

# Finite Automata and Regular Languages (Preliminaries)

Prof. Dan A. Simovici

UMB



1 Equivalences

2 Partitions



# Definition of Equivalences

## Definition

An **equivalence** on a set  $S$  is a relation  $\rho \subseteq S \times S$  that satisfies the following conditions:

- **Reflexivity:**  $(x, x) \in \rho$  for every  $x \in S$ ;
- **Symmetry:**  $(x, y) \in \rho$  if and only if  $(y, x) \in \rho$ ;
- **Transitivity:** if  $(x, y) \in \rho$  and  $(y, z) \in \rho$  then  $(x, z) \in \rho$ .



## Example

Define the relation  $\rho_m$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  as

$$\rho_m = \{(p, q) \mid p, q \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p - q = km \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

- $(p, p) \in \rho_m$  because  $p - p = 0 \cdot m$ , so  $\rho_m$  is reflexive;
- if  $(p, q) \in \rho_m$ , then  $p - q = km$ , hence  $q - p = (-k)m$ , which means that  $(q, p) \in \rho_m$ ;
- if  $(p, q) \in \rho_m$  and  $(q, r) \in \rho_m$  then  $p - q = km$  and  $q - r = hm$ ; therefore,  $p - r = p - q + q - r = (k + h)m$ , so  $(p, r) \in \rho_m$ , hence  $\rho_m$  is transitive.

Thus,  $\rho_m$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N}$ .



### Example

Let  $L$  be the set of lines in a plane  $\Pi$ . Define  $\ell \parallel \ell'$  if  $\ell$  is parallel to  $\ell'$ .

- we have  $\ell \parallel \ell$ , so  $\parallel$  is reflexive;
- if  $\ell \parallel \ell'$ , then  $\ell' \parallel \ell$  hence  $\parallel$  is symmetric;
- if  $\ell \parallel \ell'$  and  $\ell' \parallel \ell''$ , then  $\ell \parallel \ell''$ , so parallel is transitive.

Thus, " $\parallel$ " is an equivalence relation on the set  $L$ .



## Definition

Let  $S$  be a set and let  $\rho$  be an equivalence on  $S$ .

The  $\rho$ -equivalence class of an element  $x$  of  $S$  is the set  $[x]$  defined by

$$[x] = \{z \in S \mid (x, z) \in \rho\}.$$

The quotient set  $S/\rho$  is the set of all equivalence classes defined by  $\rho$  on the set  $S$ .



Note that:

- we have  $x \in [x]$  because  $(x, x) \in \rho$ , so none of the equivalence classes is empty;
- if  $y \in [x]$ , then  $x \in [y]$  because  $\rho$  is symmetric; thus, in this case,  $[y] = [x]$ .
- if two equivalence classes are distinct, they are disjoint.

Suppose that  $[y] \neq [x]$  and there exists  $t \in [x] \cap [y]$ . Then  $(x, t) \in \rho$  and  $(y, t) \in \rho$ , which means that  $(t, y) \in \rho$ . By transitivity  $(x, y) \in \rho$ , which implies  $[x] = [y]$ , contradicting the initial assumption.



## Definition

Let  $S$  be a non-empty set. A **partition** on  $S$  is a collection of sets  $\pi = \{B_i \mid B_i \subseteq S \text{ for } i \in I\}$  such that

- $B_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ ;
- $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  for  $i, j \in I$  and  $i \neq j$ ;
- $\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i = S$ .



### Example

If  $\rho$  is an equivalence on a set  $S$ , its set of classes

$$\{[x] \mid x \in S\}$$

is a partition of the set  $S$ . This shows how we can “move” from equivalences to partitions.



Conversely, if  $\pi = \{B_i \mid B_i \subseteq S \text{ for } i \in I\}$  is a partition of  $S$ , an equivalence  $\rho_\pi$  is defined by

$(x, y) \in \rho_\pi$  if and only if there is a block  $B$  of  $\pi$  such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq B$ .



## Definition

Let  $\pi$  be a partition of a set  $S$ . A subset  $U$  of  $S$  is  $\pi$ -saturated if it equals the union of a collection of blocks of  $\pi$ .



### Example

Let  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$  be a set and let

$$\pi = \{\{1, 2, 7\}, \{4, 6\}, \{3, 5, 8, 9\}\}.$$

The set  $S$  has  $2^9 = 512$  subsets. The following 8 subsets of  $S$  are  $\pi$ -saturated:

$\emptyset$

$\{1, 2, 7\}, \{4, 6\}, \{3, 5, 8, 9\}$

$\{1, 2, 7, 4, 6\}, \{1, 2, 7, 3, 5, 8, 9\}, \{4, 6, 3, 5, 8, 9\}$

$\{1, 7, 4, 6, 3, 5, 8, 9\}.$