# Learning via Uniform Convergence

### Prof. Dan A. Simovici

UMB

Outline



### 2 Finite Classes are Agostically PAC-learnable

## Reminder

For agnostic learning the generalization error is:

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) = \mathcal{D}(\{(x, y) \mid h(x) \neq y\}).$$

The empirical risk is:

$$L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) = \frac{|\{i \mid h(x_i) \neq y_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m\}|}{m}$$

< □ > < @ > < 클 > < 클 > 트 ∽ Q ↔ 3/17

.

#### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hypothesis class, and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a distribution. A training set S is  $\epsilon$ -representative with respect to the above elements, if the absolute value of the difference between the empirical risk and the generalization error is less than  $\epsilon$ ,

$$|L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| \leq \epsilon.$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Equivalently,

$$L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - \epsilon \leqslant L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) \leqslant L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) + \epsilon.$$

### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a class of hypotheses. A ERM predictor for  $\mathcal{H}$  is a hypothesis g such that its empirical risk  $L_S(g)$  is minimal, that is,  $L_S(g) \leq L_S(h)$  for every sample S and hypothesis  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

The next lemma stipulates that when the sample is  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -representative, the ERM learning rule applied to a sample S is guaranteed to return a good hypothesis  $h_S$ .

#### Lemma

Assume that a training set S is  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -representative, that is,  $|L_S(h) - L_D(h)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then, any  $h_S$  that minimizes the empirical risk

 $h_S \in argmin_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_S(h)$ 

satisfies

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_{\mathcal{S}}) \leq \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) + \epsilon.$$

# Proof

For every  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  we have  $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) \leqslant L_S(h_S) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ (by the  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -representativeness of S to  $h_S$ )  $\leq L_S(h) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ (because  $h_S$  is an ERM predictor, hence  $L_S(h_S) \leq L_S(h)$ )  $\leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ (because S is  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -representative, so  $L_S(h) \leq L_D(h) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ )  $\leq L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) + \epsilon.$ 

Thus, to ensure that the ERM rule is an agnostic PAC learner, it suffices to show that with probability of at least  $1 - \delta$  over the random choice of a training set, it will be an  $\epsilon$ -representative training set.

# Generalized Loss Functions

### Definition

Given a hypothesis set  $\mathcal{H}$  and some domain Z let  $\ell : \mathcal{H} \times Z \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a *loss function*. The risk function is the expected loss of a classifier  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  given by

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) = E_{z \sim D}[\ell(h, z)].$$

The empirical risk for  $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$  is

$$L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell(h, s_i).$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q () 8/17

### Definition

A hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  has the uniform convergence property (relative to a domain Z and a loss function  $\ell$ ) if there exists a function  $m^{UC} : (0,1)^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  (the same for all hypotheses in  $\mathcal{H}$  and all probability distributions  $\mathcal{D}$ ) such that for every  $\epsilon, \delta \in (0,1)$  if S is a sample of size m, where  $m \ge m^{UC}(\epsilon, \delta)$ , then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , S is  $\epsilon$ -representative.

The term *uniform* refers to the fact that  $m^{UC}(\epsilon, \delta)$  is the same for all hypotheses in  $\mathcal{H}$  and all probability distributions  $\mathcal{D}$ .

# **REMINDER: Agnostic PAC Learning**

- The realizability assumption (the existence of a hypothesis h<sup>\*</sup> ∈ H such that P<sub>x∼D</sub>(h<sup>\*</sup>(x) = f(x)) = 1) is not realistic in many cases.
- Agnostic learning replaces the realizability assumption and the targeted labeling function *f*, with a distribution *D* defined on pairs (data, labels), that is, with a distribution *D* on *X* × *Y*.
- Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is defined over  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ , the the generalization error is

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) = \mathcal{D}(\{(x, y) \mid h(x) \neq y\}).$$

#### Theorem

If a class  $\mathcal{H}$  has the uniform convergence property with a function  $m^{UC}$ , then the class  $\mathcal{H}$  is agnostically PAC learnable with the sample complexity

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(\epsilon,\delta) \leqslant m^{UC}(\epsilon/2,\delta).$$

Furthermore, in this case, the  $ERM_{\mathcal{H}}$  paradigm is a successful agnostic learner for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

# Proof

Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  has the uniform convergence property with a function  $m^{\text{UC}}$ .

For every  $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$  if S is a sample of size m, where  $m \ge m^{\text{UC}}(\epsilon/2, \delta)$ , then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , S is  $\epsilon/2$ -representative, which means that for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  we have:

 $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) \leq L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) + \epsilon/2.$ 

By the definition of  $h_S$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(h_{\mathcal{S}}) &\leq \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(h) + \epsilon/2 \\ &\leq \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(h) + \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\mathcal{H}$  is agnostically PAC-learnable with  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(\epsilon, \delta) = m^{\text{UC}}(\epsilon/2, \delta).$ 

Finite Classes are Agostically PAC-learnable

#### Theorem

Uniform convergence holds for a finite hypothesis class.

**Proof:** Fix  $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$ .

• We need a sample  $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$  of size *m* that guarantees that for any  $\mathcal{D}$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$  we have that

$$|L_S(h) - L_D(h)| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  (that is, S is a representative sample).

Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{D}^m(\{S \mid \exists h \in \mathcal{H}, |L_S(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > \epsilon\}) < \delta.$$

Note that:

$$\{S \mid \exists h \in \mathcal{H}, |L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > \epsilon\} = \bigcup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \{S \mid |L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > \epsilon\}$$

Finite Classes are Agostically PAC-learnable

### This implies

$$\mathcal{D}^{m}(\{S \mid \exists h \in \mathcal{H}, |L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > \epsilon\}) \\ = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{D}^{m}(\{S \mid |L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > \epsilon\}).$$

Next phase: each term of the right side of previous inequality  $\sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{D}^m(\{S \mid |L_S(h) - L_D(h)| > \epsilon\})$  is small enough (for large *m*).

• Let  $\theta_i$  be the random variable  $\theta_i = \ell(h, s_i)$ . Since *h* is fixed and and  $s_1, \ldots, s_m$  are iid random variables, it follows that  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m$  are also iid random variables.

• 
$$E(\theta_1) = \cdots = E(\theta_m) = \mu.$$

- Range of  $\ell$  is [0, 1] and therefore, the range of  $\theta_i$  is [0, 1].
- Each term  $\mathcal{D}^m(\{S \mid |L_S(h) L_D(h)| > \epsilon\})$  is small enough for large m.

We have:

$$L_{\mathcal{S}}(h) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_i \text{ and } L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) = \mu.$$

Learning via Uniform Convergence

Finite Classes are Agostically PAC-learnable

By Hoeffding's Inequality,

$$\mathcal{D}^{m}(\{S \mid |L_{S}(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > \epsilon\})$$

$$= P\left(\left|\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\theta_{i} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right)$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} 2e^{-2m\epsilon^{2}}$$

$$\leqslant 2|\mathcal{H}|e^{-2m\epsilon^{2}}.$$

To have  $\mathcal{D}^m(\{S \mid |L_S(h) - L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)| > \epsilon\}) \leq \delta$  we need  $2|\mathcal{H}|e^{-2m\epsilon^2} \leq \delta$ , which is equivalent to

$$m \geqslant \frac{\log(2|\mathcal{H}|/\delta)}{2\epsilon^2}.$$

Learning via Uniform Convergence

Finite Classes are Agostically PAC-learnable

# A Corollary

Recall that the ERM algorithm returns a hypothesis h such that for which  $L_S(h)$  is minimal.

### Corollary

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite hypothesis class, let Z be a domain, and  $\ell : \mathcal{H} \times Z \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  be a loss function. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  enjoys the uniform convergence property with sample complexity

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}^{UC}(\epsilon,\delta) = \left\lceil rac{\log rac{2|\mathcal{H}|}{\delta}}{2\epsilon^2} 
ight
ceil$$

Furthermore, the class is agnostically PAC learnable using the ERM algorithm with sample complexity;

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(\epsilon,\delta) \leqslant m_{\mathcal{H}}^{UC}(\epsilon/2,\delta) \leqslant \left[\frac{2\log \frac{2|\mathcal{H}|}{\delta}}{\epsilon^2}\right].$$