JVM Code Generation

Outline

- 2 Generating Code for Classes and their Members
- 3 Generating Code for Control and Logical Expressions
- 4 Generating Code for Message, Field Selection, and Array Expressions
- 5 Generating Code for Assignment and Similar Operations
- 6 Generating Code for String Concatenation
- 7 Generating Code for Casts

Once the AST has been fully analyzed, all variables and expressions have been typed, any necessary tree rewriting has been done, and a certain amount of setup needed for code generation has been accomplished

Once the AST has been fully analyzed, all variables and expressions have been typed, any necessary tree rewriting has been done, and a certain amount of setup needed for code generation has been accomplished

The compiler is now ready to traverse the AST one more time to generate the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) code, ie, build the class file for the program

Once the AST has been fully analyzed, all variables and expressions have been typed, any necessary tree rewriting has been done, and a certain amount of setup needed for code generation has been accomplished

The compiler is now ready to traverse the AST one more time to generate the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) code, ie, build the class file for the program

For example, consider the following very simple program

```
public class Square {
    public int square(int x) {
        return x * x;
    }
}
```

Once the AST has been fully analyzed, all variables and expressions have been typed, any necessary tree rewriting has been done, and a certain amount of setup needed for code generation has been accomplished

The compiler is now ready to traverse the AST one more time to generate the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) code, ie, build the class file for the program

For example, consider the following very simple program

```
public class Square {
    public int square(int x) {
        return x * x;
    }
}
```

Compiling the program with our *j*-- compiler



produces a class file Square.class

Once the AST has been fully analyzed, all variables and expressions have been typed, any necessary tree rewriting has been done, and a certain amount of setup needed for code generation has been accomplished

The compiler is now ready to traverse the AST one more time to generate the Java Virtual Machine (JVM) code, ie, build the class file for the program

For example, consider the following very simple program

```
public class Square {
    public int square(int x) {
        return x * x;
    }
}
```

Compiling the program with our *j*-- compiler



produces a class file square.class

Running the javap program on the class file

>_ ~/workspace/j--

\$ javap -verbose Square

produces the symbolic representation of the file shown in the next slide

```
public class Square extends java.lang.Object
 minor version: 0
 major version: 49
 Constant pool:
const #1 = Asciz
                 Square;
const #2 = class #1; // Square
const #3 = Asciz java/lang/Object;
const #4 = class #3; // java/lang/Object
const #5 = Asciz <init>:
const #6 = Asciz ()V:
const #7 = NameAndType #5:#6;// "<init>":()V
const #8 = Method #4.#7; // java/lang/Object."<init>":()V
const #9 = Asciz
                 Code:
const #10 = Asciz square:
const #11 = Asciz (T)T:
public Square();
 Code
  Stack=1, Locals=1, Args size=1
  0: aload 0
  1: invokespecial #8; //Method java/lang/Object."<init>":()V
  4. return
public int square(int);
 Code
  Stack=2, Locals=2, Args_size=2
  0: iload 1
  1: iload 1
  2 · imul
  3: ireturn
```

To emit JVM instructions, we firstly create a <code>clemitter</code> instance, which is an abstraction of the class file we wish to build, and then call upon <code>clemitter</code>'s methods for generating the necessary headers and instructions

To emit JVM instructions, we firstly create a <code>cLEmitter</code> instance, which is an abstraction of the class file we wish to build, and then call upon <code>cLEmitter</code>'s methods for generating the necessary headers and instructions

For example, to generate the class header

public class Square extends java.lang.Object

we would invoke the addClass() method on output, an instance of CLEmitter

output.addClass(mods, "Square", "java/lang/Object", null, false);

To emit JVM instructions, we firstly create a <code>cLEmitter</code> instance, which is an abstraction of the class file we wish to build, and then call upon <code>cLEmitter</code>'s methods for generating the necessary headers and instructions

For example, to generate the class header

public class Square extends java.lang.Object

we would invoke the addClass() method on output, an instance of CLEmitter

output.addClass(mods, "Square", "java/lang/Object", null, false);

As another example, the no-argument instruction aload_1 may be generated by

```
output.addNoArgInstruction(ALOAD_1);
```

For a more involved example of code generation, consider the Factorial program from before

```
package pass;
import java.lang.System;
public class Factorial {
   // Two methods and a field
   public static int factorial(int n) {
       // position 1:
       if(n \le 0)
           return 1;
       } else {
           return n * factorial(n - 1);
        3
    3
   public static void main(String[] args) {
       int x = n;
       // position 2:
       System.out.println(n + "! = " + factorial(x));
    3
   static int n = 5;
```

Running javap on Factorial.class produced by the *j*-- compiler gives us

```
public class pass.Factorial extends java.lang.Object
 minor version: 0
 major version: 49
 Constant pool:
static int n:
public pass.Factorial():
 Code:
  Stack=1, Locals=1, Args_size=1
  0: aload_0
  1: invokespecial #8; //Method java/lang/Object."<init>":()V
  4: return
public static int factorial(int):
 Code
  Stack=3, Locals=1, Args_size=1
  0: iload 0
  1: iconst 0
  2: if icmpgt 10
  5: iconst_1
  6: ireturn
  7: goto 19
  10: iload_0
  11: iload_0
  12: iconst_1
  13: isub
  14: invokestatic #13; //Method factorial:(I)I
  17: imul
  18: ireturn
  19: nop
```

```
public static void main(java.lang.String[]):
 Code .
  Stack=3, Locals=2, Args_size=1
  0: getstatic #19: //Field n:I
  3: istore 1
  4: getstatic #25; //Field java/lang/System.out:Ljava/io/PrintStream;
  7: new #27: //class java/lang/StringBuilder
  10: dup
  11: invokespecial
                        #28: //Method java/lang/StringBuilder."<init>":()V
   14: getstatic
                    #19: //Field n:I
   17: invokevirtual
                        #32; //Method java/lang/StringBuilder.append:
                             (I)Ljava/lang/StringBuilder:
  20: ldc #34; //String ! =
   22. invokevirtual
                        #37: //Method java/lang/StringBuilder.append:
                             (Ljava/lang/String;)Ljava/lang/StringBuilder;
  25: iload 1
  26: invokestatic #13: //Method factorial:(I)I
   29. invokevirtual
                        #32: //Method java/lang/StringBuilder.append:
                             (I)Ljava/lang/StringBuilder;
                        #41: //Method java/lang/StringBuilder.toString:
   32. invokevirtual
                             ()Ljava/lang/String:
   35: invokevirtual
                        #47: //Method java/io/PrintStream.println:
                             (Ljava/lang/String;)V
   38: return
public static {}:
  Code:
  Stack=2, Locals=0, Args_size=0
  0: iconst_5
  1: putstatic #19; //Field n:I
   4: return
```

JCompilationUnit.codegen() drives the generation of code for classes; for each type (ie, class) declaration, it

JCompilationUnit.codegen() drives the generation of code for classes; for each type (ie, class) declaration, it

• invokes <code>codegen()</code> on the <code>JClassDeclaration</code> for generating the code for that class,

JCompilationUnit.codegen() drives the generation of code for classes; for each type (ie, class) declaration, it

- invokes <code>codegen()</code> on the <code>JClassDeclaration</code> for generating the code for that class,
- writes out the class to a class file in the destination directory, and

JCompilationUnit.codegen() drives the generation of code for classes; for each type (ie, class) declaration, it

- invokes codegen() on the JClassDeclaration for generating the code for that class,
- · writes out the class to a class file in the destination directory, and
- adds the in-memory representation of the class to a list that stores such representations for all the classes within a compilation unit; this list is used in translating JVM byte code to native (SPIM) code

```
public void codegen(CLEmitter output) {
   for (JAST typeDeclaration : typeDeclarations) {
      typeDeclaration.codegen(output);
      output.write();
      clFiles.add(output.clFile());
   }
}
```

• It computes the fully-qualified name for the class, taking any package name into account

- It computes the fully-qualified name for the class, taking any package name into account
- It invokes an addclass() on the CLEmitter for adding the class header to the start of the class file

- It computes the fully-qualified name for the class, taking any package name into account
- It invokes an addclass() on the CLEmitter for adding the class header to the start of the class file
- If there is no explicit constructor with no arguments defined for the class, it invokes the private method codegenImplicitConstructor() to generate code for the implicit constructor as required by the language

- It computes the fully-qualified name for the class, taking any package name into account
- It invokes an addclass() on the CLEmitter for adding the class header to the start of the class file
- If there is no explicit constructor with no arguments defined for the class, it invokes the private method codegenImplicitConstructor() to generate code for the implicit constructor as required by the language
- It generates code for its members, by sending the codegen() message to each of them.

- It computes the fully-qualified name for the class, taking any package name into account
- It invokes an addclass() on the CLEmitter for adding the class header to the start of the class file
- If there is no explicit constructor with no arguments defined for the class, it invokes the private method codegenImplicitConstructor() to generate code for the implicit constructor as required by the language
- It generates code for its members, by sending the codegen() message to each of them.
- If there are any static field initializations in the class declaration, then it invokes the private method codegenClassInit() to generate the code necessary for defining a static block, a block of code that is executed after a class is loaded

JMethodDeclaration.codegen()

```
public void codegen(CLEmitter output) {
    output.addMethod(mods, name, descriptor, null, false);
    if (body != null) {
        body.codegen(output);
    }
    // Add implicit RETURN
    if (returnType == Type.VOID) {
        output.addNoArgInstruction(RETURN);
    }
}
```

JMethodDeclaration.codegen()

```
public void codegen(CLEmitter output) {
    output.addMethod(mods, name, descriptor, null, false);
    if (body != null) {
        body.codegen(output);
    }
    // Add implicit RETURN
    if (returnType == Type.VOID) {
        output.addNoArgInstruction(RETURN);
    }
}
```

JConstructorDeclaration.codegen()
Generating Code for Classes and their Members

Since the analysis phase has moved initializations, $_{codegen()}$ for $_{JFieldDeclaration}$ need only generate code for the field declaration itself

Since the analysis phase has moved initializations, $_{codegen()}$ for $_{JFieldDeclaration}$ need only generate code for the field declaration itself

JFieldDeclaration.codegen()

```
public void codegen(CLEmitter output) {
   for (JVariableDeclarator decl : decls) {
      // Add field to class
      output.addField(mods, decl.name(), decl.type()
           .toDescriptor(), false);
   }
}
```

Almost all control statements in *j*-- are controlled by some Boolean expression

Almost all control statements in j-- are controlled by some Boolean expression

For example, consider the if-then-else statement below

```
if (a > b) { c = a; } else { c = b; }
```

The JVM code produced for the statement is as follows

0: iload_1 1: iload_2 2: if_icmple 10 5: iload_1 6: istore_3 7: goto 12 10: iload_2 11: istore_3 12: ...

Almost all control statements in j-- are controlled by some Boolean expression

For example, consider the if-then-else statement below

```
if (a > b) { c = a; } else { c = b; }
```

The JVM code produced for the statement is as follows

0: iload_1 1: iload_2 2: if_icmple 10 5: iload_1 6: istore_3 7: goto 12 10: iload_2 11: istore_3 12: ...

Notice a couple of things

Almost all control statements in j-- are controlled by some Boolean expression

For example, consider the if-then-else statement below

```
if (a > b) { c = a; } else { c = b; }
```

The JVM code produced for the statement is as follows

0: iload_1 1: iload_2 2: if_icmple 10 5: iload_1 6: istore_3 7: goto 12 10: iload_2 11: istore_3 12: ...

Notice a couple of things

We don't compute a Boolean value onto the stack and then branch on its value, but make use of the underlying JVM instruction set, which makes for more compact code

Almost all control statements in j-- are controlled by some Boolean expression

For example, consider the if-then-else statement below

```
if (a > b) { c = a; } else { c = b; }
```

The JVM code produced for the statement is as follows

0: iload_1 1: iload_2 2: if_icmple 10 5: iload_1 6: istore_3 7: goto 12 10: iload_2 11: istore_3 12: ...

Notice a couple of things

- We don't compute a Boolean value onto the stack and then branch on its value, but make use of the underlying JVM instruction set, which makes for more compact code
- ${\rm 2}$ We branch to the else-part if the condition is $_{\tt false}$

Suppose we wish implement the Java do-while statement in *j*--; for example

The code we generate might have the form

```
topLabel:
        <code for body>
        branch to topLabel if <condition> is true
```

Note that we branch when the condition is true

Suppose we wish implement the Java do-while statement in *j*--; for example

The code we generate might have the form

topLabel: <code for body> branch to topLabel if <condition> is true

Note that we branch when the condition is true

In generating code for a condition, one needs a method specifying three arguments

Suppose we wish implement the Java do-while statement in *j*--; for example

The code we generate might have the form

topLabel: <code for body> branch to topLabel if <condition> is true

Note that we branch when the condition is $_{\mbox{true}}$

In generating code for a condition, one needs a method specifying three arguments

 $\ensuremath{{1\!\!1}}$ The $\ensuremath{{\mbox{\tiny CLEmitter}}}$ instance

Suppose we wish implement the Java do-while statement in *j*--; for example

The code we generate might have the form

```
topLabel:
        <code for body>
        branch to topLabel if <condition> is true
```

Note that we branch when the condition is $_{\mbox{true}}$

In generating code for a condition, one needs a method specifying three arguments

- ${\rm \ensuremath{1\! I}}$ The ${\rm \ensuremath{2} LEmitter}$ instance
- 2 The target label for the branch

Suppose we wish implement the Java do-while statement in *j*--; for example

The code we generate might have the form

```
topLabel:
        <code for body>
        branch to topLabel if <condition> is true
```

Note that we branch when the condition is $_{\mbox{true}}$

In generating code for a condition, one needs a method specifying three arguments

- $\ensuremath{{1 \ \ \ }}$ The $\ensuremath{{\ \ \ \ \ \ }}$ instance
- 2 The target label for the branch
- ③ A boolean flag onTrue; if onTrue is true then the branch should be made on the condition, and if false, the branch should be made on the condition's complement

Suppose we wish implement the Java do-while statement in *j*--; for example

The code we generate might have the form

Note that we branch when the condition is true

In generating code for a condition, one needs a method specifying three arguments

- ${\rm \ensuremath{1\! I}}$ The ${\rm \ensuremath{cLEmitter}}$ instance
- 2 The target label for the branch
- ③ A boolean flag onTrue; if onTrue is true then the branch should be made on the condition, and if false, the branch should be made on the condition's complement

Thus, every boolean expression must support a version of $_{codegen()}$ with these three arguments; for example, here is that overloaded $_{codegen()}$ method for $_{JGreaterThanOp}$

```
public void codegen(CLEmitter output, String targetLabel, boolean onTrue) {
    lhs.codegen(output);
    rhs.codegen(output);
    output.addBranchInstruction(onTrue ? IF_ICMPGT : IF_ICMPLE, targetLabel);
```

The three-argument codegen() method is invoked on the condition controlling execution

The three-argument codegen() method is invoked on the condition controlling execution

For example, the codegen() method in JIfStatement makes use of the three-argument codegen() method in producing code for the if-then-else statement

```
public void codegen(CLEmitter output) {
   String elseLabel = output.createLabel();
   String endLabel = output.createLabel();
   condition.codegen(output);
   if (elsePart != null) {
      output.addBranchInstruction(GOTO, endLabel);
    }
   output.addLabel(elseLabel);
   if (elsePart != null) {
      elsePart.codegen(output);
      output.addLabel(endLabel);
   }
}
```

The semantics of Java, and so of j_{--} , requires that the evaluation of expressions such as $\arg_1 \&\& \arg_2$ be short-circuited, ie, if \arg_1 is f_{alse} , then \arg_2 is not evaluated

The semantics of Java, and so of j_{--} , requires that the evaluation of expressions such as $\arg_1 \&\& \arg_2$ be short-circuited, ie, if \arg_1 is false, then \arg_2 is not evaluated

The code to be generated depends of whether the branch for the entire expression is to be made on true, or on false

Branch to target when	Branch to target when
arg1 && arg2 is true:	arg1 && arg2 is false:
have all the shifts of the	have a feature of the
branch to skip if	branch to target 11
arg1 is false	arg1 is false
branch to target when	branch to target if
arg2 is true	arg2 is false
skip:	

For example, the code generated for

if $(a > b \&\& b > c) \{ c = a; \}$ else $\{ c = b; \}$

would be

0: iload_1 1: iload_2 2: if_icmple 15 5: iload_2 6: iload_3 7: if_icmple 15 10: iload_1 11: istore_3 12: goto 17 15: iload_2 16: istore_3 17: ...

For example, the code generated for

if $(a > b \&\& b > c) \{ c = a; \}$ else $\{ c = b; \}$

would be

0: iload_1 1: iload_2 2: if_icmple 15 5: iload_2 6: iload_3 7: if_icmple 15 10: iload_1 11: istore_3 12: goto 17 15: iload_2 16: istore_3 17: ...

The codegen() method in JLogicalAndOp

```
public void codegen(CLEmitter output, String targetLabel, boolean onTrue) {
    if (onTrue) {
        String falseLabel = output.createLabel();
        Ihs.codegen(output, falseLabel, false);
        rhs.codegen(output, targetLabel, true);
        output.adLabel(falseLabel);
    } else {
        Ihs.codegen(output, targetLabel, false);
        rhs.codegen(output, targetLabel, false);
        rhs.codegen(output, targetLabel, false);
    }
}
```

Notice that our method prevents unnecessary branches to branches; for example, consider the slightly more complicated condition in

if (a > b && b > c && c > 5) { c = a; } else { c = b; }

The JVM code produced for this targets the same exit on false, for each of the at operations

iload_1 0: iload 2 1: if_icmple 18 2: iload 2 5: 6: iload 3 if_icmple 7: 18 10: iload_3 11: iconst 5 if_icmple 18 12: 15: iinc 1, -1 18:

Notice that our method prevents unnecessary branches to branches; for example, consider the slightly more complicated condition in

if (a > b && b > c && c > 5) { c = a; } else { c = b; }

The JVM code produced for this targets the same exit on false, for each of the at operations

0: iload_1 iload 2 1: if_icmple 18 2: iload 2 5: 6: iload 3 if icmple 7: 18 10: iload_3 11: iconst 5 12: if icmple 18 15: iinc 1. -1 18.

The codegen() method in JLogicalNotOp

public void codegen(CLEmitter output, String targetLabel, boolean onTrue) {
 arg.codegen(output, targetLabel, !onTrue);

The codegen() method in JMessageExpression proceeds as follows

The $_{codegen()}$ method in $_{MessageExpression}$ proceeds as follows

() If the message expression involves an instance message, codegen() generates code for the target

The codegen() method in JMessageExpression proceeds as follows

- \blacksquare If the message expression involves an instance message, $_{codegen()}$ generates code for the target
- The message invocation instruction is determined: invokevirtual for instance messages and invokestatic for static messages

The $_{\tt codegen()}$ method in $_{\tt MessageExpression}$ proceeds as follows

- ${1\!\!\!1}$ If the message expression involves an instance message, $_{\tt codegen()}$ generates code for the target
- The message invocation instruction is determined: invokevirtual for instance messages and invokestatic for static messages
- ③ The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked to generate the message invocation instruction; this method takes the following arguments

The codegen() method in JMessageExpression proceeds as follows

- \blacksquare If the message expression involves an instance message, $_{codegen()}$ generates code for the target
- 2 The message invocation instruction is determined: invokevirtual for instance messages and invokestatic for static messages
- ③ The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked to generate the message invocation instruction; this method takes the following arguments
 - 1 The instruction (invokevirtual Or invokestatic)

The codegen() method in JMessageExpression proceeds as follows

- ${1\!\!\!1}$ If the message expression involves an instance message, $_{\tt codegen()}$ generates code for the target
- 2 The message invocation instruction is determined: invokevirtual for instance messages and invokestatic for static messages
- ③ The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked to generate the message invocation instruction; this method takes the following arguments
 - 1 The instruction (invokevirtual Or invokestatic)
 - 2 The JVM name for the target's type

The $_{\tt codegen()}$ method in $_{\tt MessageExpression}$ proceeds as follows

- \blacksquare If the message expression involves an instance message, $_{codegen()}$ generates code for the target
- 2 The message invocation instruction is determined: invokevirtual for instance messages and invokestatic for static messages
- ③ The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked to generate the message invocation instruction; this method takes the following arguments
 - 1 The instruction (invokevirtual Or invokestatic)
 - 2 The JVM name for the target's type
 - 3 The message name

The $_{\tt codegen()}$ method in $_{\tt MessageExpression}$ proceeds as follows

- 1) If the message expression involves an instance message, <code>codegen()</code> generates code for the target
- 2 The message invocation instruction is determined: invokevirtual for instance messages and invokestatic for static messages
- ③ The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked to generate the message invocation instruction; this method takes the following arguments
 - 1 The instruction (invokevirtual or invokestatic)
 - 2 The JVM name for the target's type
 - 3 The message name
 - 4 The descriptor of the invoked method, which was determined in analysis.
The codegen() method in MessageExpression proceeds as follows

- \blacksquare If the message expression involves an instance message, $_{codegen()}$ generates code for the target
- 2 The message invocation instruction is determined: invokevirtual for instance messages and invokestatic for static messages
- ③ The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked to generate the message invocation instruction; this method takes the following arguments
 - 1 The instruction (invokevirtual Or invokestatic)
 - 2 The JVM name for the target's type
 - 3 The message name
 - 4 The descriptor of the invoked method, which was determined in analysis.
- If the message expression is being used as a statement expression and the return type of the method is non-void, then the method addNoArgInstruction() is invoked for generating a pop instruction; this is necessary because executing the message expression will produce a result on top of the stack, and this result is to be thrown away

For example, the code generated for

... = s.square(6);

would be

aload s' # s' denotes offset of s bipush 6 invokevirtual #6; //Method square:(I)I

whereas the code generated for

s.square(6);

would be

aload s' bipush 6 invokevirtual #6; //Method square:(I)I pop

For example, the code generated for

... = s.square(6);

would be

aload s' # s' denotes offset of s bipush 6 invokevirtual #6; //Method square:(I)I

whereas the code generated for

s.square(6);

would be

aload s' bipush 6 invokevirtual #6; //Method square:(I)I pop

We invoke static methods using the invokestatic instruction; for example the following j-- code

... = Square.square(5);

where $_{\rm int\ square\ (int)}$ is a static method in $_{\rm square\ },$ would generate the following JVM code

iconst_5
invokestatic #5; //Method square:(I)I

The ${\scriptstyle \tt codegen()}$ method in ${\scriptstyle \tt JFieldSelection}$ works as follows

1 It generates code for its target; if the target is a class, no code is generated

- ${\scriptstyle I\!I}$ It generates code for its target; if the target is a class, no code is generated
- 2 The compiler must again treat the special case, a.length where a is an array; the code generated makes use of the special instruction, arraylength

- 1 It generates code for its target; if the target is a class, no code is generated
- 2 The compiler must again treat the special case, a.length where a is an array; the code generated makes use of the special instruction, arraylength
- ③ Otherwise, it is treated as a proper field selection; the field selection instruction is determined: getfield for instance fields and getstatic for static fields

- 1 It generates code for its target; if the target is a class, no code is generated
- 2 The compiler must again treat the special case, a.length where a is an array; the code generated makes use of the special instruction, arraylength
- ③ Otherwise, it is treated as a proper field selection; the field selection instruction is determined: getfield for instance fields and getstatic for static fields
- 4 The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked with the following arguments

- 1 It generates code for its target; if the target is a class, no code is generated
- The compiler must again treat the special case, a.length where a is an array; the code generated makes use of the special instruction, arraylength
- ③ Otherwise, it is treated as a proper field selection; the field selection instruction is determined: getfield for instance fields and getstatic for static fields
- 4 The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked with the following arguments
 - 1 The instruction (getfield or getstatic)

- 1 It generates code for its target; if the target is a class, no code is generated
- The compiler must again treat the special case, a.length where a is an array; the code generated makes use of the special instruction, arraylength
- ③ Otherwise, it is treated as a proper field selection; the field selection instruction is determined: getfield for instance fields and getstatic for static fields
- - 1 The instruction (getfield or getstatic)
 - The JVM name for the target's type

- 1 It generates code for its target; if the target is a class, no code is generated
- The compiler must again treat the special case, a.length where a is an array; the code generated makes use of the special instruction, arraylength
- ③ Otherwise, it is treated as a proper field selection; the field selection instruction is determined: getfield for instance fields and getstatic for static fields
- 4 The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked with the following arguments
 - The instruction (getfield or getstatic)
 - 2 The JVM name for the target's type
 - 3 The field name

- 1 It generates code for its target; if the target is a class, no code is generated
- The compiler must again treat the special case, a.length where a is an array; the code generated makes use of the special instruction, arraylength
- ③ Otherwise, it is treated as a proper field selection; the field selection instruction is determined: getfield for instance fields and getstatic for static fields
- 4 The addMemberAccessInstruction() method is invoked with the following arguments
 - The instruction (getfield or getstatic)
 - 2 The JVM name for the target's type
 - 3 The field name
 - 4 The JVM descriptor for the type of the field, and so the type of the result

For example, the following code

... = s.instanceField;

would be translated as

aload s' getfield instanceField

whereas the following code

... = Square.staticField;

would be translated as

getstatic staticField

For example, the following code

... = s.instanceField;

would be translated as

aload s' getfield instanceField

whereas the following code

... = Square.staticField;

would be translated as

getstatic staticField

Code generation for array access expressions is straightforward; for example, if the variable a references an array object, and \pm is an integer, then the following code

... = a[i];

is translated to

aload a' iload i' iaload

Consider the simple assignment statement

х = у;

which asks that the value of the variable $_{\mbox{\tiny y}}$ be stored in variable $_{\mbox{\tiny x}}$

Consider the simple assignment statement

x = y;

which asks that the value of the variable $_{\text{y}}$ be stored in variable $_{\text{x}}$

We want the *I*-value (address or location) for x and the *r*-value (content or value) for y

Consider the simple assignment statement

х = у;

which asks that the value of the variable y be stored in variable x

We want the *I*-value (address or location) for x and the *r*-value (content or value) for y

All expressions have *r*-values, but many have no *l*-values; for example, if a is an array of ten integers, and o is an object with field $f_{1,c}$ is a class with static field $af_{1,c}$ and x is a local variable, the following have both *l*-values and *r*-values

a[3] o.f C.sf x

while the following have *r*-values, but not *l*-values

5 x+5 Factorial.factorial(5)

The right-hand-side expression is compiled to produce code for computing its r-value and leaving it on the stack

The right-hand-side expression is compiled to produce code for computing its r-value and leaving it on the stack

For the left-hand-side, sometimes no code needs to be generated, as in the following example

x = y;

produces

iload y'	
istore x'	

The right-hand-side expression is compiled to produce code for computing its r-value and leaving it on the stack

For the left-hand-side, sometimes no code needs to be generated, as in the following example

x = y;	
produces	
iload y' istore x'	

On the other hand, compiling

a[x] = y;	
produces	
aload a' iload x' iload y' iastore	

The right-hand-side expression is compiled to produce code for computing its r-value and leaving it on the stack

For the left-hand-side, sometimes no code needs to be generated, as in the following example

aload a' iload x' iload y' iastore

An assignment may act as a statement, as shown below

x = y;

or as an expression, as shown below

z = x = y;

In the first case, no value is left on the stack

In the first case, no value is left on the stack

In the second case, x = y must assign the value of y to x but also leave a value (the *r*-value for y) on the stack so that it may be popped off and assigned to z, ie, the code might look something like

iload y' dup istore x' istore z'

In the first case, no value is left on the stack

In the second case, x = y must assign the value of y to x but also leave a value (the *r*-value for y) on the stack so that it may be popped off and assigned to z, ie, the code might look something like

iload y' dup istore x' istore z'

In parsing, when an expression is used as a statement, Parser's statementExpression() method sets a flag isStatementExpression in the expression node to true, and the code generation phase makes use of this flag in deciding when code must be produced for duplicating *r*-values on the run-time stack

In the first case, no value is left on the stack

In the second case, x = y must assign the value of y to x but also leave a value (the *r*-value for y) on the stack so that it may be popped off and assigned to z, ie, the code might look something like

iload y' dup istore x' istore z'

In parsing, when an expression is used as a statement, Parser's statementExpression() method sets a flag isStatementExpression in the expression node to true, and the code generation phase makes use of this flag in deciding when code must be produced for duplicating *r*-values on the run-time stack

The most important property of the assignment is its side effect; one uses the assignment operation for its side effect: overwriting a variable's r-value with another

In the first case, no value is left on the stack

In the second case, x = y must assign the value of y to x but also leave a value (the *r*-value for y) on the stack so that it may be popped off and assigned to z, ie, the code might look something like

iload y' dup istore x' istore z'

In parsing, when an expression is used as a statement, Parser's statementExpression() method sets a flag isStatementExpression in the expression node to true, and the code generation phase makes use of this flag in deciding when code must be produced for duplicating *r*-values on the run-time stack

The most important property of the assignment is its side effect; one uses the assignment operation for its side effect: overwriting a variable's *r*-value with another

x--++x x += 6

The table below compares the various operations (labeled down the left), with an assortment of left-hand sides (labeled across the top)

	x	a[i]	o.f	C.sf
lhs = y ild [du ist	iload y'	aload a'	aload o'	iload y'
	[dup]	iload i'	iload y	[dup]
	istore x'	iload y'	[dup_x1]	putstatic sf
		[dup_x2]	putfield f	
		iastore		
<pre>lhs += y iload x' iload y' iadd [dup] istore x'</pre>	iload x'	aload a'	aload o'	getstatic sf
	iload y'	iload i'	dup	iload y'
	iadd	dup2	getfield f	iadd
	[dup]	iaload	iload y'	[dup]
	istore x'	iload y'	iadd	putstatic sf
		iadd	[dup_x1]	
		[dup_x2]	putfield f	
		iastore		
++lhs	iinc x',1	aload a'	aload o'	getstatic sf
	[iload x']	iload i'	dup	iconst_1
		dup2	getfield f	iadd
		iaload	iconst_1	[dup]
		iconst_1	iadd	putstatic sf
		iadd	[dup_x1]	
		[dup_x2]	putfield f	
		iastore		
lhs	[iload x']	aload a'	aload o'	getstatic sf
	iinc x',-1	iload i'	dup	[dup]
		dup2	getfield f	iconst_1
		iaload	[dup_x1]	isub
		[dup_x2]	iconst_1	putstatic sf
		iconst_1	isub	
		isub	putfield f	
		iastore		

The instructions in brackets [...] must be generated if and only if the operation is a sub-expression of some other expression, ie, if the operation is not a statement expression

The table above suggests four sub-operations common to most of the assignment-like operations in j--
The table above suggests four sub-operations common to most of the assignment-like operations in j--

codegenLoadLhsLvalue() - this generates code to load any up-front data for the left-hand side of an assignment needed for
 an eventual store, ie, its *I*-value

The table above suggests four sub-operations common to most of the assignment-like operations in j--

- codegenLoadLhsLvalue() this generates code to load any up-front data for the left-hand side of an assignment needed for
 an eventual store, ie, its *I*-value
- CodegenLoadLhsRvalue() this generates code to load the r-value of the left-hand side, needed for implementing, for example the += operator

The table above suggests four sub-operations common to most of the assignment-like operations in j--

- ① codegenLoadLhaLvalue() this generates code to load any up-front data for the left-hand side of an assignment needed for an eventual store, ie, its *I*-value
- CodegenLoadLhsRvalue() this generates code to load the r-value of the left-hand side, needed for implementing, for example the += operator
- 3 codegenDuplicateRvalue() this generates code to duplicate an r-value on the stack and put it in a place where it will be on top of the stack once the store is executed

The table above suggests four sub-operations common to most of the assignment-like operations in j--

- ① codegenLoadLhsLvalue() this generates code to load any up-front data for the left-hand side of an assignment needed for an eventual store, ie, its *I*-value
- CodegenLoadLhsRvalue() this generates code to load the r-value of the left-hand side, needed for implementing, for example the += operator
- ③ codegenDuplicateRvalue() this generates code to duplicate an r-value on the stack and put it in a place where it will be on top of the stack once the store is executed
- ($G_{codegenStore()}$ this generates the code necessary to perform the actual store

The table above suggests four sub-operations common to most of the assignment-like operations in j--

- ① codegenLoadLheLvalue() this generates code to load any up-front data for the left-hand side of an assignment needed for an eventual store, ie, its *I*-value
- 2 codegenLoadLhsRvalue() this generates code to load the r-value of the left-hand side, needed for implementing, for example the += operator
- ③ codegenDuplicateRvalue() this generates code to duplicate an r-value on the stack and put it in a place where it will be on top of the stack once the store is executed
- ($G_{codegenStore()}$ this generates the code necessary to perform the actual store

The code needed for each of these differs for each potential left-hand side of an assignment: a simple local variable x, an indexed array element a[s], an instance field o.f, and a static field c.f

The table above suggests four sub-operations common to most of the assignment-like operations in j--

- ① codegenLoadLheLvalue() this generates code to load any up-front data for the left-hand side of an assignment needed for an eventual store, ie, its *I*-value
- 2 codegenLoadLhsRvalue() this generates code to load the r-value of the left-hand side, needed for implementing, for example the += operator
- ③ codegenDuplicateRvalue() this generates code to duplicate an r-value on the stack and put it in a place where it will be on top of the stack once the store is executed
- ($G_{codegenStore()}$ this generates the code necessary to perform the actual store

The code needed for each of these differs for each potential left-hand side of an assignment: a simple local variable x, an indexed array element a[s], an instance field o.f, and a static field c.f

The code necessary for each of the four operations, and for each left-hand-side form, is illustrated in the table below

	x	a[i]	o.f	C.sf
codegenLoadLhsLvalue()	[none]	aload a'	aload o'	[none]
		iload i'		
codegenLoadLhsRvalue()	iload x'	dup2	dup	getstatic sf
		iaload	getfield f	
codegenDuplicateRvalue()	dup	dup_x2	dup_x1	dup
codegenStore()	istore x'	iastore	putfield f	putstatic sf

Our compiler defines an interface JLhs, which declares four abstract methods for these four sub-operations; each of JVariable, JArrayExpression and JFieldSelection implements JLhs

Our compiler defines an interface JLhs, which declares four abstract methods for these four sub-operations; each of JVariable, JArrayExpression and JFieldSelection implements JLhs

Of course, one must also be able to generate code for the right-hand side expression, but codegen() is sufficient for that

Our compiler defines an interface JLhs, which declares four abstract methods for these four sub-operations; each of JVariable, JArrayExpression and JFieldSelection implements JLhs

Of course, one must also be able to generate code for the right-hand side expression, but codegen() is sufficient for that

For example, JPlusAssignOp's codegen() is shown below

```
public void codegen(CLEmitter output) {
    ((JLhs) lhs).codegenLoadLhsLvalue(output);
    if (lhs.type().equals(Type.STRING)) {
        rhs.codegen(output);
    } else {
        ((JLhs) lhs).codegenLoadLhsRvalue(output);
        output.addNoArgInstruction(IADD);
    }
    if (!isStatementExpression) {
        // Generate code to leave the r-value atop stack
        ((JLhs) lhs).codegenStore(output);
    }
    ((JLhs) lhs).codegenStore(output);
}
```

In *j*--, as in Java, the binary + operator is overloaded; if both of its operands are integers, it denotes addition, but if either operand is a string then the operator denotes string concatenation and the result is a string

In *j*--, as in Java, the binary + operator is overloaded; if both of its operands are integers, it denotes addition, but if either operand is a string then the operator denotes string concatenation and the result is a string

The compiler's analysis phase determines whether or not string concatenation is implied, and when it is, the concatenation is made explicit, ie, the operation's AST is rewritten, replacing <code>JPlusOp</code> with a <code>JStringConcatenationOp</code>

In *j*--, as in Java, the binary + operator is overloaded; if both of its operands are integers, it denotes addition, but if either operand is a string then the operator denotes string concatenation and the result is a string

The compiler's analysis phase determines whether or not string concatenation is implied, and when it is, the concatenation is made explicit, ie, the operation's AST is rewritten, replacing $_{\rm JPlusOp}$ with a $_{\rm JStringConcatenationOp}$

Also, when x is a string, analysis replaces

x += <expression>

by

 $x = x + \langle expression \rangle$

To implement string concatenation, the compiler generates code to do the following

To implement string concatenation, the compiler generates code to do the following

 ${\rm l}$ Create an empty string buffer, ie, a $_{\rm stringBuffer}$ object, and initialize it

To implement string concatenation, the compiler generates code to do the following

- ${\rm l}$ Create an empty string buffer, ie, a $_{\rm stringBuffer}$ object, and initialize it
- Append any operands to that buffer; that stringBuffer's append() method is overloaded to deal with any type makes handling operands of mixed types easy

To implement string concatenation, the compiler generates code to do the following

- ${\rm l}{\rm l}$ Create an empty string buffer, ie, a $_{\rm stringBuffer}$ object, and initialize it
- Append any operands to that buffer; that stringBuffer's append() method is overloaded to deal with any type makes handling operands of mixed types easy
- ③ Invoke the toString() method on the string buffer to produce a string

To implement string concatenation, the compiler generates code to do the following

- ${\rm l}{\rm l}$ Create an empty string buffer, ie, a ${\rm stringBuffer}$ object, and initialize it
- Append any operands to that buffer; that stringBuffer's append() method is overloaded to deal with any type makes handling operands of mixed types easy
- ③ Invoke the toString() method on the string buffer to produce a string

 $\label{eq:stringConcatenationDp's codegen()} makes use of a helper method, {\tt nestedCodegen()} for performing only step 2 for any nested string concatenation operations, which eliminates the instantiation of unnecessary string buffers$

To implement string concatenation, the compiler generates code to do the following

- 1 Create an empty string buffer, ie, a stringBuffer object, and initialize it
- Append any operands to that buffer; that stringBuffer's append() method is overloaded to deal with any type makes handling operands of mixed types easy
- Invoke the toString() method on the string buffer to produce a string

 $_{\tt JstringConcatenationOp}$'s $_{\tt codegen()}$ makes use of a helper method, $_{\tt nestedCodegen()}$ for performing only step 2 for any nested string concatenation operations, which eliminates the instantiation of unnecessary string buffers

For example, given the *j*-- expression

x + true + "cat" + 0

the compiler generates the following JVM code

```
new java/lang/StringBuilder
dup
invokespecial StringBuilder."<init>":()V
aload x'
invokevirtual append:(Ljava/lang/String;)StringBuilder;
icconst_1
invokevirtual append:(Z)Ljava/lang/StringBuilder;
ldc "cat"
invokevirtual append:(Ljava/lang/String;)Ljava/lang/StringBuilder;
icconst_0
invokevirtual append:(I)Ljava/lang/StringBuilder;
invokevirtual StringBuilder.toString;()Ljava/lang/String;
```

Analysis determines both the validity of a cast and the necessary $_{\text{converter}}$, which encapsulates the code generated for the particular cast

Analysis determines both the validity of a cast and the necessary $_{\text{converter}}$, which encapsulates the code generated for the particular cast

Each $c_{onverter}$ implements a method $c_{odegen()}$, which generates any code necessary to the cast

Analysis determines both the validity of a cast and the necessary converter, which encapsulates the code generated for the particular cast

Each converter implements a method codegen(), which generates any code necessary to the cast

For example, consider the converter for casting a reference type to one of its sub-types (narrowing cast) which requires that a checkcast instruction be generated

```
class NarrowReference implements Converter {
    private Type target;
    public NarrowReference(Type target) {
        this.target = target;
    }
    public void codegen(CLEmitter output) {
        output.addReferenceInstruction(CHECKCAST, target.jvmName());
    }
}
```

Analysis determines both the validity of a cast and the necessary converter, which encapsulates the code generated for the particular cast

Each converter implements a method codegen(), which generates any code necessary to the cast

For example, consider the converter for casting a reference type to one of its sub-types (narrowing cast) which requires that a checkcast instruction be generated

```
class NarrowReference implements Converter {
    private Type target;
    public NarrowReference(Type target) {
        this.target = target;
    }
    public void codegen(CLEmitter output) {
        output.addReferenceInstruction(CHECKCAST, target.jvmName());
    }
}
```

On the other hand, when any type is cast to itself (the identity cast), or when a reference type is cast to one of its super types (called widening), no code need be generated

Casting an int to an Integer is called boxing and requires an invocation of the Integer.valueOf() method

invokestatic java/lang/Integer.valueOf:(I)Ljava/lang/Integer;

Casting an int to an Integer is called boxing and requires an invocation of the Integer.valueOf() method

invokestatic java/lang/Integer.valueOf:(I)Ljava/lang/Integer;

Casting an Integer to an int is called unboxing and requires an invocation of the Integer.intValue() method

invokevirtual java/lang/Integer.intValue:()I

Casting an int to an Integer is called boxing and requires an invocation of the Integer.valueOf() method

invokestatic java/lang/Integer.valueOf:(I)Ljava/lang/Integer;

Casting an Integer to an int is called unboxing and requires an invocation of the Integer.intValue() method

invokevirtual java/lang/Integer.intValue:()I

Certain casts, from one primitive type to another require that a special instruction be executed; for example, the $_{\rm i2c}$ instruction converts an $_{\rm int}$ to a $_{\rm char}$

Casting an int to an Integer is called boxing and requires an invocation of the Integer.valueOf() method

invokestatic java/lang/Integer.valueOf:(I)Ljava/lang/Integer;

Casting an Integer to an int is called unboxing and requires an invocation of the Integer.intValue() method

invokevirtual java/lang/Integer.intValue:()I

Certain casts, from one primitive type to another require that a special instruction be executed; for example, the $_{i2c}$ instruction converts an $_{int}$ to a $_{char}$

There is a Converter defined for each valid conversion in j--