prof. Dan A. Simovici

UMB

1 Partitions and Equivalence Relations

2 Partitions

An *equivalence relation* on a set S is a relation  $\rho$  that is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

#### This means that

- $(x,x) \in \rho$  for every  $x \in S$ ;
- $(x,y) \in \rho$  if and only if  $(y,x) \in \rho$ ;
- $(x,y) \in \rho$  and  $(y,z) \in \rho$  imply  $(x,z) \in \rho$ .

Let U and V be two sets, and consider a function  $f:U\longrightarrow V$ . The relation  $\ker(f)\subseteq U\times U$ , called the  $\ker(f)$  is given by

$$\ker(f) = \{(u, u') \in U \times U \mid f(u) = f(u')\}.$$

In other words,  $(u, u') \in \ker(f)$  if f maps both u and u' into the same element of V.

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be a positive natural number. Define the function  $f_m : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $f_m(n) = r$  if r is the remainder of the division of n by m. The range of the function  $f_m$  is the set  $\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ .

The relation  $\ker(f_m)$  is usually denoted by  $\equiv_m$ . We have  $(p,q) \in \equiv_m$  if and only if p-q is divisible by m; if  $(p,q) \in \equiv_m$ , we also write  $p \equiv q \pmod{m}$ .

Let  $\rho$  be an equivalence on a set U and let  $u \in U$ . The *equivalence class* of u is the set  $[u]_{\rho}$ , given by

$$[u]_{\rho} = \{ y \in U \mid (u, y) \in \rho \}.$$

When there is no risk of confusion, we write simply [u] instead of  $[u]_{\rho}$ .

Note that an equivalence class [u] of an element u is never empty since  $u \in [u]$  because of the reflexivity of  $\rho$ .

#### **Theorem**

Let  $\rho$  be an equivalence on a set U and let  $u, v \in U$ . The following three statements are equivalent:

- $(u,v) \in \rho;$
- [u] = [v];

Let S be a set and let  $\rho \in EQ(S)$ . A subset U of S is  $\rho$ -saturated if it equals a union of equivalence classes of  $\rho$ .

It is easy to see that U is a  $\rho$ -saturated set if and only if  $x \in U$  and  $(x,y) \in \rho$  imply  $y \in U$ . It is clear that both  $\emptyset$  and S are  $\rho$ -saturated sets.

Let S be a nonempty set. A partition of S is a nonempty collection  $\pi = \{B_i \mid i \in I\}$  of nonempty subsets of S, such that  $\{J_i \mid i \in I\} = S$ , and  $B_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$  for every  $i, j \in I$  such that  $i \neq j$ . Each set  $B_i$  of  $\pi$  is a block of the partition  $\pi$ .

The set of partitions of a set S is denoted by PART(S). The partition of S that consists of all singletons of the form  $\{s\}$  with  $s \in S$  will be denoted

by  $\alpha_S$ ; the partition that consists of the set S itself will be denoted by  $\omega_S$ .

For the two-element set  $S = \{a, b\}$ , there are two partitions: the partition  $\alpha_S = \{\{a\}, \{b\}\}\}$  and the partition  $\omega_S = \{\{a, b\}\}\}$ .

For the one-element set  $T = \{c\}$ , there exists only one partition,

 $\alpha_T = \omega_T = \{\{t\}\}.$ 

A complete list of partitions of a set  $S = \{a, b, c\}$  consists of

$$\begin{array}{rclrcl} \pi_0 & = & \{\{a\},\{b\},\{c\}\}, & \pi_1 & = & \{\{a,b\},\{c\}\}, \\ \pi_2 & = & \{\{a\},\{b,c\}\}, & \pi_3 & = & \{\{a,c\},\{b\}\}, \\ \pi_4 & = & \{\{a,b,c\}\}. \end{array}$$

Clearly, 
$$\pi_0 = \alpha_S$$
 and  $\pi_4 = \omega_S$ .

Let S be a set and let  $\pi, \sigma \in \mathsf{PART}(S)$ . The partition  $\pi$  is *finer* than the partition  $\sigma$  if every block C of  $\sigma$  is a union of blocks of  $\pi$ . This is denoted by  $\pi \leqslant \sigma$ .

#### **Theorem**

Let  $\pi = \{B_i \mid i \in I\}$  and  $\sigma = \{C_j \mid j \in J\}$  be two partitions of a set S. For  $\pi, \sigma \in PART(S)$ , we have  $\pi \leqslant \sigma$  if and only if for every block  $B_i \in \pi$  there exists a block  $C_i \in \sigma$  such that  $B_i \subseteq C_i$ .

# Proof

If  $\pi \leqslant \sigma$ , then it is clear for every block  $B_i \in \pi$  there exists a block  $C_j \in \sigma$  such that  $B_i \subseteq C_j$ .

Conversely, suppose that for every block  $B_i \in \pi$  there exists a block  $C_j \in \sigma$  such that  $B_i \subseteq C_j$ . Since two distinct blocks of  $\sigma$  are disjoint, it follows that for any block  $B_i$  of  $\pi$ , the block  $C_j$  of  $\sigma$  that contains  $B_i$  is unique. Therefore, if a block B of  $\pi$  intersects a block C of  $\sigma$ , then  $B \subseteq C$ . Let  $Q = \bigcup \{B_i \in \pi \mid B_i \subseteq C_j\}$ . Clearly,  $Q \subseteq C_j$ . Suppose that there exists  $x \in C_j - Q$ . Then, there is a block  $B_\ell \in \pi$  such that  $x \in B_\ell \cap C_j$ , which implies that  $B_\ell \subseteq C_j$ . This means that  $x \in B_\ell \subseteq C$ , which contradicts the assumption we made about x. Consequently,  $C_j = Q$ , which concludes the argument.

Note that  $\alpha_S \leqslant \pi \leqslant \omega_S$  for every  $\pi \in PART(S)$ .

Two equivalence classes either coincide or are disjoint. Therefore, starting from an equivalence  $\rho \in EQ(U)$ , we can build a partition of the set U.

## Definition

The *quotient set* of the set U with respect to the equivalence  $\rho$  is the partition  $U/\rho$ , where

$$U/\rho = \{[u]_\rho \mid u \in U\}.$$

An alternative notation for the partition  $U/\rho$  is  $\pi_{\rho}$ .

## **Theorem**

Let  $\pi = \{B_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a partition of the set U. Define the relation  $\rho_{\pi}$  by  $(x,y) \in \rho_{\pi}$  if there is a set  $B_i \in \pi$  such that  $\{x,y\} \subseteq B_i$ . The relation  $\rho_{\pi}$  is an equivalence.

## **Proof**

Let  $B_i$  be the block of the partition that contains u. Since  $\{u\} \subseteq B_i$ , we have  $(u,u) \in \rho_{\pi}$  for any  $u \in U$ , which shows that  $\rho_{\pi}$  is reflexive. The relation  $\rho_{\pi}$  is clearly symmetric. To prove the transitivity of  $\rho_{\pi}$ , consider  $(u,v),(v,w) \in \rho_{\pi}$ . We have the blocks  $B_i$  and  $B_j$  such that  $\{u,v\} \subseteq B_i$  and  $\{v,w\} \subseteq B_j$ . Since  $v \in B_i \cap B_j$ , we obtain  $B_i = B_j$  by the definition of partitions; hence,  $(u,w) \in \rho_{\pi}$ .