Parsing

Outline

- 1 Parsing a Program
- 2 Context-free Grammars and Languages
- 3 Top-down Deterministic Parsing
- 4 Recursive Descent Parsing
- **5** LL(1) Parsing
- 6 Bottom-up Deterministic Parsing
- 7 LR(1) Parsing
- 8 JavaCC

A parser should:

• Make sure the program is syntactically valid, ie, conforms to the grammar describing the program's syntax

- Make sure the program is syntactically valid, ie, conforms to the grammar describing the program's syntax
- Identify syntax errors and report them along with the line numbers they appear on

- Make sure the program is syntactically valid, ie, conforms to the grammar describing the program's syntax
- Identify syntax errors and report them along with the line numbers they appear on
- Not stop on the first error, but report the error, and gracefully recover and look for additional errors

- Make sure the program is syntactically valid, ie, conforms to the grammar describing the program's syntax
- Identify syntax errors and report them along with the line numbers they appear on
- Not stop on the first error, but report the error, and gracefully recover and look for additional errors
- Produce a representation of the parsed program that is suitable for semantic analysis; in *j*--, the representation is an abstract syntax tree (AST)

🕼 HelloWorld.java

```
// Copyright 2012- Bill Campbell, Swami Iyer and Bahar Akbal-Delibas
//
import java.lang.System;
public class HelloWorld {
    // Entry point.
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        System.out.println("Hello, World");
    }
}
```

```
"JCompilationUnit:5":
    "source": "tests/jvm/HelloWorld.java",
    "imports": ["java.lang.System"].
    "JClassDeclaration:7":
        "modifiers": ["public"],
        "name": "HelloWorld".
        "super": "java.lang.Object",
        "JMethodDeclaration:9":
            "name" "main"
            "returnType": "void",
            "modifiers": ["public", "static"],
            "parameters": [["args", "String[]"]],
            "JBlock:9":
                £
                        "ambiguousPart": "System.out", "name": "println",
                        "Argument":
                            "JLiteralString:10":
                                "type": "", "value": "Hello, World"
                        3
                    3
                3
           3
        2
```

The nodes in the AST represent syntactic objects

The AST is rooted at a JCompilationUnit, the syntactic object representing the program that we are compiling

The AST is rooted at a JCompilationUnit, the syntactic object representing the program that we are compiling

The directed edges are labeled by the names of the fields they represent

The AST is rooted at a JCompilationUnit, the syntactic object representing the program that we are compiling

The directed edges are labeled by the names of the fields they represent

For example, JCompilationUnit has a package name, a list of imported types, and a list of type declarations

The AST is rooted at a JCompilationUnit, the syntactic object representing the program that we are compiling

The directed edges are labeled by the names of the fields they represent

For example, JCompilationUnit has a package name, a list of imported types, and a list of type declarations

The tree representation for a program is easier to analyze and decorate (with type information) than text

The AST is rooted at a JCompilationUnit, the syntactic object representing the program that we are compiling

The directed edges are labeled by the names of the fields they represent

For example, JCompilationUnit has a package name, a list of imported types, and a list of type declarations

The tree representation for a program is easier to analyze and decorate (with type information) than text

The AST makes the syntax implicit in the program text, explicit

Inherently recursive programming languages such as j-- are best described by context-free grammar rules, using a notation called Backus-Naur Form (BNF)

Inherently recursive programming languages such as j-- are best described by context-free grammar rules, using a notation called Backus-Naur Form (BNF)

For example, the rule

S ::= if(E) S

says that, if E is an expression and S is a statement, then

if (E) S

is also a statement

There are abbreviations possible in the BNF notation

There are abbreviations possible in the BNF notation

For example, the rule

 $\begin{array}{c} S ::= \text{if } (E) \ S \\ \mid \text{if } (E) \ S \text{ else } S \end{array}$

is shorthand for

 $\begin{array}{l} S ::= {\scriptstyle \text{if}} (E) \ S \\ S ::= {\scriptstyle \text{if}} (E) \ S {\scriptstyle \text{else}} \ S \end{array}$

There are abbreviations possible in the BNF notation

For example, the rule

 $\begin{array}{c} S ::= \text{if } (E) \ S \\ \mid \text{if } (E) \ S \text{ else } S \end{array}$

is shorthand for

 $\begin{array}{l} S ::= \operatorname{if} (E) \ S \\ S ::= \operatorname{if} (E) \ S \ \operatorname{else} \ S \end{array}$

Square brackets indicate that a phrase is optional

There are abbreviations possible in the BNF notation

For example, the rule

 $\begin{array}{c} S ::= \text{if } (E) \ S \\ \mid \text{if } (E) \ S \text{ else } S \end{array}$

is shorthand for

 $\begin{array}{l} S ::= {\scriptstyle \text{if}} (E) \ S \\ S ::= {\scriptstyle \text{if}} (E) \ S {\scriptstyle \text{else}} \ S \end{array}$

Square brackets indicate that a phrase is optional

For example, the two rules from above can be written as

S ::= if(E) S [else S]

Curly braces denote the Kleene closure, indicating that the phrase may appear zero or more times

Curly braces denote the Kleene closure, indicating that the phrase may appear zero or more times

For example, the rule

 $E ::= T \{ + T \}$

says that an expression E may be written as a term T, followed by zero or more occurrences of + followed by a term T, such as

T + T + T + T

One may use the alternation sign | to denote a choice, and parentheses for grouping

One may use the alternation sign | to denote a choice, and parentheses for grouping

For example, the rule

 $E ::= T \{(+ | -) T\}$

says that the additive operator may be either + or -, such as

T + T - T + T

Example (BNF rules in *j*--)
A context-free grammar is a tuple G = (N, T, S, P), where

• *N* is a set non-terminals

- *N* is a set non-terminals
- T is a set of terminals

- *N* is a set non-terminals
- T is a set of terminals
- $S \in N$ is the start symbol

- *N* is a set non-terminals
- T is a set of terminals
- $S \in N$ is the start symbol
- *P* is a set of productions or rules

A context-free grammar is a tuple G = (N, T, S, P), where

- N is a set non-terminals
- T is a set of terminals
- $S \in N$ is the start symbol
- P is a set of productions or rules

Example (arithmetic expression grammar)

G = (N, T, S, P) where $N = \{E, T, F\}$, $T = \{*, *, \langle, \rangle, id\}$, S = E, and $P = \{E ::= E + T, E ::= T, T ::= T * F, T ::= F, F ::= \langle E \rangle, F ::= id\}$

A context-free grammar is a tuple G = (N, T, S, P), where

- N is a set non-terminals
- T is a set of terminals
- $S \in N$ is the start symbol
- P is a set of productions or rules

Example (arithmetic expression grammar)

 $G = (N, T, S, P) \text{ where } N = \{E, T, F\}, T = \{*, *, (,), \text{ id}\}, S = E, \text{ and } P = \{E ::= E + T, E ::= T, T ::= T * F, T ::= F, F ::= (E), F ::= id\}$

A grammar can be specified informally as a sequence of productions

From the start symbol, using productions, we can generate strings in a language

From the start symbol, using productions, we can generate strings in a language

Example

 $E \Rightarrow E + T$ $\Rightarrow T + T$ $\Rightarrow F + T$ $\Rightarrow id + T$ $\Rightarrow id + F * F$ $\Rightarrow id + F * F$ $\Rightarrow id + id * id$

From the start symbol, using productions, we can generate strings in a language

Example

 $E \Rightarrow E + T$ $\Rightarrow T + T$ $\Rightarrow F + T$ $\Rightarrow id + T$ $\Rightarrow id + F * F$ $\Rightarrow id + id * F$ $\Rightarrow id + id * id$

When one string can be re-written as another string, using zero or more production rules from the grammar, we say the first string derives $(\stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\Rightarrow})$ the second string

From the start symbol, using productions, we can generate strings in a language

Example

 $E \Rightarrow E + T$ $\Rightarrow T + T$ $\Rightarrow F + T$ $\Rightarrow id + T$ $\Rightarrow id + T * F$ $\Rightarrow id + F * F$ $\Rightarrow id + id * f$

When one string can be re-written as another string, using zero or more production rules from the grammar, we say the first string derives $(\stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\Rightarrow})$ the second string

Example

```
 \begin{array}{l} E \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} E \text{ (in zero steps)} \\ E \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} {}_{id} + F * F \\ T * T \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} {}_{id + id * id} \end{array}
```

The language L(G) described by a grammar G consists of all the strings comprised of only terminal symbols, ie, $L(G) = \{w | S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w \text{ and } w \in T*\}$

The language L(G) described by a grammar G consists of all the strings comprised of only terminal symbols, ie, $L(G) = \{w|S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w \text{ and } w \in T*\}$

For example, in the arithmetic expression grammar G

```
E \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} id
E \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} id + id + id
E \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} (id + id) + id
so, L(G) includes each of

id
id + id + id
(id + id) + id
```

and infinitely more finite strings

A left-most derivation is a derivation in which at each step, the next string is derived by applying a production for rewriting the left-most non-terminal

A left-most derivation is a derivation in which at each step, the next string is derived by applying a production for rewriting the left-most non-terminal

Example

 $\underline{E} \Rightarrow \underline{E} + T$ $\Rightarrow \underline{T} + T$ $\Rightarrow \underline{F} + T$ $\Rightarrow id + \underline{T}$ $\Rightarrow id + \underline{T} * F$ $\Rightarrow id + \underline{F} * F$ $\Rightarrow id + id * \underline{F}$ $\Rightarrow id + id * id$

A right-most derivation is a derivation in which at each step, the next string is derived by applying a production for rewriting the right-most non-terminal

A right-most derivation is a derivation in which at each step, the next string is derived by applying a production for rewriting the right-most non-terminal

Example

 $\underbrace{E} \Rightarrow E + \underbrace{T} \\ \Rightarrow E + \underbrace{T} * \underbrace{F} \\ \Rightarrow E + \underbrace{T} * id \\ \Rightarrow E + \underbrace{F} * id \\ \Rightarrow \underbrace{E} + id * id \\ \Rightarrow \underbrace{T} + id * id \\ \Rightarrow id + id * id$

A sentential form refers to any string of terminal and non-terminal symbols that can be derived from the start symbol, and a sentence is a string with only terminal symbols

A sentential form refers to any string of terminal and non-terminal symbols that can be derived from the start symbol, and a sentence is a string with only terminal symbols

For example,

 $E \\ E + T \\ E + T * F \\ \dots \\ F + id * id \\ id + id * id$

are all sentential forms, and id + id * id is a sentence

A parse tree illustrates the derivation and the structure of an input string (at the leaves) from a start symbol (at the root)

A parse tree illustrates the derivation and the structure of an input string (at the leaves) from a start symbol (at the root)

Example (parse tree for id + id * id)



Given a grammar G, if there exists a sentence $s \in L(G)$ for which there are more than one left(right)-most derivations or parse trees, we say the sentence s is ambiguous

Given a grammar G, if there exists a sentence $s \in L(G)$ for which there are more than one left(right)-most derivations or parse trees, we say the sentence s is ambiguous

If a grammar G derives at least one ambiguous sentence, we say the grammar G is ambiguous; if there is no such sentence, we say the grammar is unambiguous

Example (ambiguous arithmetic expression grammar)

 $E ::= E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid id$

Example (ambiguous arithmetic expression grammar)

 $E ::= E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid id$

A left-most derivation and corresponding parse tree for the sentence id + id * id

 $\underline{\underline{E}} \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{E}} + \underline{E} \\ \Rightarrow id + \underline{\underline{E}} \\ \Rightarrow id + \underline{\underline{E}} * \underline{E} \\ \Rightarrow id + id * \underline{\underline{E}} \\ \Rightarrow id + id * id$



Example (ambiguous arithmetic expression grammar)

 $E ::= E + E \mid E * E \mid (E) \mid id$

A left-most derivation and corresponding parse tree for the sentence id + id * id



Another left-most derivation and corresponding parse tree for id + id * id

 $\underline{\underline{E}} \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{E}} * \underline{E} \\ \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{E}} * \underline{E} * \underline{E} \\ \Rightarrow id * \underline{\underline{E}} * \underline{E} \\ \Rightarrow id * id * \underline{\underline{E}} \\ \Rightarrow id + id * id$


Context-free Grammars and Languages

Example (dangling-else problem)

```
\begin{array}{c} S ::= \operatorname{if} (E) \ S \\ \mid \operatorname{if} (E) \ S \ \operatorname{else} \ S \\ \mid s \\ E ::= e \end{array}
```

Two left-most derivations and corresponding parse trees for the sentence if (e) if (e) s else s



Context-free Grammars and Languages

Resolving the dangling-else problem

$$S ::= if E do S$$

$$| if E then S else S$$

$$| s$$

$$E ::= e$$

Resolving the dangling-else problem

$$S ::= if E do S$$

$$| if E then S else S$$

$$| s$$

$$E ::= e$$

But programmers have become both accustomed to and fond of the ambiguous conditional

Resolving the dangling-else problem

```
\begin{array}{c} S ::= \text{ if } E \text{ do } S \\ & \mid \text{ if } E \text{ then } S \text{ else } S \\ & \mid \text{ s} \\ E ::= \text{ e} \end{array}
```

But programmers have become both accustomed to and fond of the ambiguous conditional

Compiler writers handle the rule as a special case in the parser such that an $_{else}$ is grouped along with the closest preceding $_{if}$

Context-free Grammars and Languages

Clearly w is a field, but what about x.y.z?

Clearly w is a field, but what about x.y.z?

x might refer to an object with a field y, referring to another object with a field z, referring to the field w

Clearly w is a field, but what about x.y.z?

x might refer to an object with a field y, referring to another object with a field z, referring to the field v

 $_{x,y}$ might be a package in which the class $_z$ is defined, and $_w$ a static field in that class

Clearly w is a field, but what about x.y.z?

x might refer to an object with a field y, referring to another object with a field z, referring to the field v

 $_{\rm x.y}$ might be a package in which the class $_{\rm z}$ is defined, and $_{\rm w}$ a static field in that class

The parser cannot determine how the expression x.y.z is parsed because types are not decided until semantic analysis

Clearly w is a field, but what about x.y.z?

x might refer to an object with a field y, referring to another object with a field z, referring to the field v

 $_{\rm x.y}$ might be a package in which the class $_{\rm z}$ is defined, and $_{\rm w}$ a static field in that class

The parser cannot determine how the expression x.y.z is parsed because types are not decided until semantic analysis

The parser represents x.y.z in the AST as an AmbiguousName node, which gets reclassified during semantic analysis

Top-down parsing algorithms scan the input from left to right, looking at and scanning just one symbol at a time

Top-down parsing algorithms scan the input from left to right, looking at and scanning just one symbol at a time

The parser starts with the grammar's start symbol as an initial goal, which is then rewritten using a rule replacing the symbol with the right-hand-side sequence of symbols

The parser starts with the grammar's start symbol as an initial goal, which is then rewritten using a rule replacing the symbol with the right-hand-side sequence of symbols

Example (compilation unit in *j*--)

compilationUnit	::=	[PACKAGE qualifiedIdentifier SEMI]
		{ IMPORT qualifiedIdentifier SEMI	}
		{ typeDeclaration }	
		EOF	

The parser starts with the grammar's start symbol as an initial goal, which is then rewritten using a rule replacing the symbol with the right-hand-side sequence of symbols

```
Example (compilation unit in j--)
```

```
compilationUnit ::= [ PACKAGE qualifiedIdentifier SEMI ]
        { [ HPORT qualifiedIdentifier SEMI }
        { typeDeclaration }
        EDF
```

The parser starts with the grammar's start symbol as an initial goal, which is then rewritten using a rule replacing the symbol with the right-hand-side sequence of symbols

```
Example (compilation unit in j--)
```

```
compilationUnit ::= [ PACKAGE qualifiedIdentifier SEMI ]
        { [ HPORT qualifiedIdentifier SEMI }
        { typeDeclaration }
        EOF
```

The goal of parsing a compilationUnit can be rewritten as a number of sub-goals:

 ${\scriptstyle (\! \!) }$ If there is a package statement in the input sentence, then parse that

The parser starts with the grammar's start symbol as an initial goal, which is then rewritten using a rule replacing the symbol with the right-hand-side sequence of symbols

```
Example (compilation unit in j--)
```

```
compilationUnit ::= [ PACKAGE qualifiedIdentifier SEMI ]
        { [ HPORT qualifiedIdentifier SEMI }
        { typeDeclaration }
        EOF
```

- ${\scriptstyle 1\!\!\!\!1}$ If there is a package statement in the input sentence, then parse that
- 2 If there are import statements in the input, then parse them

The parser starts with the grammar's start symbol as an initial goal, which is then rewritten using a rule replacing the symbol with the right-hand-side sequence of symbols

```
Example (compilation unit in j--)
```

```
compilationUnit ::= [ PACKAGE qualifiedIdentifier SEMI ]
        { [ HPORT qualifiedIdentifier SEMI }
        { typeDeclaration }
        EOF
```

- ${\scriptstyle 1\!\!\!\!1}$ If there is a package statement in the input sentence, then parse that
- 2 If there are import statements in the input, then parse them
- 3 If there are any type declarations, then parse them

The parser starts with the grammar's start symbol as an initial goal, which is then rewritten using a rule replacing the symbol with the right-hand-side sequence of symbols

```
Example (compilation unit in j--)
```

```
compilationUnit ::= [ PACKAGE qualifiedIdentifier SEMI ]
        { [ HPORT qualifiedIdentifier SEMI }
        { typeDeclaration }
        EOF
```

- ${\scriptstyle 1\!\!\!\!1}$ If there is a package statement in the input sentence, then parse that
- 2 If there are import statements in the input, then parse them
- 3 If there are any type declarations, then parse them
- 4 Finally, parse the terminating EOF token

Parsing a token, like PACKAGE, is simple; if we see it, we simply scan it

Parsing a token, like PACKAGE, is simple; if we see it, we simply scan it

Parsing a non-terminal is treated as another parsing (sub-)goal

Parsing a token, like PACKAGE, is simple; if we see it, we simply scan it

Parsing a non-terminal is treated as another parsing (sub-)goal

For example, in a package statement, once we scan the PACKAGE token, we are left with parsing a qualifiedIdentifier

qualifiedIdentifier ::= IDENTIFIER { DOT IDENTIFIER }

We scan an IDENTIFIER and so long as we see a DOT in the input, we scan the DOT and scan another IDENTIFIER

We decide which rule to apply by looking at the next un-scanned input token

We decide which rule to apply by looking at the next un-scanned input token

Example (statements in *j*--)

We decide which rule to apply by looking at the next un-scanned input token

Example (statements in *j*--)

1 If the next token is a {, then parse a block

We decide which rule to apply by looking at the next un-scanned input token

Example (statements in *j*--)

1 If the next token is a {, then parse a block

2 If the next token is an IF, then parse an if statement

We decide which rule to apply by looking at the next un-scanned input token

Example (statements in *j*--)

1 If the next token is a {, then parse a block

2 If the next token is an IF, then parse an if statement

3 If the next token is a WHILE, then parse a while statement

We decide which rule to apply by looking at the next un-scanned input token

Example (statements in *j*--)

1) If the next token is a {, then parse a block

- 2 If the next token is an IF, then parse an if statement
- 3 If the next token is a WHILE, then parse a while statement
- (4) If the next token is a RETURN, then parse a return statement

We decide which rule to apply by looking at the next un-scanned input token

Example (statements in *j*--)

1 If the next token is a {, then parse a block

- 2 If the next token is an IF, then parse an if statement
- 3 If the next token is a WHILE, then parse a while statement
- (If the next token is a RETURN, then parse a return statement
- 5 If the next token is a semicolon, then parse an empty statement

We decide which rule to apply by looking at the next un-scanned input token

Example (statements in *j*--)

1 If the next token is a (, then parse a block

- 2 If the next token is an IF, then parse an if statement
- 3 If the next token is a WHILE, then parse a while statement
- (If the next token is a RETURN, then parse a return statement
- 5 If the next token is a semicolon, then parse an empty statement
- 6 Otherwise, parse a statementExpression

That we start at the start symbol, and continually rewrite non-terminals using rules until we eventually reach leaves (ie, tokens) makes this a top-down parsing technique
That we start at the start symbol, and continually rewrite non-terminals using rules until we eventually reach leaves (ie, tokens) makes this a top-down parsing technique

Since at each step in parsing a non-terminal, we replace a parsing goal with a sequence of sub-goals, we call this a goal-oriented parsing technique

That we start at the start symbol, and continually rewrite non-terminals using rules until we eventually reach leaves (ie, tokens) makes this a top-down parsing technique

Since at each step in parsing a non-terminal, we replace a parsing goal with a sequence of sub-goals, we call this a goal-oriented parsing technique

In some cases, one must lookahead several tokens in the input to decide which rule to apply

That we start at the start symbol, and continually rewrite non-terminals using rules until we eventually reach leaves (ie, tokens) makes this a top-down parsing technique

Since at each step in parsing a non-terminal, we replace a parsing goal with a sequence of sub-goals, we call this a goal-oriented parsing technique

In some cases, one must lookahead several tokens in the input to decide which rule to apply

In all cases, since we can predict which rule to apply, based on the next input token(s), we say this is a predictive parsing technique

Parsing by recursive descent involves writing a method for parsing each non-terminal according to the rules that define that non-terminal

Parsing by recursive descent involves writing a method for parsing each non-terminal according to the rules that define that non-terminal

Based on the next input token, the method chooses a rule to apply, scans any terminals, and parses any non-terminals by recursively invoking the corresponding methods

Parsing by recursive descent involves writing a method for parsing each non-terminal according to the rules that define that non-terminal

Based on the next input token, the method chooses a rule to apply, scans any terminals, and parses any non-terminals by recursively invoking the corresponding methods

This is the strategy we use in the hand-crafted parser ($_{Parser. java}$) for *j*--

Example (parsing a compilation unit)

```
compilationUnit ::= [ PACKAGE qualifiedIdentifier SEMI ]
        { IMPORT qualifiedIdentifier SEMI }
        { typeDeclaration }
        EOF
```

Example (parsing a compilation unit)

🕼 Parser.java

```
public JCompilationUnit compilationUnit() {
   int line = scanner.token().line():
   String fileName = scanner.fileName();
   TypeName packageName = null;
   if (have(PACKAGE)) {
        packageName = gualifiedIdentifier();
        mustBe(SEMI);
   ArrayList<TypeName> imports = new ArrayList<TypeName>();
   while (have(IMPORT)) {
        imports.add(gualifiedIdentifier());
        mustBe(SEMI):
   ArravList < JAST > typeDeclarations = new ArravList < JAST > ();
    while (Isee(EOF)) {
        JAST typeDeclaration = typeDeclaration();
        if (typeDeclaration != null) {
            typeDeclarations.add(typeDeclaration);
   mustBe(EOF) ·
   return new JCompilationUnit(fileName, line, packageName, imports, typeDeclarations);
```

Example (parsing a qualified identifier)

qualifiedIdentifier ::= IDENTIFIER { DOT IDENTIFIER }

Example (parsing a qualified identifier)

```
qualifiedIdentifier ::= IDENTIFIER { DOT IDENTIFIER }
```

🕼 Parser.java

```
private TypeName qualifiedIdentifier() {
    int line = scanner.token().line();
    mustBe(IDENTIFIER);
    String qualifiedIdentifier = scanner.previousToken().image();
    while (have(DDT)) {
        mustBe(IDENTIFIER);
        qualifiedIdentifier += "." + scanner.previousToken().image();
    }
    return new TypeName(line, qualifiedIdentifier);
}
```

have() looks at the next input token, and if that token matches its argument, then it scans the token and returns true; otherwise, it scans nothing and returns false

 $_{\rm have()}$ looks at the next input token, and if that token matches its argument, then it scans the token and returns $_{\tt true;}$ otherwise, it scans nothing and returns $_{\tt false}$

see() looks at the next input token and returns true if that token matches its argument, and false otherwise

 $_{\rm have()}$ looks at the next input token, and if that token matches its argument, then it scans the token and returns $_{\rm true;}$ otherwise, it scans nothing and returns $_{\tt false}$

 $_{\text{see}()}$ looks at the next input token and returns true if that token matches its argument, and false otherwise

 $_{\tt must Be()}$ requires that the next input token match its argument; on a match, it scans the token, and raises an error otherwise

 $_{\rm have()}$ looks at the next input token, and if that token matches its argument, then it scans the token and returns $_{\rm true;}$ otherwise, it scans nothing and returns $_{\tt false}$

 $_{\text{see}()}$ looks at the next input token and returns true if that token matches its argument, and false otherwise

 $_{\tt must Be()}$ requires that the next input token match its argument; on a match, it scans the token, and raises an error otherwise

mustBe() also implements error recovery

Example (parsing a statement)

🕼 Parser.java

```
private JStatement statement() {
    int line = scanner.token().line():
   if (see(LCURLY)) {
        return block():
   } else if (have(TF)) {
        JExpression test = parExpression():
        IStatement consequent = statement():
        JStatement alternate = have(ELSE) ? statement() : null:
        return new JIfStatement(line, test, consequent, alternate);
   } else if (have(WHILE)) {
        JExpression test = parExpression():
        JStatement statement = statement():
        return new JWhileStatement(line. test. statement);
   } else if (have(RETURN)) {
        if (have(SEMI)) {
            return new JReturnStatement(line, null);
       } else f
            JExpression expr = expression():
            mustBe(SEMI):
            return new JReturnStatement(line. expr):
   } else if (have(SEMI)) {
        return new JEmptyStatement(line);
    } else {
        JStatement statement = statementExpression():
        mustBe(SEMI):
        return statement:
```

Sometimes we must look ahead in the input stream of tokens to decide which rule to apply

Sometimes we must look ahead in the input stream of tokens to decide which rule to apply

```
Example (parsing a simple unary expression)
```

simpleUnaryExpression := LNOT unaryExpression | LPAREN basicType RPAREN unaryExpression | LPAREN referenceType RPAREN simpleUnaryExpression | postfixExpression

Sometimes we must look ahead in the input stream of tokens to decide which rule to apply

Example (parsing a simple unary expression)

simpleUnaryExpression ::= LNOT unaryExpression | LPAREN basicType RPAREN unaryExpression | LPAREN referenceType RPAREN simpleUnaryExpression | postfixExpression

🕼 Parser.java

```
private JExpression simpleUnaryExpression() {
    int line = scanner.token().line();
    if (have(LNOT)) {
        return new JLogicalNotOp(line, unaryExpression());
    } else if (seeCast()) {
        mustBe(LPAREN);
        boolean isBasicType = seeBasicType();
        Type type = type();
        mustBe(RPAREN);
        JExpression expr = isBasicType ? unaryExpression() : simpleUnaryExpression();
        return new JCastOp(line, type, expr);
    } else {
        return postfixExpression();
    }
}
private boolean seeBasicType() {
    return (see(BOOLEAN) || see(CHAR) || see(INT));
}
```

🕼 Parser.java

```
private boolean seeCast() {
    scanner.recordPosition();
    if (!have(LPAREN)) {
        scanner.returnToPosition();
        return false;
    3
    if (seeBasicType()) {
        scanner.returnToPosition();
        return true:
    3
    if (!see(IDENTIFIER)) {
        scanner.returnToPosition();
        return false:
   } else {
        scanner.next():
        while (have(DOT)) {
            if (!have(IDENTIFIER)) {
                scanner.returnToPosition():
                return false:
    while (have(LBRACK)) {
        if (lhave(RBRACK)) {
            scanner.returnToPosition();
            return false:
        3
    if (!have(RPAREN)) {
        scanner.returnToPosition();
        return false;
    scanner.returnToPosition();
    return true:
3
```

The parser scans using $_{LookaheadScanner}$ which encapsulates $_{Scanner}$

The parser scans using LookaheadScanner which encapsulates Scanner

LookaheadScanner defines recordPosition() for marking a position in the input stream, and returnToPosition() for returning the scanner to that recorded position (ie, for backtracking)

When mustBe() comes across a token that it is not expecting, we have a syntax error

When mustBe() comes across a token that it is not expecting, we have a syntax error

The parser should report the error and continue parsing so that it might detect any additional syntax errors

When $_{mustBe()}$ comes across a token that it is not expecting, we have a syntax error

The parser should report the error and continue parsing so that it might detect any additional syntax errors

The facility for continuing after an error is detected is called error recovery

When mustBe() comes across a token that it is not expecting, we have a syntax error

The parser should report the error and continue parsing so that it might detect any additional syntax errors

The facility for continuing after an error is detected is called error recovery

In the *j*-- parser, we implement limited error recovery in mustBe()
Recursive Descent Parsing

🕑 Parser.java

```
private boolean isRecovered = true:
private void mustBe(TokenKind sought) {
    if (scanner.token().kind() == sought) {
        scanner.next():
        isBecovered = true:
    } else if (isRecovered) {
        isRecovered = false:
        reportParserError("%s found where %s sought", scanner.token().image(), sought.image());
   } else {
        while (!see(sought) && !see(EOF)) {
            scanner.next():
        ι
        if (see(sought)) {
            scanner.next():
            isRecovered = true;
private boolean see(TokenKind sought) {
    return (sought == scanner.token().kind());
ι
private boolean have(TokenKind sought) {
    if (see(sought)) {
        scanner.next();
        return true:
    } else f
        return false:
```

At the start, the start symbol S is pushed onto a stack, and based on the first input symbol, S is replaced by the right-hand-side of a rule defining S

At the start, the start symbol S is pushed onto a stack, and based on the first input symbol, S is replaced by the right-hand-side of a rule defining S

The parser continues by parsing each symbol as it is removed from the top of the stack:

At the start, the start symbol S is pushed onto a stack, and based on the first input symbol, S is replaced by the right-hand-side of a rule defining S

The parser continues by parsing each symbol as it is removed from the top of the stack:

• If the symbol is a terminal, it scans a terminal from the input; if they do not match, an error is raised

At the start, the start symbol S is pushed onto a stack, and based on the first input symbol, S is replaced by the right-hand-side of a rule defining S

The parser continues by parsing each symbol as it is removed from the top of the stack:

- If the symbol is a terminal, it scans a terminal from the input; if they do not match, an error is raised
- If the symbol is a non-terminal, the input symbol is used to decide which rule to apply to replace that non-terminal

The parse table has a row for each non-terminal and a column for each terminal, including a special terminator * to mark the end of the sentence

The parse table has a row for each non-terminal and a column for each terminal, including a special terminator * to mark the end of the sentence

The parser consults this table, given the non-terminal on top of the stack and the next input token to determine which rule to use in replacing the non-terminal

The parse table has a row for each non-terminal and a column for each terminal, including a special terminator * to mark the end of the sentence

The parser consults this table, given the non-terminal on top of the stack and the next input token to determine which rule to use in replacing the non-terminal

No table entry may contain more than one rule

Example (arithmetic expression grammar redux)

```
1. E ::= T E'

2. E' ::= * T E'

3. E' ::= \epsilon

4. T ::= F T'

5. T' ::= * F T'

6. T' ::= \epsilon

7. F ::= (E)

8. F ::= id
```

Example (arithmetic expression grammar redux)

1. E ::= T E'2. E' ::= * T E'3. $E' ::= \epsilon$ 4. T ::= F T'5. T' ::= * F T'6. $T' ::= \epsilon$ 7. F ::= (E)8. F ::= id

LL(1) parse table for the grammar

	+	*	()	id	#
Е			1		1	
E'	2			3		3
Т			4		4	
T'	6	5		6		6
F			7		8	

Algorithm LL(1) parsing algorithm

Input: LL(1) parse table *table*, productions *rules*, and a sentence w followed by #**Output:** a left-most derivation for *w* 1: $stk \leftarrow Stack(\#, S)$ 2: $sym \leftarrow first symbol in w$ # 3. while true do $top \leftarrow stk.pop()$ 4: if top = svm = * then 5. Halt successfully 6: else if top is a terminal then 7: 8: if top = svm then Q٠ Advance sym to be the next symbol in w# 10: else 11. Halt with an error: svm found where top was expected end if 12: else if top is a non-terminal Y then 13: index \leftarrow table[Y, sym] 14: if index \neq err then 15: $rule \leftarrow rules[index]$ 16: If $Y ::= X_1 X_2 \dots X_{n-1} X_n$, then $stk.push(X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_2, X_1)$ 17: 18: else Halt with an error: no rule to follow 10. 20. end if end if 21: 22: end while

Example (parsing id+id*id)

Example (parsing id+id*id)

Example (parsing id+id*id)

	+	*	()	id	#
Е			1		1	
E'	2			3		3
Т			4		4	
T'	6	5		6		6
F			7		8	

Example (parsing id+id*id)

	+	*	()	id	#
Е			1		1	
E'	2			3		3
Т			4		4	
T'	6	5		6		6
F			7		8	

Stack	Input	Output
#E	id+id*id#	
#E' T	id+id*id#	1
#E' T' F	id+id*id#	4
#E'T'id	id+id*id#	8
#E' T'	+id*id#	
#E'	+id*id#	6
#E' T+	+id*id#	2
#E' T	id*id#	
#E' T' F	id*id#	4
#E'T'id	id*id#	8
#E' T'	*id#	
#E' T' F*	*id#	5
#E' T' F	id#	
#E'T'id	id#	8
#E' T'	#	6
#E'	#	3
#	#	1

Assuming both α and β are (possibly empty) strings of terminals and non-terminals, table[Y, a] = i, where i is the number of the rule $Y ::= X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$, if either:

Assuming both α and β are (possibly empty) strings of terminals and non-terminals, table[Y, a] = i, where i is the number of the rule $Y ::= X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$, if either:

 $\mathbb{1} X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a\alpha$, or

Assuming both α and β are (possibly empty) strings of terminals and non-terminals, table[Y, a] = i, where i is the number of the rule $Y ::= X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$, if either:

1 $X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a\alpha$, or

 $X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, and there is a derivation $S_* \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha Ya\beta$, ie, *a* can follow *Y* in a derivation

Assuming both α and β are (possibly empty) strings of terminals and non-terminals, table[Y, a] = i, where i is the number of the rule $Y ::= X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$, if either:

- $X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, and there is a derivation $S_* \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha Ya\beta$, ie, *a* can follow *Y* in a derivation

For this we need two helper functions, first and follow

Assuming both α and β are (possibly empty) strings of terminals and non-terminals, table[Y, a] = i, where i is the number of the rule $Y ::= X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$, if either:

1 $X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a\alpha$, or **2** $X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, and there is a derivation $S_* \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha Ya\beta$, ie, *a* can follow *Y* in a derivation

For this we need two helper functions, first and follow

first $(X_1X_2...X_n) = \{a | X_1X_2...X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a\alpha, a \in T\}$, ie, the set of all terminals that can start strings derivable from $X_1X_2...X_n$

Assuming both α and β are (possibly empty) strings of terminals and non-terminals, table[Y, a] = i, where i is the number of the rule $Y ::= X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$, if either:

■ $X_1 X_2 ... X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a\alpha$, or ■ $X_1 X_2 ... X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, and there is a derivation $S_* \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha Y_{\beta}$, ie, *a* can follow *Y* in a derivation

For this we need two helper functions, first and follow

first $(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n) = \{a | X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a\alpha, a \in T\}$, ie, the set of all terminals that can start strings derivable from $X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$

If $X_1 X_2 \ldots X_n \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \epsilon$, then we say that first $(X_1 X_2 \ldots X_n)$ includes ϵ

Algorithm first(X) for all symbols X in a grammar G**Input:** a context-free grammar G = (N, T, S, P)**Output:** first(X) for all symbols $X \in T \cup N$ 1: for $X \in T$ do $first(X) \leftarrow \{X\}$ 2: 3: end for 4: for $X \in N$ do $first(X) \leftarrow \{\}$ 5: 6: end for 7: if $X ::= \epsilon \in P$ then Add ϵ to first(X) 8. 9: end if 10: repeat for $Y ::= X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \in P$ do 11: Add first($X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$) to first(Y) 12: end for 13. 14: until no new symbols are added to any set

Algorithm first($X_1X_2...X_n$) for a sequence of symbols $X_1X_2...X_n$ in a grammar G

```
Input: a context-free grammar G = (N, T, S, P) and a sequence of symbols X_1X_2...X_n

Output: first(X_1X_2...X_n)

1: F \leftarrow \text{first}(X_1)

2: i \leftarrow 2

3: while \epsilon \in F and i \leq n do

4: F \leftarrow F - \epsilon

5: Add first(X_i) to F

6: i \leftarrow i + 1

7: end while

8: return F
```

Example

Example

1. E ::= T E'2. E' ::= * T E'3. $E' ::= \epsilon$ 4. T ::= F T'5. T' ::= * F T'6. $T' ::= \epsilon$ 7. F ::= (E)8. F ::= id
follow(X) = $\{a|S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} wX\alpha$ and $\alpha \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a...\}$, ie, all terminal symbols that start terminal strings derivable from what can follow X in a derivation

follow(X) = $\{a|S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} wX\alpha$ and $\alpha \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a...\}$, ie, all terminal symbols that start terminal strings derivable from what can follow X in a derivation

Alternate definition:

follow(X) = $\{a|S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} wX\alpha$ and $\alpha \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a...\}$, ie, all terminal symbols that start terminal strings derivable from what can follow X in a derivation

Alternate definition:

1 follow(S) contains *, ie, the terminator follows the start symbol

follow(X) = $\{a|S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} wX\alpha$ and $\alpha \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a...\}$, ie, all terminal symbols that start terminal strings derivable from what can follow X in a derivation

Alternate definition:

- 1 follow(S) contains *, ie, the terminator follows the start symbol
- 2 If there is a rule $Y ::= \alpha X \beta$ in P, follow(X) contains first(β) { ϵ }

follow(X) = $\{a|S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} wX\alpha$ and $\alpha \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} a...\}$, ie, all terminal symbols that start terminal strings derivable from what can follow X in a derivation

Alternate definition:

- 1 follow(S) contains #, ie, the terminator follows the start symbol
- 2 If there is a rule $Y ::= \alpha X \beta$ in P, follow(X) contains first(β) { ϵ }
- 3 If there is a rule $Y ::= \alpha X \beta$ in P and either $\beta = \epsilon$ or first(β) contains ϵ , follow(X) contains follow(Y)

Algorithm follow(X) for all non-terminals X in a grammar G

Input: a context-free grammar G = (N, T, S, P)**Output:** follow(X) for all symbols $X \in N$ 1: follow(S) $\leftarrow \{ \# \}$ 2: for $X \in N$ do follow(X) \leftarrow {} 3: 4: end for 5: repeat for $Y ::= X_1 X_2 ... X_n \in P$ do 6. for $X_i \in X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$ do 7: Add first($X_{i+1}X_{i+2}\ldots X_n$) – { ϵ } to follow(X_i) 8. If X_i is the last symbol or $\epsilon \in \text{first}(X_{i+1} \dots X_n)$, add follow(Y) to follow(X_i) 9: end for 10: end for 11:

12: until no new symbols are added to any set

Example

1.
$$E ::= T E'$$

2. $E' ::= * T E'$ first(E) = {(, id}
3. $E' ::= \epsilon$ first(E') = {*, ϵ }
4. $T ::= F T'$ first(T) = {*, ϵ }
5. $T' ::= * F T'$ first(T) = {*, ϵ }
6. $T' ::= \epsilon$ first(F) = {*, ϵ }
7. $F ::= \langle E \rangle$ first(F) = {(, id}
8. $F ::= id$

Example

1.
$$E ::= T E'$$

2. $E' ::= * T E'$
3. $E' ::= \epsilon$
4. $T ::= F T'$
5. $T' ::= * F T'$
6. $T' ::= \epsilon$
7. $F ::= \epsilon E$
8. $F ::= id$
follow(E) = { $, #$ }
follow(T) = { $, *, *$ }
follow(T') = { $, *, *$ }
follow(T') = { $, *, *$ }
follow(F) = { $, *, *$ }

```
Algorithm LL(1) parse table for a grammar G
Input: a context-free grammar G = (N, T, S, P)
Output: LL(1) parse table for G
 1: for Y \in N do
      for Y ::= X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \in P with index i do
 2:
        for a \in first(X_1X_2...X_n) - \{\epsilon\} do
 3.
       table[Y, a] \leftarrow i
 4:
       if \epsilon \in \text{first}(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n) then
 5:
          for a \in follow(Y) do
 6:
                table[Y, a] \leftarrow i
 7:
              end for
 8:
            end if
 9:
10:
         end for
       end for
11:
12: end for
```

Example

8. F ::= id

Example

1.
$$E ::= T E'$$

2. $E' ::= * T E'$
3. $E' ::= \epsilon$
4. $T ::= F T'$
5. $T' ::= \epsilon F T'$
6. $T' ::= \epsilon$
7. $F ::= (E)$
5. $F ::= id$
6. $F ::= id$
6. $F ::= id$
6. $F := id$
7. $F := id$
6. $F := id$
7. $F := id$
6. $F := id$
7. $F := id$
7.

+	*	()	id	#
		1		1	
2			3		3
		4		4	
6	5		6		6
		7		8	
	+ 2 6	+ * 2 6 5	+ * (1 2 4 6 5 7	* () 1 1 1 2 4 3 4 4 1 6 5 6	* () id 1 1 1 2 - 3 - 4 - 4 - 4 6 5 6 - - 7 8 - - -

If a grammar is LL(1), then it is unambiguous

If a grammar is LL(1), then it is unambiguous

It is possible for a grammar not to be LL(1) but LL(k) for some k > 1; in principle, this would mean a table having columns for each combination of k symbols

If a grammar is LL(1), then it is unambiguous

It is possible for a grammar not to be LL(1) but LL(k) for some k > 1; in principle, this would mean a table having columns for each combination of k symbols

Not all context-free grammars are LL(1), but for many that are not, one may define equivalent grammars that are LL(1)

One type of grammar that is not LL(1) is a grammar having a rule with direct left recursion

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Y} ::= \mathbf{Y} \ \alpha \\ \mathbf{Y} ::= \beta \end{array}$

One type of grammar that is not LL(1) is a grammar having a rule with direct left recursion

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Y} ::= \mathbf{Y} \ \alpha \\ \mathbf{Y} ::= \beta \end{array}$

Removing direct left recursion

 $Y ::= \beta Y'$ $Y' ::= \alpha Y'$ $Y' ::= \epsilon$

Example (a non LL(1) grammar with direct left recursion)

E ::= E + TE ::= TT ::= T + FT ::= FF ::= (E)F ::= id

Example (a non LL(1) grammar with direct left recursion)

E ::= E + TE ::= TT ::= T + FT ::= FF ::= (E)F ::= id

Equivalent LL(1) grammar

E ::= T E'E' ::= + T E' $E' ::= \epsilon$ T ::= F T'T' ::= + F T' $T' ::= \epsilon$ F ::= (E)F ::= id

Algorithm Remove left recursion for a grammar G	
Input: a context-free grammar $G = (N, T, S, P)$	
Output: G with left recursion eliminated	
1: Arbitrarily enumerate the non-terminals of G	
2: for $i := 1$ to n do	
3: for $j := 1$ to $i - 1$ do	
4: Replace pairs of rules of the form $X_i ::= X_i \alpha$ and $X_i ::= \beta_1 \beta_2 \dots \beta_k $ by the rules $X_i ::= \beta_1 \alpha \beta_2 \alpha \dots \beta_k \alpha $	
5: Eliminate any direct left recursion	
6: end for	
7: end for	

The bottom-up parser proceeds via a sequence of shifts and reductions, until the start symbol is on top of the stack and the input is just the terminator symbol #

The bottom-up parser proceeds via a sequence of shifts and reductions, until the start symbol is on top of the stack and the input is just the terminator symbol *

Example (parsing id+id*id)

Stack	Input	Action	
	id+id*id#	shift	
id	+id*id#	reduce 6	
F	+id*id#	reduce 4	
Т	+id*id#	reduce 2	
E	+id*id#	shift	
E+	id*id#	shift	
$E_{\text{+id}}$	*id#	reduce 6	
E+F	*id#	reduce 4	
E+T	*id#	shift	
E+T*	id#	shift	
E_{T*id}	#	reduce 6	
$E_{+}T_{*}F$	#	reduce 3	
E+T	#	reduce 1	
E	#	\checkmark	

1. E ::= E + T2. E ::= T3. T ::= T + F4. T ::= F5. F ::= (E)6. F ::= id

The following questions arise:

The following questions arise:

• How does the parser know when to shift and when to reduce?
The following questions arise:

- How does the parser know when to shift and when to reduce?
- When reducing, how many symbols on top of the stack play a role in the reduction?

The following questions arise:

- How does the parser know when to shift and when to reduce?
- When reducing, how many symbols on top of the stack play a role in the reduction?
- Also, when reducing, by which rule does it make the reduction?

The stack configuration combined with the un-scanned input stream represents a sentential form in a right-most derivation of the input

The stack configuration combined with the un-scanned input stream represents a sentential form in a right-most derivation of the input

We call the sequence of terminals on top of the stack that are reduced to a single non-terminal at each reduction step the handle

The stack configuration combined with the un-scanned input stream represents a sentential form in a right-most derivation of the input

We call the sequence of terminals on top of the stack that are reduced to a single non-terminal at each reduction step the handle

Formally, in a right-most derivation, $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha Y w \Rightarrow \alpha \beta w \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} uw$, a handle is a rule $Y ::= \beta$ and a position in $\alpha \beta w$ where β may be replaced by Y

The stack configuration combined with the un-scanned input stream represents a sentential form in a right-most derivation of the input

We call the sequence of terminals on top of the stack that are reduced to a single non-terminal at each reduction step the handle

Formally, in a right-most derivation, $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha Y w \Rightarrow \alpha \beta w \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} uw$, a handle is a rule $Y ::= \beta$ and a position in $\alpha \beta w$ where β may be replaced by Y

So, when a handle appears on top of the stack

Stack	Input
$\alpha\beta$	W

we reduce that handle (β to Y in this case)

The stack configuration combined with the un-scanned input stream represents a sentential form in a right-most derivation of the input

We call the sequence of terminals on top of the stack that are reduced to a single non-terminal at each reduction step the handle

Formally, in a right-most derivation, $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha Y w \Rightarrow \alpha \beta w \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} uw$, a handle is a rule $Y ::= \beta$ and a position in $\alpha \beta w$ where β may be replaced by Y

So, when a handle appears on top of the stack

Stack	Input
$\alpha\beta$	W

we reduce that handle (β to Y in this case)

If β is the sequence X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n , then we call any subsequence, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_i , for $i \leq n$ a viable prefix

The stack configuration combined with the un-scanned input stream represents a sentential form in a right-most derivation of the input

We call the sequence of terminals on top of the stack that are reduced to a single non-terminal at each reduction step the handle

Formally, in a right-most derivation, $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \alpha Y w \Rightarrow \alpha \beta w \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} uw$, a handle is a rule $Y ::= \beta$ and a position in $\alpha \beta w$ where β may be replaced by Y

So, when a handle appears on top of the stack

Stack	Input
$\alpha\beta$	W

we reduce that handle (β to Y in this case)

If β is the sequence X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n , then we call any subsequence, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_i , for $i \leq n$ a viable prefix

If there is not a handle on top of the stack and shifting an input token onto the stack results in a viable prefix, a shift is called for

The LR(1) parsing algorithm is a state machine with a pushdown stack, and is driven by two tables: Action and Goto

The LR(1) parsing algorithm is a state machine with a pushdown stack, and is driven by two tables: Action and Goto

A configuration of the parser is a pair, consisting of the state of the stack and the state of the input

StackInput $s_0X_1s_1X_2s_2\ldots X_ms_m$ $a_ka_{k+1}\ldots a_n$

where the s_i are states, the X_i are (terminal or non-terminal) symbols, and $a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_n$ are the un-scanned input symbols

The LR(1) parsing algorithm is a state machine with a pushdown stack, and is driven by two tables: Action and Goto

A configuration of the parser is a pair, consisting of the state of the stack and the state of the input

Stack	Input
$s_0 X_1 s_1 X_2 s_2 \dots X_m s_m$	$a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_n$

where the s_i are states, the X_j are (terminal or non-terminal) symbols, and $a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_n$ are the un-scanned input symbols

The configuration represents a right sentential form in a right-most derivation of the sequence $X_1X_2...X_ma_ka_{k+1}...a_n$

Algorithm LR(1) parsing algorithm

Input: Action and Goto tables and the input sentence w followed by the terminator #**Output:** a right-most derivation in reverse

1: Initially, the parser has the configuration.

Stack	Input
<i>s</i> ₀	a ₁ a ₂ a _n #

where $a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n$ is the input sentence

2: repeat

5.

6.

3: If Action $[s_m, a_k] = ss_i$, the parser executes a shift (the s stands for "shift") and goes into state s_i

Stack	Input
$s_0 X_1 s_1 X_2 s_2 \dots X_m s_m a_k s_i$	$a_{k+1} \dots a_n$ #

4: Otherwise, if $Action[s_m, a_k] = ri$ (the r stands for "reduce"), where i is the index of the rule $Y ::= X_j X_{j+1} \dots X_m$, the parser replaces the symbols and states $X_i s_j X_{j+1} \dots X_m s_m$ by Ys, where $s = Goto[s_{j-1}, Y]$, and outputs i

	Stack	Input		
	$\overline{s_0 X_1 s_1 X_2 s_2 \dots X_{j-1} s_{j-1} Y s}$	$a_{k+1} \dots a_n$ #		
Otherwise, if $Action[s_m, a_k] = accept$, the	e parser halts successfully			
Otherwise, if Action $[s_m, a_k] = \text{error}$, the r	parser raises an error			

7: **until** either the sentence is parsed or an error is raised

Example (parsing id+id*id)

		Action						Goto	
	+	*	()	id	#	E	Т	F
0			s4		s5		1	2	3
1	s6					1			
2	r2	s7				r2			
3	r4	r4				r4			
4			s11		s12		8	9	10
5	rб	rб				rб			
6			s4		s5			13	3
7			s4		s5				14
8	s16			s15					
9	r2	s17		r2					
10	r4	r4		r4					
11			s11		s12		18	9	10
12	rб	rб		rб					
13	r1	s7				r1			
14	r3	r3				r3			
15	r5	r5				r5			
16			s11		s12			19	10
17			s11		s12				20
18	s16			s21					
19	r1	s17		r1					
20	r3	r3		r3					
21	r5	r5		r5					

1. E ::= E + T2. E ::= T3. T ::= T * F4. T ::= F5. F ::= (E)6. F ::= id

Example (parsing id+id*id)

	Action						Goto		
	+	*	()	id	#	Ε	Т	F
0			s4		s5		1	2	3
1	sб					~			
2	r2	s7				r2			
3	r4	r4				r4			
4			s11		s12		8	9	10
5	rб	rб				rб			
6			s4		s5			13	3
7			s4		s5				14
8	s16			s15					
9	r2	s17		r2					
10	r4	r4		r4					
11			s11		s12		18	9	10
12	rб	rб		rб					
13	r1	s7				r1			
14	r3	r3				r3			
15	r5	r5				r5			
16			s11		s12			19	10
17			s11		s12				20
18	s16			s21					
19	r1	s17		r1					
20	r3	r3		r3					
21	r5	r5		r5					

Stack	Input	Action
0	id+id*id#	s5
0id5	+id*id#	rб
0 <i>F</i> 3	+id*id#	r4
072	+id*id#	r2
0 <i>E</i> 1	+id*id#	sб
0 <i>E</i> 1+6	id*id#	s5
0 <i>E</i> 1+6id5	*id#	rб
0E1+6F3	*id#	r4
0 <i>E</i> 1+6 <i>T</i> 13	*id#	s7
0 <i>E</i> 1+6 <i>T</i> 13*7	id#	s5
0 <i>E</i> 1+6 <i>T</i> 13*7id5	#	rб
0 <i>E</i> 1+6 <i>T</i> 13*7 <i>F</i> 14	#	r3
0 <i>E</i> 1+6 <i>T</i> 13	#	r1
0 <i>E</i> 1	#	1

1. E ::= E + T2. E ::= T3. T ::= T * F4. T ::= F5. F ::= (E)6. F ::= id

The LR(1) parsing tables, Action and Goto, for a grammar G are derived from a DFA for recognizing the possible handles for a parse in G

The LR(1) parsing tables, Action and Goto, for a grammar G are derived from a DFA for recognizing the possible handles for a parse in G

The DFA is constructed from the LR(1) canonical collection, a collection of sets of items (representing potential handles) of the form

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \alpha \cdot \beta, \mathbf{a}]$

where $Y ::= \alpha \beta$ is a rule in P, α and β are (possibly empty) strings of symbols, and α is a lookahead symbol

The LR(1) parsing tables, Action and Goto, for a grammar G are derived from a DFA for recognizing the possible handles for a parse in G

The DFA is constructed from the LR(1) canonical collection, a collection of sets of items (representing potential handles) of the form

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \alpha \cdot \beta, \mathbf{a}]$

where $Y ::= \alpha \beta$ is a rule in P, α and β are (possibly empty) strings of symbols, and a is a lookahead symbol

The \cdot is a position marker that marks the top of the stack, indicating that we have parsed the α and still have the β ahead of us in satisfying the Y

The LR(1) parsing tables, Action and Goto, for a grammar G are derived from a DFA for recognizing the possible handles for a parse in G

The DFA is constructed from the LR(1) canonical collection, a collection of sets of items (representing potential handles) of the form

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \alpha \cdot \beta, \mathbf{a}]$

where $Y ::= \alpha \beta$ is a rule in P, α and β are (possibly empty) strings of symbols, and a is a lookahead symbol

The \cdot is a position marker that marks the top of the stack, indicating that we have parsed the α and still have the β ahead of us in satisfying the Y

The lookahead symbol a is a token that can follow Y (and so, $\alpha\beta$) in a legal right-most derivation of some sentence

The following item is called a possibility

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \cdot \ \alpha \ \beta, \mathbf{a}]$

The following item is called a possibility

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \cdot \alpha \ \beta, \mathbf{a}]$

The following item indicates that α has been parsed (and so is on the stack) but that there is still β to parse from the input

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \alpha \ \cdot \ \beta, \mathbf{a}]$

The following item is called a possibility

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \cdot \alpha \ \beta, \mathbf{a}]$

The following item indicates that α has been parsed (and so is on the stack) but that there is still β to parse from the input

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \alpha \ \cdot \ \beta, \mathbf{a}]$

The following item indicates that the parser has successfully parsed $\alpha\beta$ in a context where Y_a would be valid, and that the $\alpha\beta$ can be reduced to a Y, and so $\alpha\beta$ is a

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \alpha \ \beta \ \cdot, \mathbf{a}]$

The states in the DFA for recognizing viable prefixes and handles are constructed from items

The states in the DFA for recognizing viable prefixes and handles are constructed from items

We first augment our grammar G with an additional start symbol S' and an additional rule so as to yield an equivalent grammar G'

S'::=S

The states in the DFA for recognizing viable prefixes and handles are constructed from items

We first augment our grammar G with an additional start symbol S' and an additional rule so as to yield an equivalent grammar G'

S' ::= S

Example (augmented arithmetic expression grammar)

The initial set, called kernel, representing the initial state in the DFA, will contain the LR(1) item

 $\{[S' ::= \cdot S, *]\}$

which says that parsing an S' means parsing an S from the input, after which point the next (and last) remaining token is the terminator *

The initial set, called kernel, representing the initial state in the DFA, will contain the LR(1) item

 $\{[S' ::= \cdot S, *]\}$

which says that parsing an S' means parsing an S from the input, after which point the next (and last) remaining token is the terminator *

The kernel may imply additional items, which are computed as the closure of the set

Algorithm Computing the closure of a set of items

Input: a set of items *s* **Output:** closure(*s*)

1: $C \leftarrow \text{Set}(s)$

- 2: repeat
- 3: If C contains an item of the form

$$[Y ::= \alpha \cdot X \beta, \mathbf{a}],$$

then add the item

 $[X ::= \cdot \ \gamma, \ \mathbf{b}]$

to C for every rule $X ::= \gamma$ in P and for every token b in first(β_a)

4: until no new items may be added

5: return C
Example

Example

 $closure(\{[E' ::= \cdot E, *]\})$ yields

 $\{ \begin{bmatrix} E' & ::= \cdot E, \# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} E & ::= \cdot E + T, */\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} E & ::= \cdot T, */\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} T & ::= \cdot T * F, */*/\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} T & ::= \cdot F, */*/\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} F & ::= \cdot (E), */*/\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} F & ::= \cdot (E), */*/\# \end{bmatrix} \}$

which represents the initial state s_0 in the LR(1) canonical collection

For any item set s, and any symbol $X \in (T \cup N)$

goto(s, X) = closure(r),

where $r = \{[Y ::= \alpha X \cdot \beta, a] | [Y ::= \alpha \cdot X\beta, a]\}$, ie, to compute goto(s, X), take all items from s with a \cdot before the X and move it after the X, and hence take the closure of that

For any item set s, and any symbol $X \in (T \cup N)$

goto(s, X) = closure(r),

where $r = \{[Y ::= \alpha X \cdot \beta, a] | [Y ::= \alpha \cdot X\beta, a]\}$, ie, to compute goto(s, X), take all items from s with a \cdot before the X and move it after the X, and hence take the closure of that

Algorithm Computing goto
Input: a state s, and a symbol $X \in T \cup N$
Output: the state $goto(s, X)$
1: $r \leftarrow Set()$
2: for $[Y ::= \alpha \cdot X\beta, a] \in s$ do
3: $r.add([Y ::= \alpha X \cdot \beta, a])$
4: end for
5: return closure(r)

Example

$$s_{0} = \{ \begin{bmatrix} E' ::= \cdot E, \# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} E ::= \cdot E + T, */\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} E ::= \cdot T, */\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} T ::= \cdot T * F, */*/\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} T ::= \cdot F, */*/\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} F ::= \cdot (E), */*/\# \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} F ::= \cdot id, */*/\# \end{bmatrix} \}$$

Example

$$goto(s_0, E) = s_1 = \{ [E' ::= E \cdot, *], \\ [E ::= E \cdot * T, */*] \}$$

$$s_{0} = \{ [E' ::= \cdot E, *], \\ [E ::= \cdot E + T, */*], \\ [E ::= \cdot T, */*], \\ [T ::= \cdot T * F, */*/*], \\ [T ::= \cdot F, */*/*], \\ [F ::= \cdot (E), */*/*], \\ [F ::= \cdot id, */*/*] \}$$

Example

$$s_{0} = \{ \begin{bmatrix} E' ::= \cdot E, * \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} E ::= \cdot E + T, */* \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} E ::= \cdot T, */* \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} T ::= \cdot T * F, */* \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} T ::= \cdot F, */* \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} F ::= \cdot (E), */* / * \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} F ::= \cdot id, */* / * \end{bmatrix} \}$$

$$goto(s_0, E) = s_1 = \{ [E' ::= E \cdot, *], \\ [E ::= E \cdot * T, */*] \}$$
$$goto(s_0, T) = s_2 = \{ [E ::= T \cdot, */*], \\ [T ::= T \cdot * F, */*/*] \}$$

Example

$$s_{0} = \{ [E' ::= \cdot E, *], \\ [E ::= \cdot E + T, */*], \\ [E ::= \cdot T, */*], \\ [T ::= \cdot T * F, */*/*], \\ [T ::= \cdot F, */*/*], \\ [F ::= \cdot (E), */*/*], \\ [F ::= \cdot id, */*/*] \}$$

$$goto(s_0, E) = s_1 = \{ [E' ::= E \cdot, *], \\ [E ::= E \cdot + T, */*] \}$$
$$goto(s_0, T) = s_2 = \{ [E ::= T \cdot, */*], \\ [T ::= T \cdot * F, */*/*] \}$$
$$goto(s_0, F) = s_3 = \{ [T ::= F \cdot, */*/*] \}$$

Example

$$s_{0} = \{ [E' ::= \cdot E, *], \\ [E ::= \cdot E + T, */*], \\ [E ::= \cdot T, */*], \\ [T ::= \cdot T * F, */**], \\ [T ::= \cdot F, */**], \\ [F ::= \cdot (E), */*/*], \\ [F ::= \cdot id, */*/*] \}$$

$$goto(s_0, E) = s_1 = \{ [E' ::= E \cdot, *], \\ [E ::= E \cdot * T, */*] \} \\goto(s_0, T) = s_2 = \{ [E ::= T \cdot, */*], \\ [T ::= T \cdot * F, */*/*] \} \\goto(s_0, F) = s_3 = \{ [T ::= F \cdot, */*/*] \} \\goto(s_0, c) = s_4 = \{ [F ::= c : E), */*/*], \\ [E ::= c : E + T, */), \\ [E ::= c : E + T, */), \\ [T ::= c : F, */*/], \\ [T ::= c : E, */*/], \\ [F ::= c : E), */*/] \} \\goto(E_1, E_2, */*/) \\[F ::= c : E_1, */*/] \} \\goto(E_2, */*/) \\[F ::= c : E_1, */*/] \} \\goto(E_2, */*/) \\[F ::= c : E_1, */*/] \} \\goto(E_2, */*/) \\[F ::= c : E_1, */*/] \} \\goto(E_2, */*/) \\[F ::= c : E_1, */*/] \\[F ::= c : E_1, */*] \\[F ::= c : E_1, */*] \\[F ::= c : E_$$

Example

$$\begin{split} s_0 &= \{ [E' ::= \cdot E, \, {}^{\sharp}], \\ [E ::= \cdot E + T, \, {}^{*/\sharp}], \\ [E ::= \cdot T, \, {}^{*/\sharp}], \\ [T ::= \cdot T + F, \, {}^{*/*/\sharp}], \\ [T ::= \cdot F, \, {}^{*/*/\sharp}], \\ [F ::= \cdot (E), \, {}^{*/*/\sharp}], \\ [F ::= \cdot id, \, {}^{*/*/\sharp}] \} \end{split}$$

$$goto(s_0, E) = s_1 = \{ [E' ::= E \cdot, *], \\ [E ::= E \cdot * T, */*] \}$$

$$goto(s_0, T) = s_2 = \{ [E ::= T \cdot, */*], \\ [T ::= T \cdot * F, */*/*] \}$$

$$goto(s_0, F) = s_3 = \{ [T ::= F \cdot, */*/*] \}$$

$$goto(s_0, c) = s_4 = \{ [F ::= c \cdot E), */*/*], \\ [E ::= c \cdot E, *T, */), \\ [E ::= c \cdot T, */), \\ [T ::= c \cdot F, */*/], \\ [T ::= c \cdot F, */*/], \\ [F ::= c \cdot (E), */*/2], \\ [F ::= c \cdot (E), */*/2], \\ [F ::= c \cdot (E), */*/2] \}$$

$$goto(s_0, id) = s_5 = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/*] \}$$

Algorithm Computing the LR(1) collection

Input: a context-free grammar G = (N, T, S, P)**Output:** the canonical LR(1) collection of states $C = \{s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ 1: Define an augmented grammar G' which is G with the added non-terminal S' and added production rule S' ::= S2: $s_0 \leftarrow \text{closure}(\{[S' ::= \cdot S, \#]\})$ 3: $\mathcal{C} \leftarrow \operatorname{Set}(s_0)$ 4: repeat for $s \in C$ do 5: for $X \in T \cup N$ do 6. if $goto(s, X) \neq \emptyset$ and $goto(s, X) \notin C$ then 7: 8: C.add(goto(s, X))end if 9. end for 10. end for 11. 12: **until** no new states are added to C

Example (the LR(1) canonical collection for the arithmetic expression grammar)

$$s_{0} = \{[E' ::= \cdot E, \#], [E ::= \cdot E + T, +/\#], [E ::= \cdot T, +/\#], [T ::= \cdot T * F, +/*/\#], [T ::= \cdot F, +/*/\#], [F ::= \cdot (E), +/*/\#], [F ::= \cdot id, +/*/\#]\}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \gcd(s_0, E) = \{ [E' ::= E \cdot, \#], [E ::= E \cdot +T, */\#] \} = s_1 \\ \gcd(s_0, T) = \{ [E ::= T \cdot, */\#], [T ::= T \cdot *F, */*/\#] \} = s_2 \\ \gcd(s_0, F) = \{ [T ::= F \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_3 \\ \gcd(s_0, C) = \{ [F ::= (\cdot E), */*/\#] \} = s_3 \\ \gcd(s_0, C) = \{ [F ::= (\cdot E), */*/\#], [E ::= E + T, */)], [E ::= \cdot T, */)], [T ::= \cdot T *F, */*/)], [F ::= \cdot (E), */*/)], [F ::= \cdot id, */*/)] \} = s_4 \\ \gcd(s_0, C) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ \gcd(s_0, id) = \{ [F ::= id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \} = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */*/\#] \\ = s_5 \\ (F := id \cdot, */\% \\ (F := id \cdot, */\% \\ (F := id \cdot, */\% \\ (F := id \cdot$$

$$goto(s_1, *) = \{ [E ::= E + \cdot T, */#], [T ::= \cdot T * F, */*/#], [T ::= \cdot F, */*/#], [F ::= \cdot (E), */*/#], [F ::= \cdot id, */*/#] \} = s_6 + c_6 + c$$

$$goto(s_2, *) = \{ [T ::= T* \cdot F, +/*/#], [F ::= \cdot(E), +/*/#], [F ::= \cdotid, +/*/#] \} = s_7 \}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} goto\{s_4, F) = \{[F :::= (E \cdot), +/*/#|I, [E :::= E \cdot +T, +/]]\} = s_8\\ goto\{s_4, T) = \{[E :::= T, +/], [T :::= T \cdot *F, +/*/]\} = s_9\\ goto\{s_4, F) = \{[T :::= F \cdot , +/*/]\} = s_{10}\\ goto\{s_4, C) = \{[F :::= (-E), +/*/]\}, [E :::= E+T, +/)], [E :::= T, +/], [T :::= T*F, +/*/], [T :::= F, +/*/]], [F :::= (E), +/*/], [F :::= (E), +/*/]\} = s_{11}\\ goto\{s_4, A) = \{[F :::= (E), +/*/]\}, [F :::= (E+T, +/)], [F :::= (E+T, +/)], [F :::= (E+T, +/)], [F :::= (E+T, +/)]\} = s_{11}\\ goto\{s_4, A) = \{[F ::::= (E), +/*/]\}, [F :::= (E+T, +/)], [F ::::= (E+T, +/)], [F ::::= (E+T, +/)]\} = s_{12}\\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \gcd(s_6, T) = \{ [E ::= E + T \cdot , +/\#], [T ::= T \cdot *F, +/*/\#] \} = s_{13} \\ \gcd(s_6, F) = s_3 \\ \gcd(s_6, i) = s_4 \\ \gcd(s_6, id) = s_5 \end{array}$$

 $goto(s_7, F) = \{ [T ::= T * F \cdot, +/*/#] \} = s_{14}$ $goto(s_7, () = s_4$ $goto(s_7, id) = s_5$

$$\begin{array}{l} goto(s_8, \cdot) = \{ [F ::= (E) \cdot, +/*/\#] \} = s_{15} \\ goto(s_8, *) = \{ [E ::= E* \cdot T, */\rangle], [T ::= \cdot T*F, */*/\rangle], [T ::= \cdot F, */*/\rangle], [F ::= \cdot (E), */*/\rangle], [F ::= \cdot id, */*/\rangle] \} = s_{16} \end{array}$$

```
goto(s_9, *) = \{ [T ::= T* \cdot F, +/*/) ], [F ::= \cdot (E), +/*/) ], [F ::= \cdot id, +/*/) ] \} = s_{17}
```

```
goto(s_{11}, E) = \{ [F ::= (E \cdot), +/*/], [E ::= E \cdot +T, +/] \} = s_{12}
goto(s_{11}, T) = s_0
goto(s_{11}, F) = s_{10}
goto(s_{11}, () = s_{11}
goto(s_{11}, id) = s_{12}
goto(s_{13}, *) = s_7
goto(s_{16}, T) = \{ [E ::= E + T \cdot, +/) ] [T ::= T \cdot *F, +/*/) \} = s_{10}
goto(s_{16}, F) = s_{10}
goto(s_{16}, () = s_{11}
goto(s_{16}, id) = s_{12}
goto(s_{17}, F) = \{ [T ::= T * F \cdot , +/*/) \} = s_{20}
goto(s_{17}, () = s_{11}
goto(s_{17}, id) = s_{12}
goto(s_{18}, () = \{ [F ::= (E) \cdot, +/*/) ], \} = s_{21}
goto(s_{18}, +) = s_{16}
goto(s_{10}, *) = s_{17}
```

Algorithm Constructing the LR(1) parse tables for a context-free grammar

Input: a context-free grammar G = (N, T, S, P)**Output:** the LR(1) tables Action and Goto

- ${\scriptstyle I\!\!I}$ Compute the LR(1) canonical collection $\mathcal{C}=\{s_0,s_1,\ldots,s_n\}$
- 2 The Action table is constructed as follows:
 - a For each transition, $goto(s_i, a) = s_j$, where a is a terminal, set $Action[i, a] = s_j$
 - **b** If the item set s_k contains the item $[S' ::= S \cdot, *]$, set Action[k, *] = accept
 - For all item sets s_i , if s_i contains an item of the form [$Y ::= \alpha \cdot$, a], set Action[i, a] = rp, where p is the number of the rule $Y ::= \alpha$
 - d All undefined entries in Action are set to error
- 3 The Goto table is constructed as follows:
 - **a** For each transition, $goto(s_i, Y) = s_j$, where Y is a non-terminal, set Goto[i, Y] = j
 - **b** All undefined entries in Goto are set to error

Example (Action and Goto tables for the arithmetic expression grammar)

	Action						Goto		
	+	*	()	id	#	Ε	Т	F
0			s4		s5		1	2	3
1	s6					1			
2	r2	s7				r2			
3	r4	r4				r4			
4			s11		s12		8	9	10
5	rб	rб				rб			
6			s4		s5			13	3
7			s4		s5				14
8	s16			s15					
9	r2	s17		r2					
10	r4	r4		r4					
11			s11		s12		18	9	10
12	rб	rб		rб					
13	r1	s7				r1			
14	r3	r3				r3			
15	r5	r5				r5			
16			s11		s12			19	10
17			s11		s12				20
18	s16			s21					
19	r1	s17		r1					
20	r3	r3		r3					
21	r5	r5		r5					

There are two different kinds of conflicts possible for an entry in the Action table

There are two different kinds of conflicts possible for an entry in the Action table

The shift-reduce conflict can occur when there are items of the forms

 $\begin{matrix} [\mathsf{Y} ::= \alpha \cdot, \mathtt{a}] \text{ and } \\ [\mathsf{Y} ::= \alpha \cdot \mathtt{a}\beta, \mathtt{b}] \end{matrix}$

There are two different kinds of conflicts possible for an entry in the Action table

The shift-reduce conflict can occur when there are items of the forms

 $\begin{matrix} [\mathsf{Y} ::= \alpha \cdot, \mathtt{a}] \text{ and } \\ [\mathsf{Y} ::= \alpha \cdot \mathtt{a}\beta, \mathtt{b}] \end{matrix}$

Example (the dangling else problem)

 $\begin{array}{l} S ::= {}_{\operatorname{if}} (E) \ S \\ S ::= {}_{\operatorname{if}} (E) \ S {}_{\operatorname{else}} \ S \end{array}$

Most parser generators that are based on LR grammars favor a shift of the e_{1se} over a reduce of the if (E) S to an S

There are two different kinds of conflicts possible for an entry in the Action table

The shift-reduce conflict can occur when there are items of the forms

 $[\mathbf{Y} ::= \alpha \cdot, \mathbf{a}] \text{ and } \\ [\mathbf{Y} ::= \alpha \cdot \mathbf{a} \beta, \mathbf{b}]$

Example (the dangling else problem)

 $\begin{array}{l} S ::= {}_{\operatorname{if}} (E) \ S \\ S ::= {}_{\operatorname{if}} (E) \ S {}_{\operatorname{else}} \ S \end{array}$

Most parser generators that are based on LR grammars favor a shift of the e_{1se} over a reduce of the if (E) S to an S

The reduce-reduce conflict can happen when we have a state containing two items of the form

 $\begin{matrix} [X ::= \alpha \cdot, \mathbf{a}] \\ [Y ::= \beta \cdot, \mathbf{a} \end{matrix}$

Besides containing the regular expressions for the lexical structure for j_{--} , the j_{--} , j_{j} file also contains the syntactic rules for the language

Besides containing the regular expressions for the lexical structure for j--, the j--,jj file also contains the syntactic rules for the language

The Java code between the <code>PARSER_BEGIN(JavaCCParser)</code> and <code>PARSER_END(JavaCCParser)</code> block is copied verbatim to the generated <code>JavaCCParser.java</code> file in the <code>jminusminus</code> package

Besides containing the regular expressions for the lexical structure for j--, the j--,jj file also contains the syntactic rules for the language

The Java code between the <code>PARSER_BEGIN(JavaCCParser)</code> and <code>PARSER_END(JavaCCParser)</code> block is copied verbatim to the generated <code>JavaCCParser.java</code> file in the <code>jminusminus</code> package

The Java code defines helper functions (eg, reportParserError()), which are available for use within the generated parser; some of the helpers include

Besides containing the regular expressions for the lexical structure for j--, the j--,jj file also contains the syntactic rules for the language

The Java code between the <code>PARSER_BEGIN(JavaCCParser)</code> and <code>PARSER_END(JavaCCParser)</code> block is copied verbatim to the generated <code>JavaCCParser.java</code> file in the <code>jminusminus</code> package

The Java code defines helper functions (eg, $_{reportParserError}$), which are available for use within the generated parser; some of the helpers include

Following the block is the specification for the scanner for j--, and following that is the specification for the parser for j--

Besides containing the regular expressions for the lexical structure for j--, the j--,jj file also contains the syntactic rules for the language

The Java code between the $PARSER_BEGIN(JAVACCPARSER)$ and $PARSER_END(JAVACCPARSER)$ block is copied verbatim to the generated $JavaCCPARSER_java$ file in the jminusminus package

The Java code defines helper functions (eg, $_{reportParserError}$), which are available for use within the generated parser; some of the helpers include

Following the block is the specification for the scanner for j--, and following that is the specification for the parser for j--

We define a start symbol, which is a high level non-terminal (compilationUnit in case of j--) that references other lower level non-terminals, which in turn reference the tokens

BNF syntax is allowed in the syntactic specification:

BNF syntax is allowed in the syntactic specification:

• [a] for an "optional" occurrence of a

BNF syntax is allowed in the syntactic specification:

- [a] for an "optional" occurrence of a
- (a)* for "zero or more" occurrences of a
BNF syntax is allowed in the syntactic specification:

- [a] for an "optional" occurrence of a
- (a)* for "zero or more" occurrences of a
- a|b for either a or b

BNF syntax is allowed in the syntactic specification:

- [a] for an "optional" occurrence of a
- (a)* for "zero or more" occurrences of a
- a|b for either a or b
- () for grouping

Syntax for a non-terminal declaration

Syntax for a non-terminal declaration

```
    j j-.jj

private|public <type> <name>(<parameter1>, <parameter2>, ...):
    {
        // Local variables.
        ...
        // Local variables.
        ...
        // ENF rules along with any syntactic actions that must be taken as the rules are parsed.
        ...
        // ENF rules along with any syntactic actions that must be taken as the rules are parsed.
        ...
        // catch (ParseException e) {
            recoverFromError(new int[] { SEMI, EOF }, e);
        }
        // return <expression>;
        }
    }
}
```

Syntactic actions, such as creating/returning an AST node, are Java statements embedded within curly braces

Syntax for a non-terminal declaration

```
Z j--.jj
private|public <type> <name>(<parameter1>, <parameter2>, ...):
{
    // Local variables.
    ...
}

try {
    try {
        // ENF rules along with any syntactic actions that must be taken as the rules are parsed.
        // ENF rules along with any syntactic actions that must be taken as the rules are parsed.
        recoverFromError(new int[] { SEMI, EOF }, e);
    }
    {
        return <expression>;
    }
}
```

Syntactic actions, such as creating/returning an AST node, are Java statements embedded within curly braces

JavaCC turns the specification for each non-terminal into a Java method within the generated parser

Example (parsing a compilation unit)

compilationUnit ::= [PACKAGE qualifiedIdentifier SEMI]
 { [HPORT qualifiedIdentifier SEMI }
 { typeDeclaration }
 EOF

🗷 j--.jj

```
public JCompilationUnit compilationUnit():
£
   int line = 0;
   TypeName packageName = null, anImport = null;
    ArravList < TypeName > imports = new ArravList < TypeName > ();
    JAST aTypeDeclaration = null;
    ArravList < JAST > typeDeclarations = new ArravList < JAST > ();
   try {
            <PACKAGE> { line = token.beginLine: }
            packageName = gualifiedIdentifier()
            SEMIN
            <IMPORT> { line = line == 0 ? token.beginLine : line; }
            anImport = gualifiedIdentifier()
            { imports.add(anImport); }
            <SEMT>
        )*
            aTypeDeclaration = typeDeclaration()
                line = line == 0 ? aTypeDeclaration.line() : line:
                typeDeclarations.add(aTypeDeclaration);
        )*
        <EOF> { line = line == 0 ? token.beginLine : line; }
   } catch (ParseException e) {
        recoverFromError(new int[] { SEMI, EOF }, e);
    { return new JCompilationUnit(fileName, line, packageName, imports, typeDeclarations); }
```

Example (parsing a qualified identifier)

qualifiedIdentifier ::= IDENTIFIER { DOT IDENTIFIER }

Example (parsing a qualified identifier)

qualifiedIdentifier ::= IDENTIFIER { DOT IDENTIFIER }

🗷 j--.jj

```
private TypeName qualifiedIdentifier():
   int line = 0;
   String gualifiedIdentifier = "";
   try {
       <TDENTIFIER>
           line = token.beginLine;
           qualifiedIdentifier = token.image;
           LOOKAHEAD(<DOT> <IDENTIFIER>)
            <DOT> <IDENTIFIER>
           { qualifiedIdentifier += "." + token.image; }
       )*
   } catch (ParseException e) {
       recoverFromError(new int[] { SEMI, EOF }, e);
    { return new TypeName(line, qualifiedIdentifier); }
```

Example (parsing a statement)

£

🕑 j--.jj

```
private JStatement statement():
   int line = 0;
   JStatement statement = null:
   JExpression test
                         = null;
   JStatement consequent = null:
   JStatement alternate = null;
   JStatement body
                       = null:
   JExpression expr = null:
   trv {
       statement = block() |
       <TE>
       { line = token.beginLine: }
       test = parExpression()
       consequent = statement()
           LOOKAHEAD (<ELSE>)
           <ELSE>
           alternate = statement()
       { statement = new JIfStatement(line, test, consequent, alternate); } |
       <WHILE>
       { line = token.beginLine; }
       test = parExpression()
       body = statement()
       { statement = new JWhileStatement(line, test, body); } |
       <RETURN>
       { line = token.beginLine: }
           expr = expression()
       1
       <SEMT>
       { statement = new JReturnStatement(line, expr); } |
```

}

🕑 j--.jj

```
<SEMI>
{
    line = token.beginLine;
    statement = new JEmptyStatement( line );
} |
    statement = statementExpression()
    <SEMI>
} catch (ParseException e) {
    recoverFromError(new int[] { SEMI, EOF }, e);
}
{ return statement; }
```

Example (parsing a simple unary expression)

simpleUnaryExpression ::= LNOT unaryExpression | LPAREN basicType RPAREN unaryExpression | LPAREN referenceType RPAREN simpleUnaryExpression | postfixExpression

🕑 j--.jj

```
private JExpression simpleUnarvExpression():
    int line = 0;
    Type type = null:
    JExpression expr = null, unarvExpr = null, simpleUnarvExpr = null:
    trv {
        <LNOT>
        { line = token.beginLine; }
        unaryExpr = unaryExpression()
        { expr = new JLogicalNotOp(line, unaryExpr); } |
        LOOKAHEAD(<LPAREN> basicType() <RPAREN>)
        <LPAREN>
        { line = token.beginLine; }
        type = basicType()
        <RPAREN>
        unarvExpr = unarvExpression()
        { expr = new JCastOp(line, type, unaryExpr); } |
        LOOKAHEAD(<LPAREN> referenceType() <RPAREN>)
        <LPAREN>
        { line = token.beginLine; }
        type = referenceType()
        <RPAREN>
        simpleUnaryExpr = simpleUnaryExpression()
        { expr = new JCastOp(line, type, simpleUnaryExpr); } |
        expr = postfixExpression()
    } catch (ParseException e) {
        recoverFromError(new int[] { SEMI, EOF }, e):
    { return expr ; }
```

The error recovery mechanism in the JavaCC parser for j-- involves catching within the body of a non-terminal, the ParseException that is raised in the event of a parsing error

The exception instance . and the skipTo array is passed to the recoverFromError() error recovery function

The exception instance . and the skipTo array is passed to the recoverFromError() error recovery function

The exception instance has information about the token that was found and the token that was expected, and the $_{\text{skipTo}}$ array has tokens to skip to in order to recover from the error

The exception instance . and the skipTo array is passed to the recoverFromError() error recovery function

The exception instance has information about the token that was found and the token that was expected, and the <code>skipTo</code> array has tokens to skip to in order to recover from the error

In the current error recovery scheme, skipTo always consists of the two tokens SEMI and EOF

The exception instance . and the skipTo array is passed to the recoverFromError() error recovery function

The exception instance has information about the token that was found and the token that was expected, and the $_{\text{skipTo}}$ array has tokens to skip to in order to recover from the error

In the current error recovery scheme, $_{\text{skipTo}}$ always consists of the two tokens $_{\text{SEMI}}$ and $_{\text{EOF}}$

When ParseException is raised, control is transferred to the calling non-terminal, and thus when an error occurs within higher non-terminals, the lower non-terminals go unparsed

🕼 j--.jj

```
private void recoverFromError(int[] skipTo, ParseException e) {
   StringBuffer expected = new StringBuffer():
   for (int i = 0; i < e.expectedTokenSequences.length; i++) {</pre>
        for (int j = 0; j < e.expectedTokenSequences[i].length: j++) {</pre>
            expected.append("\n"):
            expected.append(" ");
            expected.append(tokenImage[e.expectedTokenSequences[i][i]]):
            expected.append("..."):
   if (e.expectedTokenSequences.length == 1) {
        reportParserError("\"%s\" found where %s sought", getToken(1), expected):
   } else {
        reportParserError("\"%s\" found where one of %s sought", getToken(1), expected);
   boolean loop = true;
   do {
        token = getNextToken();
        for (int i = 0; i < skipTo.length; i++) {</pre>
            if (token.kind == skipTo[i]) {
                loop = false:
                break:
   } while(loop);
ι
```