

Chapter 3 in the textbook























Cor	nplexity
What	is the time complexity of the linear search algorithm?
We w numb	rill determine the worst-case number of comparisons as a function of the per n of terms in the sequence.
The w not ir	vorst case for the linear algorithm occurs when the element to be located is included in the sequence.
In tha	at case, every item in the sequence is compared to the element to be located.
13	Applied Discrete Mathematics @ Class #4: Algorithms, Recursion











Complexity

Then, the comparison

while (i < j)

exits the loop, and a final comparison

if $x = a_i$ *then location* := i

determines whether the element was found.

Therefore, the overall time complexity of the binary search algorithm is: $2k + 2 = 2 \lceil \log n \rceil + 2.$

Applied Discrete Mathematics @ Class #4: Algorithms, Recursion

19

19

Complexity
In general, we are not so much interested in the time and space complexity for small inputs.
For example, while the difference in time complexity between linear and binary search is meaningless for a sequence with n = 10, it is gigantic for n = 230.
For example, let us assume two algorithms A and B that solve the same class of problems.
The time complexity of A is 5,000n, the one for B is [1.1ⁿ] for an input with n elements.

	Input Size	Algorithm A	Algorithm B	
	n	5,000n	$\boxed{1.1^n}$]
	10	50,000	3]
	100	500,000	13,781]
	1,000	5,000,000	$2.5 \cdot 10^{41}$]
	1,000,000	5 · 10 ⁹	4.8 · 1041392	
This meai feasible.	ns that algorithm B cannot	be used for large inputs, w	vhile running algorithm A is	s still

















Useful Rules for Big-O

For any **polynomial** $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$, where a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are real numbers, f(x) is $O(x^n)$

If $f_1(x)$ is $\boldsymbol{O}(g(x))$ and $f_2(x)$ is $\boldsymbol{O}(g(x))$, then $(f_1 + f_2)(x)$ is $\boldsymbol{O}(g(x))$.

If $f_1(x)$ is $O(g_1(x))$ and $f_2(x)$ is $O(g_2(x))$, then $(f_1 + f_2)(x)$ is $O(\max(g_1(x), g_2(x)))$

If $f_1(x)$ is $O(g_1(x))$ and $f_2(x)$ is $O(g_2(x))$, then $(f_1f_2)(x)$ is $O(g_1(x) g_2(x))$.

30

Applied Discrete Mathematics @ Class #4: Algorithms, Recursion





















Induction Another Example ("Gauss"): $1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ Let P(n) is proposition $1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ 1. Show that P(0) is true. (basis step) For n=0, we get 0=0. True 2. Show that if P(n) then P(n+1) for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (inductive step) $1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ $1 + 2 + \dots + n + (n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1)$ $= (n+1)(\frac{n}{2}+1)$ $= (n+1)\frac{(n+2)}{2} = (n+1)\frac{((n+1)+1)}{2}$ 3. Therefore P(n) must be true for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (conclusion)

Applied Discrete Mathematics @ Class #5: Induction, Integer properties, Counting

39























 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Figure States and the formula of the formul$























<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header>







Primes
$$5 = 3.5$$
 $4 = 2.2.2.2.3 = 2^4.3$ $7 = 17$ $10 = 2.2.5.5 = 2^2.5^2$ $51 = 2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2 = 2^9$ $51 = 5.103$ $2 = 2.2.7 = 2^2.7$

Primes

If n is a composite integer, then n has a prime divisor less than or equal \sqrt{n} .

This is easy to see: if n is a composite integer, it must have two divisors p_1 and p_2 such that $p_1 \cdot p_2 = n$ and $p_1 \ge 2$ and $p_2 \ge 2$.

 p_1 and p_2 cannot both be greater than \sqrt{n} , because then $p_1 \cdot p_2$ would be greater than n.

Applied Discrete Mathematics @ Class #5: Induction, Integer properties, Counting

If the smaller number of p_1 and p_2 is not a prime itself, then it can be broken up into prime factors that are smaller than itself but ≥ 2 .

65



