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Type checking (aka semantic analysis) is the final step in the analysis phase, and includes the following:

- Determining the types of all names and expressions
- Insuring that all expressions are properly typed, for example that the operands of an operator have the proper types
- A certain amount of storage analysis, for example determining the amount of storage that is required in the current stack frame to store a local variable (one word for `int`s, two words for `long`s); this information is used to allocate locations (at offsets from the base of the current stack frame) for parameters and local variables
- A certain amount of AST tree rewriting, usually to make implicit constructs more explicit
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The j-- Types

A type in j-- is either a primitive type or a reference type.

Primitive types:
- int - 32 bit two's complement integers
- boolean - taking the value true or false
- char - 16 bit Unicode (but many systems deal only with the lower 8 bits)

Reference types:
- arrays
- objects of a type described by a class declaration
- built-in objects java.lang.Object and java.lang.String

code may interact with classes from the Java library but it must be able to do so using only these types
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How do we represent the types `int`, `int[]`, `Factorial`, `String[][]`?

We want a simple, but extensible representation; we want no more complexity than is necessary for representing all of the types in j-- and for representing any (Java) types that we may add in exercises.

We want the ability to interact with the existing Java class libraries.

Possible solutions:

1. Java types are represented by objects of (Java) type `java.lang.Class`; since j-- is a subset of Java, why not use `Class` objects to represent its types?

2. Define an abstract class (or interface) `Type`, and concrete sub-classes (or implementations) `PrimitiveType`, `ReferenceType`, and `ArrayType`.
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Our solution is to define our own class `Type` for representing types, with a simple interface but also encapsulating the `java.lang.Class` object that corresponds to the Java representation for that same type. Since the parser does not know anything about types, we define two placeholder type representations:

1. `TypeName` - for representing named types recognized by the parser like user-defined classes or imported classes until such time as they may be resolved to their proper `Type` representation.
2. `ArrayTypeName` - for representing array types recognized by the parser like `String[]`, until such time that they may be resolved to their proper `Type` representation.

During analysis, `TypeName`s and `ArrayTypeName`s are resolved to the `Type`s that they represent. More specifically:

- A `TypeName` is resolved by looking it up in the current context, our symbol table representation, and the `Type` found replaces the `TypeName`, and finally, the `Type`'s accessibility from the place the `TypeName` is encountered is checked.
- Since an `ArrayTypeName` has a base type, the base type is resolved to a `Type`, whose `Class` representation becomes the base type for representing the array type.
- A `Type` resolves to itself.
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A symbol table maps names to the things they name, for example, types, formal parameters and local variables; these mappings are established in a declaration and consulted each time a declared name is encountered.

In the j-- compiler, the symbol table is a tree of Context objects, which spans the abstract syntax tree, with each Context corresponding to a region of scope in the j-- source program.

For example, reconsider the simple Factorial program. In this version we mark two locations in the program using comments:

```java
package pass;
import java.lang.System;
public class Factorial {
    public static int factorial(int n) {
        // position 1:
        if (n <= 0) {
            return 1;
        } else {
            return n * factorial(n - 1);
        }
    }
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // position 2:
        int x = n;
        System.out.println(n + "! = " + factorial(x));
    }

    static int n = 5;
}
```
A symbol table maps names to the things they name, for example, types, formal parameters and local variables; these mappings are established in a declaration and consulted each time a declared name is encountered.
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The symbol table for the Factorial program, and its relationship to the AST, is illustrated in the figure below.
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The symbol table takes the form of a tree that corresponds to the shape of the AST. A context, i.e., a node in this tree, captures the region of scope corresponding to the AST node that points to it. For example, in the above figure:

1. The context pointer from the AST's `JCompilationUnit` node points to the `JCompilationUnitContext` that is at the root of the symbol table.
2. The context pointer from the AST's `JClassDeclaration` points to a `ClassContext`.
3. The context pointer from the AST's two `JMethodDeclaration`s each point to a `MethodContext`.
4. The context pointer from the AST's two `JBlock`s each point to a `LocalContext`.

From any particular location in the program, looking back towards the root `CompilationUnitContext`, the symbol table looks like a stack of contexts. Each surrounding context points back towards the `CompilationUnitContext`. The context representing the surrounding lexical scope.
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During analysis, when the compiler encounters a variable, it looks up that variable in the symbol table by name, beginning at the LocalContext most recently created in the symbol table. Type names are looked up in the CompilationUnitContext; to facilitate this, each context maintains three pointers to surrounding contexts, as illustrated in the following figure.
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A CompilationUnitContext represents the scope of the entire program and contains a mapping from names to types:

- The implicitly declared types, `java.lang.Object` and `java.lang.String`

- Imported types

- User-defined types, that is, types introduced in class declarations

A ClassContext represents the scope within a class declaration; in the j-- symbol table, no names are declared here, but if we were to add nested type declarations to j--, they might be declared here.

A MethodContext represents the scope within a method declaration; a method's formal parameters are declared here.

A LocalContext represents the scope within a block, which includes the block defining the body to a method; local variables are declared here.
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Each kind of context derives from (extends) the class `Context`, which supplies the mapping from names to definitions (`IDefns`). The inheritance tree for contexts is illustrated in the following figure.

An `IDefn` is the interface type for symbol table definitions, which has two implementations:

1. `TypeNameDefn`, which defines a type name; an `IDefn` of this sort encapsulates the `Type` that it denotes.
2. `LocalVariableDefn`, which defines a local variable and encapsulates the name, its `Type` and an offset in the current run-time stack frame.
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The inheritance tree for contexts is illustrated in the following figure

An IDefn is the interface type for symbol table definitions, which has two implementations:

1. A TypeNameDefn, which defines a type name; an IDefn of this sort encapsulates the Type that it denotes
2. A LocalVariableDefn defines a local variable and encapsulates the name, its Type and an offset in the current run-time stack frame
Class member (field and method in `j--`) names are not declared in a `ClassContext`, but in the `Types` that they declare. We rely on the encapsulated `Class` object to store the interface information, and we rely on Java reflection to query a type for information about its members. For example, `Type` supports a method `fieldFor()` which, when given a name returns a `Field` with the given name that is defined for that type:

```java
public Field fieldFor ( String name ) {
    Class <? > cls = classRep ;
    while ( cls != null ) {
        java . lang . reflect . Field [] fields = cls . getDeclaredFields () ;
        for ( java . lang . reflect . Field field : fields ) {
            if ( field . getName ( ) . equals ( name )) {
                return new Field ( field ) ;
            }
        }
        cls = cls . getSuperclass () ;
    }
    return null ;
}
```
Class member (field and method in j--) names are not declared in a ClassContext, but in the Types that they declare.
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Class member (field and method in j--) names are not declared in a ClassContext, but in the TypeS that they declare.

We rely on the encapsulated Class object to store the interface information, and we rely on Java reflection to query a type for information about its members.

For example, Type supports a method fieldFor() which, when given a name returns a Field with the given name that is defined for that type.

```java
public Field fieldFor(String name) {
    Class<?> cls = classRep;
    while (cls != null) {
        java.lang.reflect.Field[] fields = cls.getDeclaredFields();
        for (java.lang.reflect.Field field:fields) {
            if (field.getName().equals(name)) {
                return new Field(field);
            }
        }
        cls = cls.getSuperclass();
    }
    return null;
}
```
Pre-analysis of j-- Programs

The semantic analysis of j-- programs requires two traversals of the AST because a class name or a member name may be referenced before it is declared in the source program. The traversals are accomplished by the method `preAnalyze()` for the first traversal and the method `analyze()` for the second, which invoke themselves at the child nodes for recursively descending the AST.

The `preAnalyze()` method must traverse down the AST only far enough for:

- Declaring imported type names
- Declaring user-defined class names
- Declaring fields
- Declaring methods (including their signatures - the types of their parameters)

Therefore, `preAnalyze()` need be defined only in the following types of AST nodes:

- `JCompilationUnit`
- `JClassDeclaration`
- `JFieldDeclaration`
- `JMethodDeclaration`
- `JConstructorDeclaration`
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For the JCompilationUnit node at the top of the AST, preAnalyze() does the following:

1. It creates a CompilationUnitContext
2. It declares the implicit j-- types, java.lang.String and java.lang.Object
3. It declares any imported types
4. It declares the types defined by class declaration, i.e., creates a Type for each declared class, whose classRep refers to a Class object for an empty class; for example, in the pre-analysis phase of our Factorial program above, the Type for Factorial would have a classRep, the Class object for the class class Factorial {}
5. Finally, preAnalyze() invokes itself for each of the type declarations in the compilation unit
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4. It declares the types defined by class declaration, i.e., creates a `Type` for each declared class, whose `classRep` refers to a `Class` object for an empty class; for example, in the pre-analysis phase of our `Factorial` program above, the `Type` for `Factorial` would have a `classRep`, the `Class` object for the class:
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For the JCompilationUnit node at the top of the AST, preAnalyze() does the following:

1. It creates a CompilationUnitContext

2. It declares the implicit j-- types, java.lang.String and java.lang.Object

3. It declares any imported types

4. It declares the types defined by class declaration, i.e., creates a Type for each declared class, whose classRep refers to a Class object for an empty class; for example, in the pre-analysis phase of our Factorial program above, the Type for Factorial would have a classRep, the Class object for the class

\[
\text{class Factorial} \{
\}
\]

5. Finally, preAnalyze() invokes itself for each of the type declarations in the compilation unit
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```java
public void preAnalyze () {
    context = new CompilationUnitContext ();
    // Declare the two implicit types java.lang.Object and java.lang.String
    context . addType (0 , Type . OBJECT );
    context . addType (0 , Type . STRING );
    // Declare any imported types
    for ( TypeName imported : imports ) {
        try {
            Class <? > classRep = Class . forName ( imported . toString ());
            context . addType ( imported . line () , Type . typeFor ( classRep ));
        }
        catch ( Exception e) {
            JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError ( imported . line () ,
                " Unable to find %s", imported . toString ());
        }
    }
    // Declare the locally declared type(s)
    CLEmitter . initializeByteClassLoader ();
    for ( JAST typeDeclaration : typeDeclarations ) {
        (( JTypeDecl ) typeDeclaration ). declareThisType ( context );
    }
    // Pre-analyze the locally declared type(s). Generate (partial) Class instances,
    // reflecting only the member interface type information
    CLEmitter . initializeByteClassLoader ();
    for ( JAST typeDeclaration : typeDeclarations ) {
        (( JTypeDecl ) typeDeclaration ). preAnalyze ( context );
    }
}
```
```java
public void preAnalyze()
{
    context = new CompilationUnitContext();

    // Declare the two implicit types java.lang.Object and
    // java.lang.String
    context.addType(0, Type.OBJECT);
    context.addType(0, Type.STRING);

    // Declare any imported types
    for (TypeName imported : imports)
    {
        try
        {
            Class<? > classRep =
            Class.forName(imported.toString());
            context.addType(imported.line(),
                Type.typeFor(classRep));
        }
        catch (Exception e) {
            JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(
                imported.line(),
                "Unable to find \%s", imported.toString());
        } 
    }

    // Declare the locally declared type(s)
    CLEmitter.initializeByteClassLoader();
    for (JAST typeDeclaration : typeDeclarations)
    {
        ((JTypeDecl)
        typeDeclaration).declareThisType(context);
    }

    // Pre-analyze the locally declared type(s). Generate
    // (partial) Class instances, reflecting only the member
    // interface type information
    CLEmitter.initializeByteClassLoader();
    for (JAST typeDeclaration : typeDeclarations)
    {
        ((JTypeDecl)
        typeDeclaration).preAnalyze(context);
    }
}```
Pre-analysis of j-- Programs

In a class declaration, `preAnalyze()` does the following:

1. It firstly creates a new `ClassContext`, whose `surroundingContext` points to the `CompilationUnitContext`.
2. It resolves the class's super type.
3. It creates a new `CLEmitter` instance, which will eventually be converted to the `Class` object for representing the declared class.
4. It adds a class header, defining a name and any modifiers, to this `CLEmitter` instance.
5. It recursively invokes `preAnalyze()` on each of the class's members, which causes field declarations, constructors and method declarations (but with empty bodies) to be added to the `CLEmitter` instance.
6. If there is no explicit constructor (having no arguments) in the set of members, it adds the implicit constructor to the `CLEmitter` instance; for example, for the `Factorial` program above, the following implicit constructor is added:
   ```java
   public Factorial () {
   super ();
   }
   ```
7. Finally, the `CLEmitter` instance produces a `Class` object, and that replaces the `classRep` for the `Type` of the declared class name in the (parent) `ClassContext`.
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In a class declaration, `preAnalyze()` does the following:

1. It firstly creates a new `ClassContext`, whose `surroundingContext` points to the `CompilationUnitContext`.
2. It resolves the class’s super type.
3. It creates a new `CLEmitter` instance, which will eventually be converted to the `Class` object for representing the declared class.
4. It adds a class header, defining a name and any modifiers, to this `CLEmitter` instance.
5. It recursively invokes `preAnalyze()` on each of the class’s members, which causes field declarations, constructors and method declarations (but with empty bodies) to be added to the `CLEmitter` instance.
6. If there is no explicit constructor (having no arguments) in the set of members, it adds the implicit constructor to the `CLEmitter` instance; for example, for the `Factorial` program above, the following implicit constructor is added:

   ```java
   public Factorial() {
       super();
   }
   ```

7. Finally, the `CLEmitter` instance produces a `Class` object, and that replaces the `classRep` for the `Type` of the declared class name in the (parent) `ClassContext`.
public void preAnalyze ( Context context ) {
    // Construct a class context
    this . context = new ClassContext (this , context );
    // Resolve superclass
    superType = superType . resolve ( this . context );
    // Creating a partial class in memory can result in a
    // java . lang . VerifyError if the semantics below are
    // violated , so we can 't defer these checks to analyze ()
    thisType . checkAccess (line , superType );
    if ( superType . isFinal ()) {
        JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError (line ,
                " Cannot extend a final type : %s",
                superType . toString ());
    }
    // Create the ( partial ) class
    CLEmitter partial = new CLEmitter ();
    // Add the class header to the partial class
    String qualifiedName =
        JAST . compilationUnit . packageName () == "" ? name :
        JAST . compilationUnit . packageName () + "/" + name ;
    partial . addClass (mods , qualifiedName , superType . jvmName () ,
            null , false );
Pre-analysis of j-- Programs

Here is the code for `preAnalyze()` in `JClassDeclaration`:

```java
public void preAnalyze(Context context) {
    // Construct a class context
    this.context = new ClassContext(this, context);

    // Resolve superclass
    superType = superType.resolve(this.context);

    // Creating a partial class in memory can result in a
    // java.lang.VerifyError if the semantics below are
    // violated, so we can’t defer these checks to analyze()
    thisType.checkAccess(line, superType);
    if (superType.isFinal()) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line,
            "Cannot extend a final type: %s",
            superType.toString());
    }

    // Create the (partial) class
    CLEmitter partial = new CLEmitter();

    // Add the class header to the partial class
    String qualifiedName =
        JAST.compilationUnit.packageName() == null?
            name :
            JAST.compilationUnit.packageName() + "/" + name;
    partial.addClass(mods, qualifiedName, superType.jvmName(),
        null, false);
}
```
Pre-analysis of j-- Programs

```java
// Pre-analyze the members and add them to the partial class
for (JMember member : classBlock) {
    member.preAnalyze(this.context, partial);
    if (member instanceof JConstructorDeclaration &&
        ((JConstructorDeclaration) member).params.size() == 0) {
        hasExplicitConstructor = true;
    }
}

// Add the implicit empty constructor?
if (!hasExplicitConstructor) {
    codegenPartialImplicitConstructor(partial);
}

// Get the Class rep for the (partial) class and make it the
// representation for this type
Type id = this.context.lookupType(name);
if (id != null &&
    !JAST.compilationUnit.errorHasOccurred()) {
    id.setClassRep(partial.toClass());
}
```
// Pre-analyze the members and add them to the partial class
for (JMember member: classBlock) {
    member.preAnalyze(this.context, partial);
    if (member instanceof JConstructorDeclaration &&
        ((JConstructorDeclaration) member).params.size() == 0) {
        hasExplicitConstructor = true;
    }
}

// Add the implicit empty constructor?
if (!hasExplicitConstructor) {
    codegenPartialImplicitConstructor(partial);
}

// Get the Class rep for the (partial) class and make it the
// representation for this type
Type id = this.context.lookupType(name);
if (id != null &&
    !JAST.compilationUnit.errorHasOccurred()) {
    id.setClassRep(partial.toClass());
}

}
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In a method declaration, `preAnalyze()` does the following:

1. It resolves the types of the formal parameters
2. It resolves the return type
3. It checks proper use of the `abstract` modifier
4. It computes the method descriptor
5. It generates (partial) code for the method
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In a method declaration, `preAnalyze()` does the following:

1. It resolves the types of the formal parameters
2. It resolves the return type
3. It checks proper use of the `abstract` modifier
4. It computes the method descriptor
5. It generates (partial) code for the method
Here is the code for `preAnalyze()` in `JMethodDeclaration`:

```java
public void preAnalyze(Context context, CLEmitter partial) {
    // Resolve types of the formal parameters
    for (JFormalParameter param : params) {
        param.setType(param.type().resolve(context));
    }
    // Resolve return type
    returnType = returnType.resolve(context);
    // Check proper local use of abstract
    if (isAbstract && body != null) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(), "abstract method cannot have a body");
    } else if (body == null && !isAbstract) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(), "Method with null body must be abstract");
    } else if (isAbstract && isPrivate) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(), "private method cannot be declared abstract");
    } else if (isAbstract && isStatic) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(), "static method cannot be declared abstract");
    }
    // Compute descriptor
    descriptor = "\n    for (JFormalParameter param : params) {
        descriptor += param.type().toDescriptor();
    }
    descriptor += ")" + returnType.toDescriptor();
    // Generate the method with an empty body (for now)
    partialCodegen(context, partial);
}
```
Here is the code for `preAnalyze()` in `JMethodDeclaration`

```java
public void preAnalyze(Context context, CLEmitter partial) {
    // Resolve types of the formal parameters
    for (JFormalParameter param: params) {
        param.setType(param.type().resolve(context));
    }

    // Resolve return type
    returnType = returnType.resolve(context);

    // Check proper local use of abstract
    if (isAbstract && body != null) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
            "abstract method cannot have a body");
    } else if (body == null && !isAbstract) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
            "Method with null body must be abstract");
    } else if (isAbstract && isPrivate) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
            "private method cannot be declared abstract");
    } else if (isAbstract && isStatic) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
            "static method cannot be declared abstract");
    }

    // Compute descriptor
    descriptor = "(";
    for (JFormalParameter param: params) {
        descriptor += param.type().toDescriptor();
    }
    descriptor += ")" + returnType.toDescriptor();

    // Generate the method with an empty body (for now)
    partialCodegen(context, partial);
}
```
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The code for partialCodegen() is as follows:

```java
public void partialCodegen ( Context context , CLEmitter partial ) {
    // Generate a method with an empty body ; need a return to
    // make the class verifier happy .
    partial . addMethod (mods , name , descriptor , null , false );
    // Add implicit RETURN
    if ( returnType == Type . VOID ) {
        partial . addNoArgInstruction ( RETURN );
    } else if ( returnType == Type . INT ||
               returnType == Type . BOOLEAN ||
               returnType == Type . CHAR ) {
        partial . addNoArgInstruction ( ICONST_0 );
        partial . addNoArgInstruction ( IRETURN );
    } else {
        // A reference type .
        partial . addNoArgInstruction ( ACONST_NULL );
        partial . addNoArgInstruction ( ARETURN );
    }
}
```
The code for `partialCodegen()` is as follows:

```java
public void partialCodegen(Context context, CLEmitter partial) {
    // Generate a method with an empty body; need a return to
    // make the class verifier happy.
    partial.addMethod(mods, name, descriptor, null, false);

    // Add implicit RETURN
    if (returnType == Type.VOID) {
        partial.addNoArgInstruction(RETURN);
    }
    else if (returnType == Type.INT ||
              returnType == Type.BOOLEAN ||
              returnType == Type.CHAR) {
        partial.addNoArgInstruction(ICONST_0);
        partial.addNoArgInstruction(IRETURN);
    }
    else {
        // A reference type.
        partial.addNoArgInstruction(ACONST_NULL);
        partial.addNoArgInstruction(ARETURN);
    }
}
```
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Pre-analysis for a JFieldDeclaration is similar to that for a JMethodDeclaration, and does the following:

1. Enforces the rule that fields may not be declared abstract.
2. Resolves the field's declared type.
3. Generates the JVM code for the field declaration, via the CLEmitter created for the enclosing class declaration.

The code itself is rather simple:

```java
public void preAnalyze ( Context context , CLEmitter partial ) {
    // Fields may not be declared abstract.
    if ( mods . contains ("abstract")) {
        JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError ( line () ,
            "Field cannot be declared abstract");
    }
    for ( JVariableDeclarator decl : decls ) {
        // Add field to (partial) class
        decl . setType ( decl . type (). resolve ( context ));
        partial . addField (mods , decl . name () ,
            decl . type (). toDescriptor () , false );
    }
}
```
Pre-analysis for a JFieldDeclaration is similar to that for a JMethodDeclaration, and does the following:

1. Enforces the rule that fields may not be declared abstract.
2. Resolves the field's declared type.
3. Generates the JVM code for the field declaration, via the CLEmitter created for the enclosing class declaration.

The code itself is rather simple:

```java
public void preAnalyze ( Context context , CLEmitter partial ) {
    // Fields may not be declared abstract .
    if ( mods . contains ("abstract")] ) {
        JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError ( line () ,
            "Field cannot be declared abstract ");
    }
    for ( JVariableDeclarator decl : decls ) {
        // Add field to ( partial ) class
        decl . setType ( decl . type (). resolve ( context ));
        partial . addField (mods , decl . name () ,
            decl . type () . toDescriptor () , false );
    }
}
```
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Pre-analysis for a `JFieldDeclaration` is similar to that for a `JMethodDeclaration`, and does the following:

1. Enforces the rule that fields may not be declared `abstract`
2. Resolves the field’s declared type
3. Generates the JVM code for the field declaration, via the `CLEmitter` created for the enclosing class declaration

The code itself is rather simple

```java
public void preAnalyze(Context context, CLEmitter partial) {
    // Fields may not be declared abstract.
    if (mods.contains("abstract")) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
            "Field cannot be declared abstract");
    }

    for (JVariableDeclarator decl : decls) {
        // Add field to (partial) class
        decl.setType(decl.type().resolve( context));
        partial.addField(mods, decl.name(),
            decl.type().toDescriptor(), false);
    }
}
```
Pre-analysis of j-- Programs

The following figure illustrates how much of the symbol table is constructed for our Factorial program once pre-analysis is complete.
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The analysis phase, i.e., the `analyze()` method, recursively descends throughout the AST all the way to its leaves:

- Re-writing field and local variable initializations as assignments
- Declaring both formal parameters and local variables
- Allocating locations in the stack frame for the formal parameters and local variables
- Computing the types of expressions and enforcing the language type rules
- Reclassifying ambiguous names
- Doing a limited amount of tree surgery

At the top of the AST, `analyze()` simply recursively descends into each of the type (class) declarations, delegating analysis to one class declaration at a time.

```java
public JAST analyze(Context context) {
    for (JAST typeDeclaration : typeDeclarations) {
        typeDeclaration.analyze(this.context);
    }
    return this;
}
```
The analysis phase, ie, the `analyze()` method, recursively descends throughout the AST all the way to its leaves:
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The analysis phase, ie, the `analyze()` method, recursively descends throughout the AST all the way to its leaves:

- Re-writing field and local variable initializations as assignments
- Declaring both formal parameters and local variables
- Allocating locations in the stack frame for the formal parameters and local variables
- Computing the types of expressions and enforcing the language type rules
- Reclassifying ambiguous names
- Doing a limited amount of tree surgery

At the top of the AST, `analyze()` simply recursively descends into each of the type (class) declarations, delegating analysis to one class declaration at a time

```java
public JAST analyze(Context context) {
    for (JAST typeDeclaration : typeDeclarations) {
        typeDeclaration.analyze(this.context);
    }
    return this;
}
```
In `JFieldDeclaration`, `analyze()` rewrites the field initializer as an explicit assignment statement, analyzes that and then stores it in the `JFieldDeclaration`'s initializations list.

```java
public JFieldDeclaration analyze(Context context) {
    for (JVariableDeclarator decl : decls) {
        // All initializations must be turned into assignment
        // statements and analyzed
        if (decl.initializer() != null) {
            JAssignOp assignOp = new JAssignOp(decl.line(),
                                                  new JVariable(decl.line(),
                                                                decl.name()),
                                                  decl.initializer());
            assignOp.isStatementExpression = true;
            initializations.add(new JStatementExpression(decl.line(),
                                                           assignOp).analyze(context));
        }
    }
    return this;
}
```

In `JClassDeclaration`, `analyze()` separates the assignment statements into two lists: one for the static fields and one for the instance fields.

```java
// Copy declared fields for purposes of initialization.
for (JMember member : classBlock) {
    if (member instanceof JFieldDeclaration) {
        JFieldDeclaration fieldDecl = (JFieldDeclaration) member;
        if (fieldDecl.mods().contains("static")) {
            staticFieldInitializations.add(fieldDecl);
        } else {
            instanceFieldInitializations.add(fieldDecl);
        }
    }
}
```
In `JFieldDeclaration`, `analyze()` rewrites the field initializer as an explicit assignment statement, analyzes that and then stores it in the `JFieldDeclaration`'s initializations list.

```java
public JFieldDeclaration analyze(Context context) {
    for (JVariableDeclarator decl : decls) {
        // All initializations must be turned into assignment
        // statements and analyzed
        if (decl.initializer() != null) {
            JAssignOp assignOp = new JAssignOp(decl.line(),
                                                new JVariable(decl.line(),
                                                              decl.name()),
                                                decl.initializer());
            assignOp.isStatementExpression = true;
            initializations.add(new JStatementExpression(decl.line(),
                                                        assignOp).analyze(context));
        }
    }
    return this;
}
```
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In JFieldDeclaration, analyze() rewrites the field initializer as an explicit assignment statement, analyzes that and then stores it in the JFieldDeclaration's initializations list

```java
public JFieldDeclaration analyze(Context context) {
    for (JVariableDeclarator decl : decls) {
        // All initializations must be turned into assignment
        // statements and analyzed
        if (decl.initializer() != null) {
            JAssignOp assignOp = new JAssignOp(decl.line(),
                new JVariable(decl.line(),
                    decl.name()),
                decl.initializer());
            assignOp.isStatementExpression = true;
            initializations.add(new JStatementExpression(decl.line(),
                assignOp).analyze(context));
        }
    }
    return this;
}
```

In JClassDeclaration, analyze() separates the assignment statements into two lists: one for the static fields and one for the instance fields

```java
// Copy declared fields for purposes of initialization.
for (JMember member : classBlock) {
    if (member instanceof JFieldDeclaration) {
        JFieldDeclaration fieldDecl = (JFieldDeclaration) member;
        if (fieldDecl.mods().contains("static")) {
            staticFieldInitializations.add(fieldDecl);
        } else {
            instanceFieldInitializations.add(fieldDecl);
        }
    }
}
```
Analysis of j-- Programs

The following figure shows how the static field declaration (`static int n = 5;`) in the Factorial program is rewritten.
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The following figure shows how the static field declaration (`static int n = 5;`) in the `Factorial` program is rewritten.
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Both formal parameters and local variables are declared in the symbol table and allocated locations within a method invocation's run-time stack frame.

For example, consider the following class declaration:

```java
public class Locals {
    public int foo ( int t, String u) {
        int v = u.length();
        {
            int w = v + 5, x = w + 7;
            v = w + x;
        }
        {
            int y = 3;
            int z = v + y;
            t = t + y + z;
        }
        return t + v;
    }
}
```
Both formal parameters and local variables are declared in the symbol table and allocated locations within a method invocation's run-time stack frame.
Both formal parameters and local variables are declared in the symbol table and allocated locations within a method invocation's run-time stack frame

For example, consider the following class declaration

```java
public class Locals {
    public int foo(int t, String u) {
        int v = u.length();
        {
            int w = v + 5, x = w + 7;
            v = w + x;
        }
        {
            int y = 3;
            int z = v + y;
            t = t + y + z;
        }
        return t + v;
    }
}
```

Analysis of j-- Programs

The stack frame allocated for an invocation of foo() at run time by the JVM is shown below.
The code for analyzing a JMethodDeclaration performs four steps:

1. It creates a new MethodContext, whose surroundingContext points back to the previous ClassContext.
2. The first stack frame offset is 0; but if this is an instance method then offset 0 must be allocated to this, and the nextOffset is incremented to 1.
3. The formal parameters are declared as local variables and allocated consecutive offsets in the stack frame.
4. It analyzes the method's body.
The stack frame allocated for an invocation of `foo()` at run time by the JVM is shown below.
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The stack frame allocated for an invocation of $foo()$ at run time by the JVM is shown below:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>z</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

The code for analyzing a JMethodDeclaration performs four steps:

1. It creates a new MethodContext, whose surroundingContext points back to the previous ClassContext.
The stack frame allocated for an invocation of $\text{foo()}$ at run time by the JVM is shown below:

The code for analyzing a $\text{JMethodDeclaration}$ performs four steps:

1. It creates a new $\text{MethodContext}$, whose $\text{surroundingContext}$ points back to the previous $\text{ClassContext}$.
2. The first stack frame offset is 0; but if this is an instance method then offset 0 must be allocated to $\text{this}$, and the $\text{nextOffset}$ is incremented to 1.
The stack frame allocated for an invocation of foo() at run time by the JVM is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>z</td>
<td><strong>computation area</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The code for analyzing a JMethodDeclaration performs four steps:

1. It creates a new MethodContext, whose surroundingContext points back to the previous ClassContext.
2. The first stack frame offset is 0; but if this is an instance method then offset 0 must be allocated to this, and the nextOffset is incremented to 1.
3. The formal parameters are declared as local variables and allocated consecutive offsets in the stack frame.
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The stack frame allocated for an invocation of \( \text{foo()} \) at run time by the JVM is shown below

![Stack Frame Diagram]

The code for analyzing a \( \text{JMethodDeclaration} \) performs four steps:

1. It creates a new \( \text{MethodContext} \), whose \( \text{surroundingContext} \) points back to the previous \( \text{ClassContext} \)
2. The first stack frame offset is 0; but if this is an instance method then offset 0 must be allocated to \text{this}, and the \( \text{nextOffset} \) is incremented to 1
3. The formal parameters are declared as local variables and allocated consecutive offsets in the stack frame
4. It analyzes the method’s body
public JAST analyze (Context context) {
    this.context = new MethodContext(context, returnType);
    if (!isStatic) {
        // Offset 0 is used to addr "this".
        this.context.nextOffset();
    }

    // Declare the parameters
    for (JFormalParameter param: params) {
        this.context.addEntry(param.line(), param.name(),
                          new LocalVariableDefn(param.type(), this.context.nextOffset(), null));
    }

    if (body != null) {
        body = body.analyze(this.context);
    }

    return this;
}
public JAST analyze(Context context) {
    this.context = new MethodContext(context, returnType);

    if (!isStatic) {
        // Offset 0 is used to addr "this".
        this.context.nextOffset();
    }

    // Declare the parameters
    for (JFormalParameter param : params) {
        this.context.addEntry(param.line(), param.name(),
                               new LocalVariableDefn(param.type(), this.context
                                                    .nextOffset(), null));
    }

    if (body != null) {
        body = body.analyze(this.context);
    }

    return this;
}
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The code for analyzing a JBlock performs two steps:
1. It creates a new LocalContext, whose surroundingContext points back to the previous MethodContext (or LocalContext in the case of nested blocks); its nextOffset value is copied from the previous context.
2. It analyzes each of the body's statements; any JVariableDeclaration s declare their variables in the LocalContext created in step 1; any nested JBlock simply invokes this two-step process recursively, creating yet another LocalContext for the nested block.

```java
public JBlock analyze ( Context context ) {
    // { ... } defines a new level of scope.
    this . context = new LocalContext ( context );
    for ( int i = 0; i < statements . size (); i ++) {
        statements . set (i, ( JStatement ) statements . get (i). analyze (this . context ));
    }
    return this;
}
```
The code for analyzing a JBlock performs two steps:
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The code for analyzing a JBlock performs two steps:

1. It creates a new LocalContext, whose surroundingContext points back to the previous MethodContext (or LocalContext in the case of nested blocks); its nextOffset value is copied from the previous context.

2. It analyzes each of the body's statements; any JVariableDeclarations declare their variables in the LocalContext created in step 1; any nested JBlock simply invokes this two-step process recursively, creating yet another LocalContext for the nested block.

```java
public JBlock analyze(Context context) {
    // { ... } defines a new level of scope.
    this.context = new LocalContext(context);
    for (int i = 0; i < statements.size(); i++) {
        statements.set(i, (JStatement) statements.get(i).analyze(
            this.context));
    }
    return this;
}
```
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The stages of the symbol table in analyzing Locals.foo()
The stages of the symbol table in analyzing `Locals.foo()`
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A local variable declaration is represented in the AST with a `JVariableDeclaration`; for example, consider the local variable declaration from `Locals`:

```
int w = v + 5, x = w + 7;
```

Before the `JVariableDeclaration` is analyzed, it appears exactly as it was created by the parser, as is illustrated below.
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A local variable declaration is represented in the AST with a `JVariableDeclaration`; for example, consider the local variable declaration from `Locals`

```java
int w = v + 5, x = w + 7;
```

Before the `JVariableDeclaration` is analyzed, it appears exactly as it was created by the parser, as is illustrated below
Analysis of j-- Programs

Analysis of a JVariableDeclaration involves the following:

1. LocalVariableDefns and their corresponding stack frame offsets are allocated for each of the declared variables.
2. The code checks to make sure that the declared variables do not shadow existing local variables.
3. The variables are declared in the local context.
4. Any initializations are rewritten as explicit assignment statements; those assignments are re-analyzed and stored in an initializations list.
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Analysis of a `JVariableDeclaration` involves the following:

1. LocalVariableDefns and their corresponding stack frame offsets are allocated for each of the declared variables.
2. The code checks to make sure that the declared variables do not shadow existing local variables.
3. The variables are declared in the local context.
4. Any initializations are rewritten as explicit assignment statements; those assignments are re-analyzed and stored in an `initializations` list.
Analysis of j-- Programs

```java
public JStatement analyze ( Context context ) {
    for ( JVariableDeclarator decl : decls ) {
        // Local variables are declared here ( fields are
        // declared in preAnalyze ()
        int offset = (( LocalContext ) context ). nextOffset ();
        LocalVariableDefn defn = new LocalVariableDefn ( decl
            . type (). resolve ( context ), offset );
        // First, check for shadowing
        IDefn previousDefn = context . lookup ( decl . name ());
        if ( previousDefn != null
            && previousDefn instanceof LocalVariableDefn ) {
            JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError ( decl . line () ,
                " The name " + decl . name ()
            . toString () + " overshadows another local variable .");
        }
        // Then declare it in the local context
        context . addEntry ( decl . line (), decl . name (), defn);
        // All initializations must be turned into assignment
        // statements and analyzed
        if ( decl . initializer () != null ) {
            defn . initialize ();
            JAssignOp assignOp = new JAssignOp ( decl . line (),
                new JVariable ( decl . line (), decl . name ()) ,
                decl . initializer ());
            assignOp . isStatementExpression = true ;
            initializations . add ( new JStatementExpression ( decl
                . line (), assignOp ). analyze ( context ));
        }
    }
    return this ;
}
```
public JStatement analyze(Context context) {
    for (JVariableDeclarator decl : decls) {
        // Local variables are declared here (fields are
        // declared in preAnalyze())
        int offset = ((LocalContext) context).nextOffset();
        LocalVariableDefn defn = new LocalVariableDefn(decl
                .type().resolve(context), offset);

        // First, check for shadowing
        IDefn previousDefn = context.lookup(decl.name());
        if (previousDefn != null
                && previousDefn instanceof LocalVariableDefn) {
            JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(decl.line(),
                    "The name " + decl.name() + " overshadows another local variable.");
        }

        // Then declare it in the local context
        context.addEntry(decl.line(), decl.name(), defn);

        // All initializations must be turned into assignment
        // statements and analyzed
        if (decl.initializer() != null) {
            defn.initialize();
            JAssignOp assignOp = new JAssignOp(decl.line(),
                    new JVariable(decl.line(), decl.name()), decl
                    .initializer());
            assignOp.isStatementExpression = true;
            initializations.add(new JStatementExpression(decl
                    .line(), assignOp).analyze(context));
        }
    }
    return this;
}
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The sub-tree for
int w = v + 5, x = w + 7;

after analysis is shown below
The sub-tree for `int w = v + 5, x = w + 7;` after analysis is shown below
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Simple variables (local variables or fields) are represented in the AST as JVariable nodes. Analysis of simple variables involves looking their names up in the symbol table to find their types. If a variable is not found in the symbol table, we examine the Type for the surrounding class (in which the variable appears) to see if it is a field; if it is a field, then the field selection is made explicit by rewriting the tree as a JFieldSelection.

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    iDefn = context.lookup(name);
    if (iDefn == null) {
        // Not a local, but is it a field?
        Type definingType = context.definingType();  
        Field field = definingType.fieldFor(name);
        if (field == null) {
            type = Type.ANY;
            JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line, "Cannot find name: " + name);
        } else {
            // Rewrite a variable denoting a field as an explicit field selection
            type = field.type();
            JExpression newTree = new JFieldSelection(line, field.isStatic() ||
            (context.methodContext() != null && context.methodContext().isStatic()) ?
            new JVariable(line, definingType.toString()) :
            new JThis(line), name);
        }
    }
    return (JExpression) newTree.analyze(context);
}
```
Simple variables (local variables or fields) are represented in the AST as `JVariable` nodes.
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Simple variables (local variables or fields) are represented in the AST as `JVariable` nodes.

Analysis of simple variables involves looking their names up in the symbol table to find their types.

If a variable is not found in the symbol table, we examine the `Type` for the surrounding class (in which the variable appears) to see if it is a field; if it is a field, then the field selection is made explicit by rewriting the tree as a `JFieldSelection`.

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    iDefn = context.lookup(name);
    if (iDefn == null) {
        // Not a local, but is it a field?
        Type definingType = context.definingType();;
        Field field = definingType.fieldFor(name);
        if (field == null) {
            type = Type.ANY;
            JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line,
            "Cannot find name: " + name);
        } else {
            // Rewrite a variable denoting a field as an
            // explicit field selection
            type = field.type();
            JExpression newTree = new JFieldSelection(line(),
            field.isStatic() ||
            (context.methodContext() != null &&
            context.methodContext().isStatic()) ?
            new JVariable(line(),
            definingType.toString()) :
            new JThis(line), name);
            return (JExpression) newTree.analyze(context);
        }
    } else {
        // Not a field
        Context definingContext = context.definingContext();;
        Type definingType = definingContext.type();;
        Field field = definingType.fieldFor(name);
        if (field == null) {
            type = Type.ANY;
            JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line,
            "Cannot find name: " + name);
        } else {
            // Rewrite a variable denoting a field as an
            // explicit field selection
            type = field.type();
            JExpression newTree = new JFieldSelection(line(),
            field.isStatic() ||
            (context.methodContext() != null &&
            context.methodContext().isStatic()) ?
            new JVariable(line(),
            definingType.toString()) :
            new JThis(line), name);
            return (JExpression) newTree.analyze(context);
        }
    }
}
```
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For example, the AST node for the local variable \( v \) in the statement 
\[
\text{return } t + v;
\]
in \texttt{Locals.foo()}, before and after analysis, is shown below
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```java
} else {
    if (!analyzeLhs && iDefn instanceof LocalVariableDefn &&
        !((LocalVariableDefn) iDefn).isInitialized()) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line,
            "Variable " + name + " might not have been
            initialized");
    }
    type = iDefn.type();
}
return this;
```
Analysis of j-- Programs

```java
} else {
    if (!analyzeLhs && iDefn instanceof LocalVariableDefn &&
        (!((LocalVariableDefn) iDefn).isInitialized())) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line,
            "Variable " + name + " might not have been
            initialized");
    }  
    type = iDefn.type();
} return this;
```

For example, the AST node for the local variable `v` in the statement `return t + v;` in `Locals.foo()`, before and after analysis, is shown below

![AST node diagram]
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As another example, consider the analysis of the static field $n$, when it appears in the main() method of our Factorial class; the AST node for the field, before and after analysis, is shown below
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Both field selections and message expressions have targets. In a field selection, the target is either an object or a class from which one wants to select a field, and in a message expression, the target is an object or class to which one is sending a message. Unfortunately, the parser cannot always make out the syntactic structure of a target. For example, consider the field selection `w.x.y.z`; the parser knows this is a field selection of some sort and that `z` is the field, but, without knowing the types of `w`, `x`, and `y`, the parser cannot know whether:

- `w` is a class name, `x` is a static field in `w`, and `y` is a field of `x`;
- `w` is a package containing class `x`, and `y` is a static field in `x`;
- `w.x.y` is a fully qualified class name like `java.lang.System`.

For this reason, the parser packages up the string "w.x.y" in an `AmbiguousName` object, attached to either the `JFieldSelection` or `JMessageExpression`, deferring the decision until analysis. The `reclassify()` method in `AmbiguousName` is based on the rules in the Java Language Specification for reclassifying an ambiguous name.
Both field selections and message expressions have targets.
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Both field selections and message expressions have targets

In a field selection, the target is either an object or a class from which one wants to select a field, and in a message expression, the target is an object or class to which one is sending a message.

Unfortunately, the parser cannot always make out the syntactic structure of a target.

For example, consider the field selection \textcode{w.x.y.z}; the parser knows this is a field selection of some sort and that \textcode{z} is the field, but, without knowing the types of \textcode{w}, \textcode{x} and \textcode{y}, the parser cannot know whether:

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textcode{w} is a class name, \textcode{x} is a static field in \textcode{w}, and \textcode{y} is a field of \textcode{x};
  \item \textcode{w} is a package containing class \textcode{x}, and \textcode{y} is a static field in \textcode{x}; or
  \item \textcode{w.x.y} is a fully qualified class name like \textcode{java.lang.System}
\end{itemize}

For this reason, the parser packages up the string \textcode{"w.x.y"} in an \texttt{AmbiguousName} object, attached to either the \texttt{JFieldSelection} or \texttt{JMessageExpression}, deferring the decision until analysis.

The \texttt{reclassify()} method in \texttt{AmbiguousName} is based on the rules in the Java Language Specification for reclassifying an ambiguous name.
public JExpression reclassify ( Context context ) {
// Easier because we require all types to be imported .
JExpression result = null ;
StringTokenizer st = new StringTokenizer (name , ".");
// Firstly , find a variable or Type .
String newName = st. nextToken ();
IDefn iDefn = null ;
do {
   iDefn = context . lookup ( newName );
   if ( iDefn != null ) {
      result = new JVariable (line , newName );
      break ;
   } else if (! st. hasMoreTokens ()) {
      // Nothing found . :(
      JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError (line ,
" Cannot find name " + newName );
      return null ;
   } else {
      newName += "." + st. nextToken ();
   }
} while ( true );
// For now we can assume everything else is fields .
while (st. hasMoreTokens ()) {
   result = new JFieldSelection (line , result , st. nextToken ());
}
return result ;}
public JExpression reclassify(Context context) {
    // Easier because we require all types to be imported.
    JExpression result = null;
    StringTokenizer st = new StringTokenizer(name, ".");

    // Firstly, find a variable or Type.
    String newName = st.nextToken();
    IDefn iDefn = null;
    do {
        iDefn = context.lookup(newName);
        if (iDefn != null) {
            result = new JVariable(line, newName);
            break;
        } else if (!st.hasMoreTokens()) {
            // Nothing found. :(
            JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line,
                    "Cannot find name " + newName);
            return null;
        } else {
            newName += "." + st.nextToken();
        }
    } while (true);

    // For now we can assume everything else is fields.
    while (st.hasMoreTokens()) {
        result = new JFieldSelection(line, result, st.nextToken());
    }
    return result;
}
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For example, consider the message expression

```
java.lang.System.out.println(...);
```

The parser will have encapsulated the target `java.lang.System.out` in an `AmbiguousName` object. The first thing `analyze()` does for a `JMessageExpression` is to reclassify the `AmbiguousName` to determine the structure of the expression that it denotes, which it does by looking at the ambiguous `java.lang.System.out` from left to right:

1. Firstly, `reclassify()` looks up the simple name, `java`, in the symbol table.
2. Not finding that, it looks up `java.lang`.
3. Not finding that, it looks up `java.lang.System`, which (assuming `java.lang.System` has been properly imported) it finds to be a class.
4. It then assumes that the rest of the ambiguous part, that is `out`, is a field.
5. Thus the target is a field selection whose target is `java.lang.System` and whose field name is `out`.
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After reclassifying any ambiguous part and making that the target, analysis of a `JFieldSelection` proceeds as follows:

1. It analyzes the target and determines the target's type.
2. It then considers the special case where the target is an array and the field is `length`. In this case, the type of the "field selection" is `Type.INT`.
3. Otherwise, it ensures that the target is not a primitive and determines whether or not it can find a field of the appropriate name in the target's type; if it cannot, then an error is reported.
4. Otherwise, it checks to make sure the field is accessible to this region, a non-static field is not referenced from a static context, and then returns the analyzed field selection sub-tree.

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    // Reclassify the ambiguous part.
    ...
    target = (JExpression) target.analyze(context);
    Type targetType = target.type();
    // We use a workaround for the "length" field of arrays.
    if ((targetType instanceof ArrayTypeName) && fieldName.equals("length")) {
        type = Type.INT;
    } else {
        // Other than that, targetType has to be a ReferenceType
        if (targetType.isPrimitive()) {
            JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(), "Target of a field selection must be a defined type");
            type = Type.ANY;
            return this;
        }
    }
    ...
}
```
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After reclassifying any ambiguous part and making that the target, analysis of a JFieldSelection proceeds as follows:

1. It analyzes the target and determines the target’s type.

   - If the target is an array and the field is `length`, the type of the field selection is `Type.INT`.

   - Otherwise, if the target is a primitive, an error is reported.

   - Otherwise, it checks to make sure the field is accessible to this region, a non-static field is not referenced from a static context, and then returns the analyzed field selection sub-tree.
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    ...
    target = (JExpression) target.analyze(context);
    Type targetType = target.type();
    // We use a workaround for the "length" field of arrays.
    if ((targetType instanceof ArrayTypeName) && fieldName.equals("length")) {
        type = Type.INT;
    } else {
        // Other than that, targetType has to be a ReferenceType
        if (targetType.isPrimitive()) {
            JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(), "Target of a field selection must be a defined type");
            type = Type.ANY;
            return this;
        }
    }
}
```
Analysis of j-- Programs
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1. It analyzes the target and determines the target's type.
2. It then considers the special case where the target is an array and the field is `length`. In this case, the type of the "field selection" is `Type.INT`.
3. Otherwise, it ensures that the target is not a primitive and determines whether or not it can find a field of the appropriate name in the target's type; if it cannot, then an error is reported.
4. Otherwise, it checks to make sure the field is accessible to this region, a non-static field is not referenced from a static context, and then returns the analyzed field selection sub-tree.

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    // Reclassify the ambiguous part.
    ...  
    target = (JExpression) target.analyze(context);
    Type targetType = target.type();
    // We use a workaround for the "length" field of arrays.
    if ((targetType instanceof ArrayTypeName) && fieldName.equals("length")) {
        type = Type.INT;
    } else {
        // Other than that, targetType has to be a ReferenceType
        if (targetType.isPrimitive()) {
            JAST compilationUnit . reportSemanticError(line(),
            "Target of a field selection must be a defined type");
            type = Type.ANY;
            return this;
        }
    }
    return this;
}
```
field = targetType . fieldFor ( fieldName );
if ( field == null ) {
    JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError ( line () ,
    " Cannot find a field : " + fieldName );
    type = Type . ANY ;
} else {
    context . definingType () . checkAccess ( line ,
    ( Member ) field );
    type = field . type ();
    // Non - static field cannot be referenced from a
    // static context .
    if (! field . isStatic ()) {
        if ( target instanceof JVariable &&
            (( JVariable ) target ). iDefn () instanceof
            TypeNameDefn ) {
            JAST . compilationUnit . re
field = targetType.fieldFor(fieldName);
if (field == null) {
    JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
    "Cannot find a field: " + fieldName);
    type = Type.ANY;
} else {
    context.definingType().checkAccess(line,
    (Member) field);
    type = field.type();

    // Non-static field cannot be referenced from a
    // static context.
    if (!field.isStatic()) {
        if ((target instanceof JVariable &&
            ((JVariable) target).iDefn() instanceof
            TypeNameDefn) {
            JAST.compilationUnit.
            reportSemanticError(line(),
            "Non-static field " + fieldName +
            " cannot be referenced from a static
            context");
        }
    }
}

return this;
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After reclassifying any AmbiguousName, analyzing a JMessageExpression proceeds as follows:

1. It analyzes the arguments to the message and constructs an array of their types.
2. It determines the surrounding, defining class (for determining access).
3. It analyzes the target to which the message is being sent.
4. It takes the message name and the array of argument types and looks for a matching method defined in the target's type (in j--, argument types must match exactly), and if no such method is found, it reports an error.
5. Otherwise, the target class and method are checked for accessibility, a non-static method is now allowed to be referenced from a static context, and the method's return type becomes the type of the message expression.

```java
public JExpression analyze ( Context context ) {
    // Reclassify the ambiguous part
    // Then analyze the arguments, collecting their types (in Class form) as argTypes
    argTypes = new Type [ arguments . size ()];
    for ( int i = 0; i < arguments . size (); i ++) {
        arguments . set (i, ( JExpression ) arguments . get (i). analyze (context));
        argTypes [i] = arguments . get (i). type ();
    }
```
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After reclassifying any *AmbiguousName*, analyzing a `JMessageExpression` proceeds as follows:

1. It analyzes the arguments to the message and constructs an array of their types
2. It determines the surrounding, defining class (for determining access)
3. It analyzes the target to which the message is being sent
4. It takes the message name and the array of argument types and looks for a matching method defined in the target’s type (in `j--`, argument types must match exactly), and if no such method is found, it reports an error
5. Otherwise, the target class and method are checked for accessibility, a non-static method is now allowed to be referenced from a static context, and the method’s return type becomes the type of the message expression

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    // Reclassify the ambiguous part
    // Then analyze the arguments, collecting
    // their types (in Class form) as argTypes
    argTypes = new Type[arguments.size()];
    for (int i = 0; i < arguments.size(); i++) {
        arguments.set(i, (JExpression) arguments.get(i).analyze(context));
        argTypes[i] = arguments.get(i).type();
    }
```
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// Where are we now? (For access)
Type thisType = ((JTypeDecl) context.classContext().definition()).thisType();

// Then analyze the target
if (target == null) {
    // Implied this (or, implied type for statics)
    if (!context.methodContext().isStatic()) {
        target = new JThis(line()).analyze(context);
    } else {
        target = new JVariable(line(), context.definingType().toString()).analyze(context);
    }
} else {
    target = (JExpression) target.analyze(context);
    if (target.type().isPrimitive()) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(), "cannot invoke a message on a primitive type:" + target.type());
    }
}
// Where are we now? (For access)
Type thisType = ((JTypeDecl) context.classContext
definition()).thisType();

// Then analyze the target
if (target == null) {
    // Implied this (or, implied type for statics)
    if (!context.methodContext().isStatic()) {
        target = new JThis(line()).analyze(context);
    }
    else {
        target = new JVariable(line(),
                                context.definingType().toString()).
analyze(context);
    }
} else {
    target = (JExpression) target.analyze(context);
    if (target.type().isPrimitive()) {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
                                                 "cannot invoke a message on a primitive type:");
        + target.type());
    }
}
// Find appropriate Method for this message expression
method = target . type (). methodFor ( messageName , argTypes );
if ( method == null ) {
    JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError ( line () ,
    " Cannot find method for : 
    Type . signatureFor ( messageName , argTypes ));
type = Type . ANY ;
} else {
    context . definingType () . checkAccess ( line ,
    ( Member ) method );
type = method . returnType () ;
    // Non - static method cannot be referenced from a
    // static context .
    if (! method . isStatic () ) {
        if ( target instanceof JVariable &&
        (( JVariable ) target ). iDefn () instanceof
        TypeNameDefn ) {
            JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError ( line () ,
            "Non - static method 
            Type . signatureFor ( messageName , argTypes ) +
            " cannot be referenced from a static context ");
    }
}
return this ;
}
// Find appropriate Method for this message expression
method = target.type().methodFor(messageName, argTypes);
if (method == null) {
    JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
        "Cannot find method for: "
        + Type.signatureFor(messageName, argTypes));
type = Type.ANY;
} else {
    context.definingType().checkAccess(line, (Member) method);
type = method.returnType();

    // Non-static method cannot be referenced from a static context.
    if (!method.isStatic()) {
        if (target instanceof JVariable &&
            ((JVariable) target).iDefn() instanceof
                TypeNameDefn) {
                JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
                    "Non-static method " +
                    Type.signatureFor(messageName, argTypes) +
                    "cannot be referenced from a static context");
        }
    }
}
return this;
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The rest of analysis, as defined for the various kinds of AST nodes is about computing and checking types and enforcing additional `j--` rules. For most kinds of AST nodes, analysis involves analyzing the sub-trees and checking the types.

**Analysis of JIfStatement**

```java
class JIfStatement {
    public JStatement analyze(Context context) {
        JExpression test = (JExpression) test.analyze(context);
        test.type().mustMatchExpected(line(), Type.BOOLEAN);
        JStatement consequent = (JStatement) consequent.analyze(context);
        if (alternate != null) {
            alternate = (JStatement) alternate.analyze(context);
        }
        return this;
    }
}
```

**Analysis of JSubtractOp**

```java
class JSubtractOp {
    public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
        JExpression lhs = (JExpression) lhs.analyze(context);
        JExpression rhs = (JExpression) rhs.analyze(context);
        lhs.type().mustMatchExpected(line(), Type.INT);
        rhs.type().mustMatchExpected(line(), Type.INT);
        return this;
    }
}
```
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The rest of analysis, as defined for the various kinds of AST nodes is about computing and checking types and enforcing additional j-- rules

For most kinds of AST nodes, analysis involves analyzing the sub-trees and checking the types

Analysis of JIfStatement

```java
public JStatement analyze(Context context) {
    test = (JExpression) test.analyze(context);
    test.type().mustMatchExpected(line(), Type.BOOLEAN);
    consequent = (JStatement) consequent.analyze(context);
    if (alternate != null) {
        alternate = (JStatement) alternate.analyze(context);
    }
    return this;
}
```

Analysis of JSubtractOp

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    lhs = (JExpression) lhs.analyze(context);
    rhs = (JExpression) rhs.analyze(context);
    lhs.type().mustMatchExpected(line(), Type.INT);
    rhs.type().mustMatchExpected(line(), Type.INT);
    type = Type.INT;
    return this;
}
```
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Analysis of \texttt{JPlusOp}

```java
public JExpression analyze (Context context) {
    lhs = (JExpression) lhs.analyze(context);
    rhs = (JExpression) rhs.analyze(context);
    if (lhs.type() == Type.STRING || rhs.type() == Type.STRING) {
        return (new JStringConcatenationOp(line, lhs, rhs)).analyze(context);
    } else if (lhs.type() == Type.INT && rhs.type() == Type.INT) {
        type = Type.INT;
    } else {
        type = Type.ANY;
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(), "Invalid operand types for +");
    }
    return this;
}
```

Analysis of \texttt{JStringConcatenateOp}

```java
public JExpression analyze (Context context) {
    type = Type.STRING;
    return this;
}
```

Analysis of \texttt{JLiteralInt}

```java
public JExpression analyze (Context context) {
    type = Type.INT;
    return this;
}
```
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Analysis of JPlusOp

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    lhs = (JExpression) lhs.analyze(context);
    rhs = (JExpression) rhs.analyze(context);
    if (lhs.type() == Type.STRING || rhs.type() == Type.STRING) {
        return (new JStringConcatenationOp(line, lhs, rhs))
            .analyze(context);
    } else if (lhs.type() == Type.INT && rhs.type() == Type.INT){
        type = Type.INT;
    } else {
        type = Type.ANY;
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
            "Invalid operand types for +");
    }
    return this;
}
```

Analysis of JStringConcatenateOp

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    type = Type.STRING;
    return this;
}
```

Analysis of JLiteralInt

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    type = Type.INT;
    return this;
}
```
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Analysis of *JPlusOp*

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    lhs = (JExpression) lhs.analyze(context);
    rhs = (JExpression) rhs.analyze(context);
    if (lhs.type() == Type.STRING || rhs.type() == Type.STRING) {
        return new JStringConcatenationOp(line, lhs, rhs)
            .analyze(context);
    } else if (lhs.type() == Type.INT && rhs.type() == Type.INT){
        type = Type.INT;
    } else {
        type = Type.ANY;
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(),
            "Invalid operand types for +");
    }
    return this;
}
```

Analysis of *JStringConcatenateOp*

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    type = Type.STRING;
    return this;
}
```
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    lhs = (JExpression) lhs.analyze(context);
    rhs = (JExpression) rhs.analyze(context);
    if (lhs.type() == Type.STRING || rhs.type() == Type.STRING) {
        return (new JStringConcatenationOp(line, lhs, rhs)).analyze(context);
    } else if (lhs.type() == Type.INT && rhs.type() == Type.INT) {
        type = Type.INT;
    } else {
        type = Type.ANY;
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line(), "Invalid operand types for +");
    }
    return this;
}

Analysis of JStringConcatenateOp

public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    type = Type.STRING;
    return this;
}

Analysis of JLiteralInt

public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    type = Type.INT;
    return this;
}
The `j--` language is stricter than is Java when it comes to types. There are no implied conversions in `j--`; when one assigns an expression to a variable, the types must match exactly, and the same goes for actual parameters to messages matching the formal parameters of methods. This does not exclude polymorphism; for example, if type `Bar` extends type `Foo`, if `bar` is a variable of type `Bar` and `foo` is a variable of type `Foo`, we can say `foo = ( Foo ) bar;` to keep the `j--` compiler happy. Of course, the object that `bar` refers to could be of type `Bar` or any of its sub-types; polymorphism has not gone away.

Analysis, when encountering a `JCastOp` for an expression such as `( Type2 ) expression of Type1`, must determine two things:

1. That an expression of type `Type1` can be cast to `Type2`, i.e., that the cast is valid.
2. The type of the result, which is simply `Type2`.
The j-- language is stricter than is Java when it comes to types.
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The \textit{j--} language is stricter than is Java when it comes to types.

There are no implied conversions in \textit{j--}; when one assigns an expression to a variable, the types must match exactly, and the same goes for actual parameters to messages matching the formal parameters of methods.

This does not exclude polymorphism; for example if type \texttt{Bar} extends type \texttt{Foo}, if \texttt{bar} is a variable of type \texttt{Bar} and \texttt{foo} is a variable of type \texttt{Foo}, we can say

\begin{verbatim}
    foo = (Foo) bar;
\end{verbatim}

to keep the \textit{j--} compiler happy.

Of course, the object that \texttt{bar} refers to could be of type \texttt{Bar} or any of its sub-types; polymorphism has not gone away.
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There are no implied conversions in $j-$; when one assigns an expression to a variable, the types must match exactly, and the same goes for actual parameters to messages matching the formal parameters of methods.

This does not exclude polymorphism; for example if type $\text{Bar}$ extends type $\text{Foo}$, if $\text{bar}$ is a variable of type $\text{Bar}$ and $\text{foo}$ is a variable of type $\text{Foo}$, we can say

```java
foo = (Foo) bar;
```

to keep the $j-$ compiler happy.

Of course, the object that $\text{bar}$ refers to could be of type $\text{Bar}$ or any of its sub-types; polymorphism has not gone away.

Analysis, when encountering a $\text{JCastOp}$ for an expression such as

```java
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1. That an expression of type $\text{Type1}$ can be cast to $\text{Type2}$, ie, that the cast is valid
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To determine (1) we must consider the possibilities for

1. Any type may be cast to itself (aka identity cast)
2. An arbitrary reference type may be cast to another reference type if and only if either one of the following holds:
   1. The first type is a sub-type of (extends) the second type; this is called widening and requires no action at run time
   2. The second type is a sub-type of the first type; this is called narrowing and requires a run-time check to make sure the expression being cast is actually an instance of the type it is being cast to
3. The following table summarizes other casts, and says whether or not (and how) a type labeling a row may be cast to a type labeling a column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>boolean</th>
<th>char</th>
<th>int</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Boxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Widening</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Boxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Narrowing</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Unboxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Unboxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Unboxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Identity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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To determine (1) we must consider the possibilities for \( \text{Type}_1 \) and \( \text{Type}_2 \)
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To determine (1) we must consider the possibilities for Type1 and Type2:

1. Any type may be cast to itself (aka identity cast)
2. An arbitrary reference type may be cast to another reference type if and only if either one of the following holds:
   1. The first type is a sub-type of (extends) the second type; this is called widening and requires no action at run time
   2. The second type is a sub-type of the first type; this is called narrowing and requires a run-time check to make sure the expression being cast is actually an instance of the type it is being cast to
3. The following table summarizes other casts, and says whether or not (and how) a type labeling a row may be cast to a type labeling a column:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>boolean</th>
<th>char</th>
<th>int</th>
<th>Boolean</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Integer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Boxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Widening</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Boxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>int</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Narrowing</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Boxing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boolean</td>
<td>Unboxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Unboxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Unboxing</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Identity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
public JExpression analyze ( Context context ) {
    expr = ( JExpression ) expr . analyze ( context );
    type = cast = cast . resolve ( context );
    if ( cast . equals ( expr . type ()))) {
        converter = Converter . Identity ;
    } else if ( cast . isJavaAssignableFrom ( expr . type ())) {
        converter = Converter . WidenReference ;
    } else if ( expr . type (). isJavaAssignableFrom ( cast )) {
        converter = new NarrowReference ( cast );
    } else if (( converter = conversions . get ( expr . type () , cast )) != null ) {
    } else {
        JAST . compilationUnit . reportSemanticError (line ,
        " Cannot cast a " + expr . type (). toString () + " to a "+ cast . toString () );
    }
    return this ;
}

A converter for narrowing one reference type to another (more specific) reference sub-type
class NarrowReference implements Converter {
    private Type target ;
    public NarrowReference ( Type target ) {
        this . target = target ;
    }
    public void codegen ( CLEmitter output ) {
        output . addReferenceInstruction ( CHECKCAST , target . jvmName ());
    }
}
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Analysis in JCastOp

```java
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    expr = (JExpression) expr.analyze(context);
    type = cast = cast.resolve(context);
    if (cast.equals(expr.type())) {
        converter = Converter.Identity;
    } else if (cast.isJavaAssignableFrom(expr.type())) {
        converter = Converter.WidenReference;
    } else if (expr.type().isJavaAssignableFrom(cast)) {
        converter = new NarrowReference(cast);
    } else if ((converter = conversions.get(expr.type(), cast)) != null) {
    } else {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line,
            "Cannot cast a " + expr.type().toString() + " to a " + cast.toString());
    }
    return this;
}
```
public JExpression analyze(Context context) {
    expr = (JExpression) expr.analyze(context);
    type = cast = cast.resolve(context);
    if (cast.equals(expr.type())) {
        converter = Converter.Identity;
    } else if (cast.isJavaAssignableFrom(expr.type())) {
        converter = Converter.WidenReference;
    } else if (expr.type().isJavaAssignableFrom(cast)) {
        converter = new NarrowReference(cast);
    } else if ((converter = conversions.get(expr.type(), cast)) != null) {
    } else {
        JAST.compilationUnit.reportSemanticError(line,
            "Cannot cast a " + expr.type().toString() + " to a "+ cast.toString());
    }
    return this;
}

A converter for narrowing one reference type to another (more specific) reference sub-type

class NarrowReference implements Converter {
    private Type target;

    public NarrowReference(Type target) {
        this.target = target;
    }

    public void codegen(CLEmitter output) {
        output.addReferenceInstruction(CHECKCAST, target.jvmName());
    }
}
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In Java, every variable (whether it be a local variable or a field) must be definitely assigned before it is accessed in a computation, i.e., it must appear on the left-hand side of the \( = \) operator before it is accessed. We do not have this rule in j--, so we need not enforce it in our compiler.

Enforcing the definite assignment rule requires data flow analysis, which determines where in the program variables are defined (assigned values), where in the program the variables' values are used, and so where in the program those values are valid (from assignment to last use).

Our JVM to MIPS translator performs data-flow analysis as part of computing live intervals for register allocation.
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