

CS724: Topics in Algorithms

Matrices

Slide Set 3

Prof. Dan A. Simovici



- 1 Matrices with Real and Complex Elements
- 2 Complex Matrices
- 3 Partitioned Matrices and Matrix Operations
- 4 Invertible matrices
- 5 Change of Bases
- 6 Matrices and Bilinear Forms
- 7 Matrices and Linear Transformations
- 8 The Notion of Rank
- 9 Matrix Similarity and Congruence
- 10 The Kronecker and Hadamard products
- 11 Outer Products



The $(n \times n)$ -*unit matrix* on the field \mathbb{F} is the square matrix $I_n \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ given by

$$I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The $(m \times n)$ -*zero matrix* is the $(m \times n)$ -matrix $O_{m,n} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ given by

$$O_{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$



The $(m \times n)$ -*complete matrix* is the $(m \times n)$ -matrix $J_{m,n}$ given by

$$J_{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The one-column matrix $O_{m,1}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{0}_m$. Similarly, the one column matrix having m rows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is denoted by $\mathbf{1}_m$. The subscripts are omitted whenever there is no ambiguity.



Definition

A **diagonal matrix** is a matrix $D \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ such that $i \neq j$ implies $d_{ij} = 0$. If $p \leq \min\{m, n\}$, then we denote the diagonal matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

by $\text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_p)$.



Definition

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ be two matrices in $\mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$.

The **sum** of the matrices A and B is the matrix $A + B$ having the same format and defined by

$$(A + B)(i, j) = a_{ij} + b_{ij}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.



It is easy to verify that the matrix sum is an associative and commutative operation on $\mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$; that is,

$$\begin{aligned}A + (B + C) &= (A + B) + C, \\A + B &= B + A,\end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$.

The zero matrix $O_{m,n}$ acts as an additive unit on the set $S^{m \times n}$; that is,

$$A + O_{m,n} = O_{m,n} + A,$$

for every $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$.

The additive inverse, or the *opposite of a matrix* $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$, is the matrix $-A$ given by $(-A)(i, j) = -a_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.



Example

The opposite of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 3}$, given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the matrix

$$-A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is immediate that $A + (-A) = O_{2,3}$.



Definition

Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times p}$ be two matrices. The *product* of the matrices A, B is the matrix $C \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times p}$ defined by

$$C(i, k) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} b_{jk},$$

where $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq p$. The product of the matrices A, B is denoted by AB .

Matrix multiplication of A and B is possible only if number of columns of A is equal to the number of rows of the second matrix B . Any pair of matrices (A, B) that satisfies this condition is said to be *conformant*.



Theorem

Matrix multiplication is associative, that is, $A(BC) = (AB)C$.

Theorem

If $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$, then $I_m A = A I_n = A$.



The product of matrices is not commutative. Indeed, consider the matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 \times 2}$ defined by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } BA = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so $AB \neq BA$.



Definition

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is *upper triangular* if $j < i$ implies $a_{ij} = 0$ and is *strictly upper triangular* if $j \leq i$ implies $a_{ij} = 0$.

A is *lower triangular* (*strictly lower triangular*) if A' is upper triangular (*strictly upper triangular*).



Example

The matrix $L \in \mathbb{Z}^{4 \times 4}$ given by

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -7 & 6 & 1 & -6 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a lower triangular matrix. The matrix $U \in \mathbb{Z}^{4 \times 4}$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an upper triangular matrix.



It is interesting to compute two matrix products that can be formed starting from the columns \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} given by

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^{1 \times 1}$, that is,

$$\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{v} = u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + \cdots + u_nv_n = \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{u}.$$

This product is known as the *inner product* of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} .



Let \mathbb{C} be the field of complex numbers. A *complex* matrix is a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$.

Definition

The conjugate of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is the matrix $\bar{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, where $A(i, j) = \overline{\bar{A}(i, j)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

The notion of symmetry is extended to accommodate complex matrices.

Definition

The *transpose conjugate* of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ or its *Hermitian adjoint* is the matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ given by $B = \bar{A}' = \overline{(A')}$.

The transpose conjugate of A is denoted by A^H .



Example

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 2}$ be the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 2 \\ 2-i & i \\ 0 & 1-2i \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix A^H is given by

$$A^H = \begin{pmatrix} 1-i & 2+i & 0 \\ 2 & -i & 1+2i \end{pmatrix}.$$



Using Hermitian conjugates several important classes of matrices are defined.

Definition

The matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is:

- *Hermitian* if $A = A^H$;
- *skew-Hermitian* if $A^H = -A$;
- *normal* if $AA^H = A^H A$;
- *unitary* if $AA^H = A^H A = I_n$.



Example

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and θ be five real numbers such that $\alpha - \beta - \gamma + \delta$ is a multiple of 2π . The matrix

$$M_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} \cos \theta & -e^{i\beta} \sin \theta \\ e^{i\gamma} \sin \theta & e^{i\delta} \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

is unitary because

$$\begin{aligned} & M_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}(\theta)^H M_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta}(\theta) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\alpha} \cos \theta & e^{-i\gamma} \sin \theta \\ -e^{-i\beta} \sin \theta & e^{-i\delta} \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} \cos \theta & -e^{i\beta} \sin \theta \\ e^{i\gamma} \sin \theta & e^{i\delta} \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$



The following properties of unitary matrices can be easily verified:

- all unitary matrices are normal;
- a matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is unitary if and only if A^H is unitary;
- the product of two unitary matrices is an unitary matrix.



We verify only the last property. Suppose that $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are unitary matrices, that is, $AA^H = BB^H = I_n$. Then

$(AB)(AB)^H = ABB^HA^H = AA^H = I_n$, hence AB is unitary.

If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a real matrix and A is unitary we refer to A as an *orthogonal matrix* or an *orthonormal matrix*.



If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a matrix with real entries, then its Hermitian adjoint coincides with the transposed matrix A' . Thus, a real matrix is Hermitian if and only if it is symmetric.

Observe that if $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\mathbf{z}^H \mathbf{z} = \bar{z}_1 z_1 + \cdots + \bar{z}_n z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2$.



Theorem

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The following statements hold:

- the matrices $A + A^H$, AA^H and $A^H A$ are Hermitian and $A - A^H$ is skew-Hermitian;
- if A is a Hermitian matrix, then so is A^k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- if A is Hermitian and invertible, then so is A^{-1} ;
- if A is Hermitian, then a_{ii} are real numbers for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof.

All statements follow directly from the definition of Hermitian matrices. □



Theorem

If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ there exists a unique pair of Hermitian matrices (H_1, H_2) such that $A = H_1 + iH_2$.

Proof.

Let

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^H) \text{ and } H_2 = -\frac{i}{2}(A - A^H).$$

It is immediate that both H_1 and H_2 are Hermitian and that $H_1 + iH_2 = A$. Suppose that $A = H_3 + iH_4$, where H_3 and H_4 are Hermitian. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2H_1 &= A + A^H = H_3 + iH_4 + H_3^H - iH_4^H \\ &= 2H_3, \end{aligned}$$

so $H_1 = H_3$. Therefore $H_2 = H_4$, so the matrices H_1 and H_2 are uniquely determined. □

Theorem

If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ there exists a unique pair of matrices (H, S) such that H is Hermitian, S is skew-Hermitian and $A = H + S$.

Proof.

A can be written as $A = H_1 + iH_2$, where H_1 and H_2 are Hermitian matrices. Choose $H = H_1$ and $S = iH_2$. S is skew-Hermitian. The uniqueness of the pair (H, S) is immediate. □



Next, we discuss a characterization of Hermitian matrices.

Theorem

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is Hermitian if and only if $\mathbf{x}^H A \mathbf{x}$ is a real number for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof: Suppose that A is Hermitian. Then,

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}^H A \mathbf{x}} = \overline{\mathbf{x}^H A^H \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}' A' \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}' A' (\mathbf{x}^H)' = \mathbf{x}^H A \mathbf{x},$$

so $\mathbf{x}^H A \mathbf{x}$ is a real number because it is equal to its conjugate.



Proof cont'd:

Conversely, suppose that $\mathbf{x}^H A \mathbf{x}$ is a real number for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This implies that

$$(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})^H A (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}^H A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^H A \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^H A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}^H A \mathbf{y}$$

is a real number, so $\mathbf{x}^H A \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^H A \mathbf{x}$ is real for every $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_p$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}_q$. Then, $a_{pq} + a_{qp}$ is a real number. If we choose $\mathbf{x} = -i\mathbf{e}_p$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}_q$ it follows that $-ia_{pq} + ia_{qp}$ is a real number. Thus, $\Im(a_{pq}) = -\Im(a_{qp})$ and $\Re(a_{pq}) = \Re(a_{qp})$, which leads to $a_{pq} = \bar{a}_{qp}$ for $1 \leq p, q \leq n$. These equalities are equivalent to $A = A^H$, so A is Hermitian.



Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix and suppose that $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_p$ and $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_q$, where \mathbb{F} is the real or the complex field.

A **partitioning of A** is a collection of matrices $A_{hk} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_h \times n_k}$ such that A_{hk} is the contiguous submatrix

$$A \left[\begin{array}{c} m_1 + \cdots + m_{h-1} + 1, \dots, m_1 + \cdots + m_{h-1} + m_h \\ n_1 + \cdots + n_{k-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \cdots + n_k \end{array} \right],$$

for $1 \leq h \leq p$ and $1 \leq k \leq q$.

If $\{A_{hk} \mid 1 \leq h \leq p \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq q\}$ is a partitioning of A , A is written as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1q} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A_{p1} & A_{p2} & \cdots & A_{pq} \end{pmatrix}.$$



The matrices A_{hk} are referred to as the *blocks* of the partitioning. All blocks located in a column must have the number of columns; all blocks located in a row must have the same number of rows.

The matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{5 \times 6}$ given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{16} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{36} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & a_{45} & a_{46} \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} & a_{56} \end{pmatrix}$$

can be partitioned as

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c|cc} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{16} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{36} \\ \hline a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & a_{45} & a_{46} \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} & a_{55} & a_{56} \end{array} \right)$$



Thus, if we introduce the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, & A_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{14} \\ a_{24} \\ a_{34} \end{pmatrix}, & A_{13} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{15} & a_{16} \\ a_{25} & a_{26} \\ a_{35} & a_{36} \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_{21} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} \end{pmatrix}, & A_{22} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{45} \\ a_{55} \end{pmatrix}, & A_{23} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{46} & a_{47} \\ a_{56} & a_{57} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

the matrix A can be written as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \end{pmatrix}.$$



Partitioning matrices is useful because matrix operations can be performed on block submatrices in a manner similar to scalar operations as we show next.

Theorem

Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times p}$ be two matrices. Suppose that the matrices A, B are partitioned as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1k} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A_{h1} & \cdots & A_{hk} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & \cdots & B_{1\ell} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ B_{k1} & \cdots & B_{k\ell} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_{rs} \in \mathbb{F}^{m_r \times n_s}$, $B_{st} \in \mathbb{F}^{n_s \times p_t}$ for $1 \leq r \leq h$, $1 \leq s \leq k$ and $1 \leq t \leq \ell$. Then, the product $C = AB$ can be partitioned as

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & \cdots & C_{1\ell} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ C_{h1} & \cdots & C_{h\ell} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C_{uv} = \sum_{t=1}^k A_{ut} B_{tv}$, $1 \leq u \leq h$, and $1 \leq v \leq \ell$.

Definition

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is invertible if there exists a matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $AB = BA = I_n$.



Theorem

If $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are two invertible matrices, then the product AB is invertible and $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$.

If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is invertible, then A^H is invertible and $(A^H)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^H$.



Theorem

Let $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ be a basis in \mathbb{C}^n .

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is invertible if and only if the set of vectors $\{Ar_1, \dots, Ar_n\}$ is a basis in \mathbb{C}^n .



Proof

Suppose that A is an invertible matrix. Note that $A\mathbf{x}_i = A\mathbf{x}_j$ implies $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_j$, so $\{A\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, A\mathbf{r}_n\}$ consists of n distinct vectors. We claim that the set $\{A\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, A\mathbf{r}_n\}$ is linearly independent. Indeed, suppose that $c_1 A\mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + c_n A\mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{0}_n$ such that not all coefficients c_i equal 0. Then, by multiplying by A^{-1} to the left we obtain $c_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{0}_n$, which contradicts the fact that $\{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n\}$ is a basis. Thus, $\{A\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, A\mathbf{r}_n\}$ is a linearly independent set that consists of n vectors, which means that this set is a basis in \mathbb{C}^n .



Proof cont'd

Conversely, suppose that for any basis $\{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n the set $\{A\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, A\mathbf{r}_n\}$ is a basis in \mathbb{C}^n . Each of the vectors \mathbf{r}_i can be uniquely expressed as a linear combination of $A\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, A\mathbf{r}_n$. In particular, for the standard basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$, each of the vectors \mathbf{e}_i can be uniquely expressed as a linear combination of the vectors $A\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, A\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{a}_n$, where $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$ are the columns of the matrix A . In other words, we have the equalities

$$\mathbf{e}_i = b_{i1}\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + b_{in}\mathbf{a}_n$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. In a succinct form, these equalities can be written as $I_n = BA$, where B is the matrix of the coefficients b_{ij} , which shows that A is an invertible matrix.



Theorem

Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n$ be two bases of an \mathbb{F} -linear space L . There exists an invertible matrix $P \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$(\mathbf{e}_1 \cdots \mathbf{e}_n) = (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n)P.$$



Since $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n$ is a basis of L each vector \mathbf{e}_i is a unique linear combination of the vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n$, that is

$$\mathbf{e}_i = p_{1i}\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 + \dots + p_{ni}\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n = (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \ \dots \ \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n) \begin{pmatrix} p_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ p_{ni} \end{pmatrix},$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, so the equality of the theorem holds for the matrix $P = (p_{ij})$. We have to show that P is an invertible matrix. Assume that $P\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}_L$. The equality of the theorem implies

$$(\mathbf{e}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{e}_n) \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ \vdots \\ t_n \end{pmatrix} = (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \ \dots \ \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n)P\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}_L.$$

which implies $t_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + t_n\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{0}_L$. Since $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ is a basis we obtain $t_1 = \dots = t_n = 0$, so $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}_L$, which implies that P is an invertible matrix.



Corollary

Let $\mathbf{z} \in L$ and assume that \mathbf{z} can be expressed relatively to the bases $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n\}$ as

$$\mathbf{z} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbf{e}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i,$$

respectively. If $P \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is a matrix such that $(\mathbf{e}_1 \cdots \mathbf{e}_n) = (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n)P$, then

$$P \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$



Proof

We have

$$\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{e}_1 \cdots \mathbf{e}_n) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n) P \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting $(\mathbf{e}_1 \cdots \mathbf{e}_n)$ in the previous equality yields:

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n) P \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n\}$ is a basis we obtain the equality

$$P \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$



Thus, the components of a vector \mathbf{z} relative to the two bases $(\mathbf{e}_1 \cdots \mathbf{e}_n)$, and $(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n)$ transform in opposite direction to the basis transformation. We say that the set of numbers $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ are *contravariant* components of the vector \mathbf{z} .



We proved that if $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{F} -linear space L , then the set of linear forms $\{\mathbf{f}^j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{f}^j(\mathbf{e}_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a basis of the dual space L^* . Furthermore, if $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}_i) = a_i$, then $\mathbf{f} = a_1\mathbf{f}^1 + \dots + a_n\mathbf{f}^n$.



If we change the basis in L such that $(\mathbf{e}_1 \cdots \mathbf{e}_n) = (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n)P$, where $P \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, then assuming that $a_i = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}_i)$ and $b_\ell = \mathbf{f}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_\ell)$ for $1 \leq i, \ell \leq n$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}_i) &= \mathbf{f}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 p_{1i} + \cdots + \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n p_{ni}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \mathbf{f}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_\ell) p_{\ell i} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n b_\ell p_{\ell i}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the components of \mathbf{f} relative to the two bases $(\mathbf{e}_1 \cdots \mathbf{e}_n)$, and $(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n)$ transform in the same manner as these bases. We say that $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ are *covariant* components of the covector \mathbf{f} .



Let $C \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix. If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^m$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n$, the function $f_C : L \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ defined by $f_C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}' C \mathbf{y}$ can be easily seen to be bilinear. The next theorem shows that all bilinear functions between two finite-dimensional spaces can be defined in this manner.

Theorem

Let L, M be two finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -linear spaces. If $f : L \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a bilinear form, then there is a matrix $C_f \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}' C_f \mathbf{y}$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in L$ and $\mathbf{y} \in M$.



Proof

Suppose that $B = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m\}$ and $B' = \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n\}$, are bases in L and M , respectively. Let $\mathbf{x} = a_1\mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + a_m\mathbf{x}_m$ be the expression of $\mathbf{x} \in L$ in the base B . Similarly, let $\mathbf{y} = b_1\mathbf{y}_1 + \dots + b_n\mathbf{y}_n$ be the expression of $\mathbf{y} \in M$ in B' . The bilinearity of f implies:

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \mathbf{x}_i, \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \mathbf{y}_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_i b_j f(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j).$$

If C_f is the matrix

$$C_f = \begin{pmatrix} f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1) & \cdots & f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_1) & \cdots & f(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_n) \end{pmatrix},$$

then $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}' C_f \mathbf{y}$, where

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}.$$



Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix. The *quadratic form* associated to the matrix A is the function $f_A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $f_A(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}'A\mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^n$. The *polar form* of the quadratic form f_A is the bilinear form \tilde{f}_A defined by $\tilde{f}_A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}'A\mathbf{y}$ for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Since $\mathbf{x}'A\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y}'A\mathbf{x}$ are scalars they are equal and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f_A(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &= (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})'A(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \mathbf{x}'A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}'A\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}'A\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}'A\mathbf{x} \\ &= f_A(\mathbf{x}) + f_A(\mathbf{y}) + 2\tilde{f}_A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \end{aligned}$$

which allows us to express the polar form of f_A as

$$\tilde{f}_A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(f_A(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) - f_A(\mathbf{x}) - f_A(\mathbf{y})).$$



Let $h \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^n)$ be a linear transformation between the linear spaces \mathbb{C}^m and \mathbb{C}^n . Consider a basis in \mathbb{C}^m , $R = \{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_m\}$, and a basis in \mathbb{C}^n , $S = \{\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n\}$. The function h is completely determined by the images of the elements of the basis R , that is, by the set $\{h(\mathbf{r}_1), \dots, h(\mathbf{r}_m)\}$. Indeed, if $\mathbf{x} = x_1\mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + x_m\mathbf{r}_m$ and

$$h(\mathbf{r}_j) = a_{1j}\mathbf{s}_1 + a_{2j}\mathbf{s}_2 + \dots + a_{nj}\mathbf{s}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}\mathbf{s}_i,$$

then, by linearity

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1h(\mathbf{r}_1) + \dots + x_mh(\mathbf{r}_m) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m x_jh(\mathbf{r}_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n x_ja_{ij}\mathbf{s}_i. \end{aligned}$$



In a more compact form, we can write

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{s}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{s}_n) \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nm} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix}.$$



Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ be a vector such that $\mathbf{x} = x_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + \cdots + x_m \mathbf{r}_m$. Then, the image of \mathbf{x} under h is equal to $A_h \mathbf{x}$, where A_h is

$$A_h = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nm} \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, the matrix A_h attached to $h : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ depends on the bases chosen for the linear spaces \mathbb{C}^m and \mathbb{C}^n .



Let now $h : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be an endomorphism of \mathbb{C}^n and let $R = \{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n\}$ and $S = \{\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_n\}$ be two bases of \mathbb{C}^n . The vectors \mathbf{s}_i can be expressed as linear combinations of the vectors $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$:

$$\mathbf{s}_i = p_{i1}\mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + p_{in}\mathbf{r}_n, \quad (1)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, which implies

$$h(\mathbf{s}_i) = p_{i1}h(\mathbf{r}_1) + \dots + p_{in}h(\mathbf{r}_n). \quad (2)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, the matrix associated to a linear form $h : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a column vector \mathbf{r} . In this case we can write $h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{r}^H \mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.



Theorem

Let $h \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^n)$. The matrix $A_{h^} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is the transposed of the matrix A_h , that is, we have $A_{h^*} = A_h'$.*



By the previous discussion, if ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n is a basis of the space $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$, then the j^{th} column of the matrix $A_{h^*} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is obtained by expressing the linear form $h^*(\ell_j) = \ell_j h$ in terms of a basis in the dual space $(\mathbb{C}^m)^*$.

Therefore, we need to evaluate the linear form $\ell_j h \in (\mathbb{C}^m)^*$.

Let $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m\}$ be a basis in \mathbb{C}^m and let $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ be its dual in $(\mathbb{C}^m)^*$. Also, let $\{\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n\}$ be a basis in \mathbb{C}^n , and let $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n\}$ be its dual $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$.

Observe that if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ can be expressed as $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^m v_j \mathbf{p}_j$, then

$$g_p(\mathbf{v}) = g_p \left(\sum_{j=1}^m v_j \mathbf{p}_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^m v_j g_p(\mathbf{p}_j) = v_p,$$

because $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ is the dual of $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m\}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^m)^*$.



On the other hand, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_j(h(\mathbf{v})) &= \ell_j\left(\sum_{p=1}^m v_p h(\mathbf{p}_p)\right) = \ell_j\left(\sum_{p=1}^m v_p \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ip} \mathbf{q}_i\right) \\ &= \ell_j\left(\sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n v_p a_{ip} \mathbf{q}_i\right) = \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n v_p a_{ip} \ell_j(\mathbf{q}_i) \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^m v_p a_{jp} = \sum_{p=1}^m a_{jp} g_p(\mathbf{v}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $h^*(\ell_j) = \sum_{p=1}^m a_{jp} g_p$ for every j , $1 \leq j \leq m$. This means that the j^{th} column of the matrix A_{h^*} is the transposed j^{th} row of the matrix A_h , so $A_{h^*} = (A_h)'$.



Matrix multiplication corresponds to the composition of linear mappings, as we show next.

Theorem

Let $h \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^p)$. Then,

$$A_{gh} = A_g A_h.$$

Proof.

If $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m$ is a basis for \mathbb{C}^m , then

$A_{gh}(\mathbf{p}_i) = gh(\mathbf{p}_i) = g(h(\mathbf{p}_i)) = g(A_h \mathbf{p}_i) = A_g(A_h(\mathbf{p}_i))$ for every i , where $1 \leq i \leq m$. This proves that $A_{gh} = A_g A_h$. □



The inverse direction, from matrices to linear operators is introduced next.

Definition

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ be a matrix. The *linear operator associated to A* , is the mapping $h_A : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $h_A(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

If $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{C}^m , then $h_A(\mathbf{e}_i)$ is the i^{th} column of the matrix A .

It is immediate that $A_{h_A} = A$ and $h_{A_h} = h$.

Attributes of a matrix A are usually transferred to the linear operator h_A . For example, if A is Hermitian we say that h_A is Hermitian.



Attributes of a matrix A are usually transferred to the linear operator h_A . For example, if A is Hermitian we say that h_A is Hermitian.

Definition

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ be a matrix. The *range of A* is the subspace $\text{Im}(h_A)$ of \mathbb{C}^n . The *null space of A* is the subspace $\text{Ker}(h_A)$.

The range of A and the null space of A are denoted by $\text{range}(A)$ and $\text{null}(A)$, respectively.

Clearly, $C_{A,n} = \text{range}(A)$. The null space of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ consists of those $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.



Let $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{C}^m . Since $\text{range}(A) = \text{Im}(h_A)$ it follows that this subspace is generated by the set $\{h_A(\mathbf{p}_1), \dots, h_A(\mathbf{p}_m)\}$, that is, by the columns of the matrix A . For this reason the subspace $\text{range}(A)$ is also known as the *column subspace* of A .

Theorem

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be two matrices. Then

$$\text{range}(A + B) \subseteq \text{range}(A) + \text{range}(B).$$

Proof.

Let $u \in \text{range}(A + B)$. There exists $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $u = (A + B)v = Av + Bv$. If $x = Av$ and $y = Bv$, we have $x \in \text{range}(A)$ and $y \in \text{range}(B)$, so $u = x + y \in \text{range}(A) + \text{range}(B)$. \square



Definition

The *rank* of a matrix A is the number denoted by $\text{rank}(A)$ given by $\text{rank}(A) = \dim(\text{range}(A)) = \dim(\text{Im}(h_A))$.

Thus, *the rank of A is the maximal size of a set of linearly independent columns of A .*

A previous theorem applied to the linear mapping $h_A : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ means that for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ we have:

$$\dim(\text{null}(A)) + \text{rank}(A) = m. \quad (3)$$

Observe that if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is non-singular, then $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}_m$ implies $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}_m$. Thus, if $\mathbf{x} \in \text{null}(A) \cap \text{range}(A)$ it follows that $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, so the subspaces $\text{null}(A)$ and $\text{range}(A)$ are complementary.



Example

For the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have $\text{rank}(A) = 2$. Indeed, if $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3$ are its columns, then it is easy to see that $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2\}$ is a linearly independent set, and $\mathbf{c}_3 = 2\mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{c}_2$. Thus, the maximal size of a set of linearly independent columns of A is 2.



Example cont'd

The number of linearly independent rows of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

is also 2. Indeed, we have

$$(2 \ 1 \ 5) = a(1 \ 0 \ 2) + b(1 \ -1 \ 1)$$

for $a = 3$ and $b = -1$. Also,

$$(1 \ 2 \ 4) = c(1 \ 0 \ 2) + d(1 \ -1 \ 1)$$

for $c = 3$ and $d = -2$.



Example

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$. For the matrix $C \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+p) \times (m+q)}$ defined by

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} A & O_{n,q} \\ O_{p,m} & B \end{pmatrix}$$

we have $\text{rank}(C) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$.

Suppose that $\text{rank}(C) = \ell$ and let $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_\ell$ be a maximal set of linearly independent columns of C . Without loss of generality we may assume that the first k columns are among the first m columns of A and the remaining $\ell - k$ columns are among the last q columns of C . The first k columns of C correspond to k linearly independent columns of A , while the last $\ell - k$ columns correspond to $\ell - k$ linearly independent columns of B . Thus, $\text{rank}(C) = k \leq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$.



Example cont'd

Example

Conversely, suppose that $\text{rank}(A) = s$ and $\text{rank}(B) = t$. Let $\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_s}$ be a maximal set of linearly independent columns of A and let $\mathbf{b}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{j_t}$ be a maximal set of linearly independent columns of B . Then, it is easy to see that the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{i_1} \\ \mathbf{0}_n \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{i_s} \\ \mathbf{0}_n \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_n \\ \mathbf{b}_{j_1} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_n \\ \mathbf{b}_{j_t} \end{pmatrix}$$

constitute a linearly independent set of columns of C , so $\text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) \leq \text{rank}(C)$. Thus, $\text{rank}(C) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$.



Example

Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be two vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. The matrix \mathbf{xy}^H has rank 1. Indeed, if $\mathbf{y}^H = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, then we can write

$$\mathbf{xy}^H = (y_1\mathbf{x} \ y_2\mathbf{x} \ \cdots \ y_n\mathbf{x}),$$

which implies that the maximum number of linearly independent columns of \mathbf{xy}^H is 1.



Example

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$. We have $\text{rank}(A + B) \leq \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$.

Let $A = (\mathbf{a}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_m)$ and $B = (\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{b}_m)$ be two matrices, where $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Clearly, we have

$$A + B = (\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{b}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_m + \mathbf{b}_m).$$

If $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Im}(A + B)$ we can write:

$$\mathbf{x} = x_1(\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{b}_1) + x_2(\mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{b}_2) + \cdots + x_m(\mathbf{a}_m + \mathbf{b}_m) = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z},$$

where

$$\mathbf{y} = x_1\mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + x_m\mathbf{a}_m \in \text{Im}(A),$$

$$\mathbf{z} = x_1\mathbf{b}_1 + \cdots + x_m\mathbf{b}_m \in \text{Im}(B).$$

Thus, $\text{Im}(A + B) \subseteq \text{Im}(A) + \text{Im}(B)$. Since the dimension of the sum of two subspaces of a linear space is less or equal to the dimension of sum of these subspaces, the result follows.

The above discussion also shows that if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, then $\text{rank}(A) \leq \min\{m, n\}$.

Theorem

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix. We have $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(\bar{A})$.

Proof.

Suppose that $A = (\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n)$ and that the set $\{\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{i_p}\}$ is a set of linearly independent columns of A . Then, the set $\{\overline{\mathbf{a}_{i_1}}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{a}_{i_p}}\}$ is a set of linearly independent columns of \bar{A} . This implies $\text{rank}(\bar{A}) = \text{rank}(A)$. \square



Corollary

We have $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^H)$ for every matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$.

Proof.

Since $A^H = \overline{A'}^T$, the statement follows immediately. □



If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is a full-rank matrix and $m \geq n$, then the n columns of the matrix are linearly independent; similarly, if $n \geq m$, the m rows of the matrix are linearly independent.

A matrix that is not a full-rank is said to be *degenerate*. A degenerate square matrix is said to be *singular*. A *non-singular matrix* $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a matrix that is not singular and, therefore has $\text{rank}(A) = n$.



Theorem

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is non-singular if and only if it is invertible.



Proof

Suppose that A is non-singular, that is, $\text{rank}(A) = n$. In other words the set of columns $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\}$ of A is linearly independent, and therefore, is a basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Then, each of the vectors \mathbf{e}_i can be expressed as a unique combination of the columns of A , that is

$$\mathbf{e}_i = b_{1i}\mathbf{c}_1 + b_{2i}\mathbf{c}_2 + \dots + b_{ni}\mathbf{c}_n,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. These equalities can be written as

$$(\mathbf{c}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{c}_n) \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & \dots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & \dots & b_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = I_n.$$

Consequently, the matrix A is invertible and

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & \dots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & \dots & b_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$



Proof cont'd

Suppose now that A is invertible and that

$$d_1 \mathbf{c}_1 + \cdots + d_n \mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{0}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$A \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Multiplying both sides by A^{-1} implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0},$$

so $d_1 = \cdots = d_n = 0$, which means that the set of columns of A is linearly independent, so $\text{rank}(A) = n$.



Corollary

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is non-singular if and only if $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ implies $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof.

If A is non-singular then A is invertible. Therefore, $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ implies $A^{-1}(A\mathbf{x}) = A^{-1}\mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.

Conversely, suppose that $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ implies $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. If $A = (\mathbf{c}_1 \cdots \mathbf{c}_n)$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$, the previous implication means that $x_1\mathbf{c}_1 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{0}$ implies $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0$, so $\{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\}$ is linearly independent. Therefore, $\text{rank}(A) = n$, so A is non-singular. \square



Define the *similarity* relation “ \sim ” on the set of square matrices $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ by $A \sim B$ if there exists an invertible matrix X such that $A = XBX^{-1}$.
If X is a unitary matrix, then we say that A and B are *unitarily similar* and we write $A \sim_u B$, so \sim_u is a subset of \sim . In this case, we have $A = XBX^H$. ◻



Theorem

The relations “ \sim ” and “ \sim_u ” are equivalence relations.

Proof.

We have $A \sim A$ because $A = I_n A (I_n)^{-1}$, so \sim is a reflexive relation. To prove that \sim is symmetric suppose that $A = XBX^{-1}$. Then, $B = X^{-1}AX$ and, since X^{-1} is invertible, we have $B \sim A$.

Finally, to verify the transitivity, let A, B, C be such that $A = XBX^{-1}$ and $B = YCY^{-1}$, where X and Y are two invertible matrices. This allows us to write

$$A = XBX^{-1} = XYCY^{-1}X^{-1} = (XY)C(XY)^{-1},$$

which proves that $A \sim C$.

We leave to the reader the similar proof concerning \sim_u . □



Theorem

If $A \sim_u B$, where $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, then $A^H A \sim_u B^H B$.

Proof.

Since $A \sim_u B$ there exists a unitary matrix X such that $A = XB X^{-1} = XB X^H$. Then, $A^H = X B^H X^H$, so $A^H A = X B^H X^H X B X^H = X B^H B X^H$. Thus, $A^H A$ is unitarily similar to $B^H B$. □



Theorem

Let A and B be two matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. We have $A \sim B$ if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B)$.

Proof: If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix with $\text{rank}(A) = r > 0$, then

$$A \sim \begin{pmatrix} I_r & O_{r, n-r} \\ O_{m-r, r} & O_{m-r, n-r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, for every two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ of rank r we have $A \sim B$ because both are similar to

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_r & O_{r, n-r} \\ O_{m-r, r} & O_{m-r, n-r} \end{pmatrix}.$$



Proof cont'd

Conversely, suppose that $A \sim B$, that is, $A = GBH$, where $G \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are non-singular matrices. By a previous corollary we have $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B)$.



Definition

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is *diagonalizable* if there exists a diagonal matrix D such that $A \sim D$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a class of matrices. A is \mathcal{M} -*diagonalizable* if there exists a matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $A = MDM^{-1}$.

For example, if A is \mathcal{M} -diagonalizable and \mathcal{M} is the class of unitary matrices we say that A is *unitarily diagonalizable*.



Let $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial given by

$$f(z) = a_0 z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n,$$

where $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$. If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, then the matrix $f(A)$ is defined by

$$f(A) = a_0 A^n + a_1 A^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n I_m.$$

Theorem

If $T \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is an upper (a lower) triangular matrix and f is a polynomial, then $f(T)$ is an upper (a lower) triangular matrix. Furthermore, if the diagonal elements of T are $t_{11}, t_{22}, \dots, t_{mm}$, then the diagonal elements of $f(T)$ are $f(t_{11}), f(t_{22}), \dots, f(t_{mm})$, respectively.



Proof

Any power T^k of T is an upper (a lower) triangular matrix. Since the sum of upper (lower) triangular matrices is upper (lower) triangular, it follows that $f(T)$ is an upper triangular (a lower triangular) matrix.

An easy argument by induction on k (left to the reader) shows that if the diagonal elements of T are $t_{11}, t_{22}, \dots, t_{mm}$, then the diagonal elements of T^k are $t_{11}^k, t_{22}^k, \dots, t_{mm}^k$. The second part of the theorem follows immediately.



Theorem

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$. If $A \sim B$ and f is a polynomial, then $f(A) \sim f(B)$.

Proof.

Let X be an invertible matrix such that $A = XBX^{-1}$. It is straightforward to verify that $A^k = XB^kX^{-1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $f(A) = Xf(B)X^{-1}$, so $f(A) \sim f(B)$. □



Definition

Let A and B be two matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The matrices A and B are *congruent* if there exists an invertible matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $B = XAX^H$. This is denoted by $A \sim_H B$.

The relation \sim_H is an equivalence on $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. We have $A \sim_H A$ because $A = I_n A I_n^H$. If $A \sim_H B$, then $B = XAX^H$, so $A = X^{-1}B(X^H)^{-1} = X^{-1}B(X^{-1})^H$, which implies $B \sim_H A$. Finally, \sim_H is transitive because if $B = XAX^H$ and $C = YBY^H$, where X and Y are invertible matrices, then $C = (YX)A(YX)^H$ and YX is an invertible matrix. It is immediate that any two congruent matrices have the same rank.



Recapitulation

- A and B are *similar matrices*, $A \sim B$, if there exists an invertible matrix X such that $A = XBX^{-1}$;
- A and B are *congruent matrices*, $A \sim_H B$, if there exists an invertible matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $B = XAX^H$;
- A and B are *unitarily similar*, $A \sim_u B$, if there exists a unitary matrix U such that $A = UBU^{-1}$.

Since every unitary matrix is invertible and its inverse equals its conjugate Hermitian matrix, it follows that \sim_u is a subset of both \sim and \sim_H .



Definition

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ be two matrices. The *Kronecker product* of these matrices is the matrix $A \otimes B \in \mathbb{C}^{mp \times nq}$ defined by

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Kronecker product $A \otimes B$ creates mn copies of the matrix B and multiplies each copy by the corresponding element of A .



Consider the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

Their Kronecker product is

$$A \otimes B = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} a_{11}b_{11} & a_{11}b_{12} & a_{11}b_{13} & a_{12}b_{11} & a_{12}b_{12} & a_{12}b_{13} \\ a_{11}b_{21} & a_{11}b_{22} & a_{11}b_{23} & a_{12}b_{21} & a_{12}b_{22} & a_{12}b_{23} \\ a_{11}b_{31} & a_{11}b_{32} & a_{11}b_{33} & a_{12}b_{31} & a_{12}b_{32} & a_{12}b_{33} \\ \hline a_{21}b_{11} & a_{21}b_{12} & a_{21}b_{13} & a_{22}b_{11} & a_{22}b_{12} & a_{22}b_{13} \\ a_{21}b_{21} & a_{21}b_{22} & a_{21}b_{23} & a_{22}b_{21} & a_{22}b_{22} & a_{22}b_{23} \\ a_{21}b_{31} & a_{21}b_{32} & a_{21}b_{33} & a_{22}b_{31} & a_{22}b_{32} & a_{22}b_{33} \end{array} \right).$$



Let $C \in \mathbb{C}^{mp \times nq}$ be the Kronecker product of the matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$. We seek to express the value of c_{ij} , where $1 \leq i \leq mp$ and $1 \leq j \leq nq$. It is easy to see that

$$c_{ij} = a_{\lceil \frac{i}{p} \rceil, \lceil \frac{j}{q} \rceil} b_{i-p(\lceil \frac{i}{p} \rceil - 1), j-q(\lceil \frac{j}{q} \rceil - 1)}. \quad (4)$$



Theorem

For any matrices A, B, C, D we have:

- $(A \otimes B)' = A' \otimes B'$,
- $(A \otimes B) \otimes C = A \otimes (B \otimes C)$,
- $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC \otimes BD)$,
- $A \otimes B + A \otimes C = A \otimes (B + C)$,
- $A \otimes D + B \otimes D = (A + B) \otimes D$,
- $(A \otimes B)' = A' \otimes B'$,
- $(A \otimes B)^H = A^H \otimes B^H$,

when the usual matrix sum and multiplication are well-defined in each of the above equalities.



Example

Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m$. We have

$$\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \mathbf{y} \\ \vdots \\ x_n \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ y_m \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{mn}.$$



Theorem

If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ are two invertible matrices, then $A \otimes B$ is invertible and $(A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$.

Proof.

Since

$$(A \otimes B)(A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}) = (AA^{-1} \otimes BB^{-1}) = I_n \otimes I_m,$$

the theorem follows by noting that $I_n \otimes I_m = I_{nm}$. □



Theorem

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be two normal (unitary) matrices. Their Kronecker product $A \otimes B$ is also a normal (a unitary) matrix.

Proof.

We can write

$$\begin{aligned}(A \otimes B)'(A \otimes B) &= (A' \otimes B')(A \otimes B) \\ &= (A'A \otimes B'B) \\ &= (AA' \otimes BB') \\ &\quad \text{(because both } A \text{ and } B \text{ are normal)} \\ &= (A \otimes B)(A \otimes B)',\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $A \otimes B$ is normal. □



Definition

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be two square matrices. Their *Kronecker sum* is the matrix $A \oplus B \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$ defined by

$$A \oplus B = (A \otimes I_n) + (I_m \otimes B).$$

The *Kronecker difference* is the matrix $A \ominus B \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$ defined by

$$A \ominus B = (A \otimes I_n) - (I_m \otimes B).$$



Definition

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. The *Hadamard product* of A and B is the matrix $A \odot B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ defined by

$$A \odot B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & a_{12}b_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n}b_{1n} \\ a_{21}b_{21} & a_{22}b_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n}b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}b_{m1} & a_{m2}b_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn}b_{mn} \end{pmatrix}.$$



Definition

The *Hadamard quotient* $A \oslash B$ is defined only if $b_{ij} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. In this case

$$A \oslash B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{11}}{b_{11}} & \frac{a_{12}}{b_{12}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{1n}}{b_{1n}} \\ \frac{a_{21}}{b_{21}} & \frac{a_{22}}{b_{22}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{2n}}{b_{2n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{a_{m1}}{b_{m1}} & \frac{a_{m2}}{b_{m2}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{mn}}{b_{mn}} \end{pmatrix}.$$



Theorem

If $A, B, C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

- $A \odot B = B \odot A$;
- $A \odot J_{m,n} = J_{m,n} \odot A = A$;
- $A \odot (B + C) = A \odot B + A \odot C$;
- $A \odot (cB) = c(A \odot B)$.



Note that the Hadamard product of two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is a submatrix of the Kronecker product $A \otimes B$.

Example

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 3}$ be the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Kronecker product of these matrices is $A \otimes B \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 9}$ given by:

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & a_{11}b_{12} & a_{11}b_{13} & a_{12}b_{11} & a_{12}b_{12} & a_{12}b_{13} & a_{13}b_{11} & a_{13}b_{12} \\ a_{11}b_{21} & a_{11}b_{22} & a_{11}b_{23} & a_{12}b_{21} & a_{12}b_{22} & a_{12}b_{23} & a_{13}b_{21} & a_{13}b_{22} \\ a_{21}b_{11} & a_{21}b_{12} & a_{21}b_{13} & a_{22}b_{11} & a_{22}b_{12} & a_{22}b_{13} & a_{23}b_{11} & a_{23}b_{12} \\ a_{21}b_{21} & a_{21}b_{22} & a_{21}b_{23} & a_{22}b_{21} & a_{22}b_{22} & a_{22}b_{23} & a_{23}b_{21} & a_{23}b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$



Example

The Hadamard product of the same matrices is

$$A \odot B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & a_{12}b_{12} & a_{13}b_{13} \\ a_{21}b_{21} & a_{22}b_{22} & a_{23}b_{23} \end{pmatrix},$$

and we can regard the Hadamard product as a submatrix of the Kronecker product $A \otimes B$,

$$A \odot B = (A \otimes B) \begin{bmatrix} 1, 5, 9 \\ 4, 4, 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Another matrix product involves matrices that have the same number of columns.

Definition

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times n}$ be two matrices that have n columns,

$$A = (\mathbf{a}_1 \cdots \mathbf{a}_n) \text{ and } B = (\mathbf{b}_1 \cdots \mathbf{b}_n).$$

The *Khatri-Rao* product of A and B is the matrix

$$A * B = (\mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{a}_n \otimes \mathbf{b}_n).$$



Example

The Khatri-Rao product of the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is the matrix $(\mathbf{a}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{a}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2 \ \mathbf{a}_3 \otimes \mathbf{b}_3)$ which equals

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 9 \\ -1 & 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 12 \\ 8 & 5 & 18 \\ -4 & 10 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$



Definition

Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The *outer product* of the vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is the matrix $\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ defined by $\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^H$.

The outer product of two vectors is a matrix of rank 1.

For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have $\mathbf{v} * \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^H = (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^{sH})^H = (\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v})^H$.

Therefore, the outer product is not commutative because for $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have $\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{v} * \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$.

Note that when $m = n$ we have $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^H = \text{trace}(\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v})$.



Example

Let

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 v_1 & u_1 v_2 \\ u_2 v_1 & u_2 v_2 \\ u_3 v_1 & u_3 v_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{v} * \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 u_1 & v_1 u_2 & v_1 u_3 \\ v_2 u_1 & v_2 u_2 & v_2 u_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example

Contrast this with the Kronecker products:

$$\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 v_1 \\ u_1 v_2 \\ u_2 v_1 \\ u_2 v_2 \\ u_3 v_1 \\ u_3 v_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 u_1 \\ v_1 u_2 \\ v_1 u_3 \\ v_2 u_1 \\ v_2 u_2 \\ v_2 u_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the entries of the Kronecker product $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}$ can be obtained by reading the entries of $\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v}$ row-wise, or the entries of the same column-wise. Similar statements hold for $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}$. This observation suggested the use of the Kronecker symbol \otimes for outer products of vectors. In other words, we will denote the outer products $\mathbf{u} * \mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v} * \mathbf{u}$ with $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{u}$, respectively.