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UMB
We discuss the behavior of the class of regular languages with respect to several operations previously defined. We prove that this class is the smallest class of languages that

- contains all finite languages, and
- is closed with respect to union, product, and Kleene closure.
The class of regular languages is closed with respect to the complement operations as shown by the next theorem.

**Theorem**

*Let* \( A \) *be an alphabet. If* \( L \subseteq A^* \) *is a regular language, then its complement* \( \bar{L} = A^* - L \) *is also a regular language.*

**Proof.**

Suppose that \( M = (A, Q, \delta, q_0, F) \) is an automaton such that \( L = L(M) \). Define the automaton \( M' = (A, Q, \delta, q_0, Q - F) \); thus, only the set of final states of \( M' \) differs from the corresponding set for \( M \). We have \( x \in L(M') \) if and only if \( \delta^*(q_0, x) \in Q - F \), or, equivalently, if and only if \( \delta^*(q_0, x) \notin F \). Therefore \( L(M') = A^* - L \), which proves that \( A^* - L \) is regular.
Transition systems are very convenient for proving closure properties of the class $\mathcal{R}$. To make use of these devices we use the following technical result.

**Lemma**

*For every nonempty regular language $L \subseteq A^*$ there exists a transition system that accepts $L$ and has a single initial state $q_0$ and a single final state $q_f$.***
Proof

Since $L$ is a nonempty regular language, there exists a transition system $\mathcal{T} = (A, Q, \theta, Q_0, F)$ such that $L(\mathcal{T}) = L$ and $F \neq \emptyset$. Define the transition system $\mathcal{T}' = (A, Q \cup \{q_0, q_f\}, \theta', \{q_0\}, \{q_f\})$, where $q_0, q_f \notin Q$. The relation $\theta'$ is given by:

$$\theta' = \theta \cup \{(q_0, \lambda, q) \mid q \in Q_0\} \cup \{(q, \lambda, q_f) \mid q \in F\}.$$
The graph of $\mathcal{T}'$ is represented below.

Clearly, $(q, x, q') \in \theta^*$ for some $q \in Q_0$ and $q' \in F$ if and only if $(q_0, \lambda x \lambda, q_f) = (q_0, x, q_f) \in \theta'^*$. Therefore, $L(\mathcal{T}) = L(\mathcal{T'})$. 

Theorem

If $L$ is a regular language, then $L^R$ is also regular.

Proof.

Define a new transition system $\mathcal{I}' = (A, Q, \theta', \{q_f\}, \{q_0\})$, where

$$\theta' = \{(q_2, w, q_1) \mid (q_1, w, q_2) \in \theta\}.$$ 

We have $(q, x^R, q') \in \theta'^*$ if and only if $(q', x, q) \in \theta^*$. Therefore, $x \in L(\mathcal{I})$ if and only if $x^R \in L(\mathcal{I}')$, so $L(\mathcal{I}') = (L(\mathcal{I}))^R$. 

\qed
Next, we show that the class of regular languages is closed under union.

**Theorem**

*If* $L_0, L_1$ *are regular languages, then* $L_0 \cup L_1$ *is regular.*

**Proof.**

Without loss of generality, assume that both $L_0$ and $L_1$ are regular languages over the same alphabet $A$. Suppose that $L_i = L(T_i)$, where $T_i$, $i = 0, 1$, are two transition systems. We can assume that each $T_i$ has a single initial and a single final state, $T_i = (A, Q_i, \theta_i, \{q_{0i}\}, \{q_{fi}\})$ for $i = 0, 1$; also, assume that $Q_0 \cap Q_1 = \emptyset$. 

\[ \square \]
Proof (cont’d)

Define a new transition system $\mathcal{T}' = (A, Q', \theta', \{q_0\}, \{q_f\})$ given by $Q' = Q_0 \cup Q_1 \cup \{q_0, q_f\}$, and

$$\theta = \theta_0 \cup \theta_1 \cup \{(q_0, \lambda, q_{00}), (q_0, \lambda, q_{01}), (q_{f0}, \lambda, q_f), (q_{f1}, \lambda, q_f)\}.$$

Since $Q_0 \cap Q_1 = \emptyset$, a path $\varpi$ in $\mathcal{T}$ that joins $q_0$ to $q_f$ exists in $\mathcal{T}$ if and only if that path passes through $q_{00}$ and $q_{f0}$, or through $q_{01}$ and $q_{f1}$. If $x$ is the label of the path $\varpi$, then $x$ belongs to $L(\mathcal{T}_0)$ or $L(\mathcal{T}_1)$, respectively. This amounts to $L(\mathcal{T}) = L(\mathcal{T}_0) \cup L(\mathcal{T}_1) = L_0 \cup L_1$, which implies that $L_0 \cup L_1$ is regular.
Corollary

The class of regular languages is closed under intersection. In other words, if $L_0, L_1$ are regular languages, then $L_0 \cap L_1$ is regular.

Proof.

This statement follows immediately by previous theorems and by De Morgan’s law. Specifically, $L_0 \cap L_1 = \overline{\overline{L_0} \cup \overline{L_1}}$, and each subexpression of the right hand side is regular if $L_0$ and $L_1$ are.
Corollary

*Every finite language over an alphabet A is regular.*

Proof.

The empty language is clearly regular. Thus it suffices to show that one-word languages are regular. It is easy to see that if $L = \{ w \}$, where $w = a_{i_0} \ldots a_{i_{\ell-1}}$, then $L$ is accepted by the transition system $\mathcal{T}_w$ given below.

which implies the regularity of $L$. 

\[ \begin{array}{c}
q_0 \quad q_1 \quad \cdots \quad q_\ell \\
\mathcal{T}_w
\end{array} \]

\[ a_{i_0} \quad a_{i_1} \quad a_{i_{\ell-1}} \]
Theorem

If \( L_0, L_1 \) are regular languages, then \( L_0L_1 \) is regular.

Proof.

Assume that both \( L_0 \) and \( L_1 \) are regular languages over the same alphabet \( A \) such that \( L_i = L(\mathcal{T}_i) \), where \( \mathcal{T}_i \), \( i = 0, 1 \), are two transition systems. We assume that each \( \mathcal{T}_i \) has a single initial and a single final state, \( \mathcal{T}_i = (A, Q_i, \theta_i, \{q_{i0}\}, \{q_{i1}\}) \) for \( i = 0, 1 \); also, assume that \( Q_0 \cap Q_1 = \emptyset \).

Define the transition system \( \mathcal{T} = (A, Q_0 \cup Q_1, \theta, \{q_{00}\}, \{q_{f1}\}) \), where \( \theta = \theta_0 \cup \theta_1 \cup \{(q_{f0}, \lambda, q_{01})\} \).
Since $Q_0 \cap Q_1 = \emptyset$, to reach the state $q_{f1}$ from the initial state $q_{00}$ reading the symbols of the word $x$, the transition system $\mathcal{T}$ must pass through the states $q_{f0}$ and $q_{01}$ (via the null transition $(q_{f0}, \lambda, q_{01})$). This happens if and only if $x = uv$, where $(q_{00}, u, q_{f0}) \in \theta_0^*$ and $(q_{01}, v, q_{f1}) \in \theta_1^*$, so $L(\mathcal{T}) = L(\mathcal{T}_0)L(\mathcal{T}_1) = L_0L_1$. Hence, $L_0L_1$ is a regular language.
Theorem

If $L$ is a regular language, then $L^*$ is regular.

Proof.

Let $\mathcal{T} = (A, Q, \theta, \{q_0\}, \{q_f\})$ be a transition system such that $L = L(\mathcal{T})$. Define the transition system $\mathcal{T}' = (A, Q \cup \{q'_0\}, \theta', \{q'_0\}, \{q'_0\})$, where $\theta' = \theta \cup \{(q_f, \lambda, q'_0), (q'_0, \lambda, q_0)\}$ and $q'_0$ is a new state.
Proof (cont’d)
Proof (cont’d)

We have $\lambda \in L(T')$ because $q'_0$ is both the initial and the final state of $T'$. Further, if $w \in L(T)$, we have $(q_0, w, q_f) \in \theta^*$. Since both triples $(q'_0, \lambda, q_0)$ and $(q_f, \lambda, q'_0)$ belong to $\theta$, we obtain $(q'_0, w^k, q'_0) \in \theta^*$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 1$. Therefore, $L^k \subseteq L(T')$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so $L^* \subseteq L(T')$. 
Conversely, if \( u \in L(T') \), the transition system \( T' \) starts in \( q'_0 \) and finishes in \( q'_0 \) while reading the symbols of \( u \). Let \( m \) be the number of times the transition system \( T' \) leaves the state \( q'_0 \) while processing the word \( u \). If \( m = 0 \), then \( u = \lambda \). Otherwise, \( m \geq 1 \) and \( T' \) passes through the sequence of states: \( q_0, \ldots, q_f, q'_0, q_0, \ldots, q_f, q'_0, \ldots, q_f \), where \( q'_0 \) occurs \( m \) times. Here “passes through” means “enters and then leaves.” This implies that we can write \( u = u_0 \cdots u_{m+1} \), where \((q_0, u_i, q_f) \in \theta^* \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq m + 1 \). Thus, \( w \in L^{m+1} \), so \( L(T') \subseteq L^* \). Hence, \( L(T') = L^* \).
Theorem

Let $L$ be a regular language over the alphabet $A$. For every language $K$, both the right and the left quotients $LK^{-1}$ and $K^{-1}L$ are regular.
Proof

We first deal with the left quotient. Let $\mathcal{T} = (A, Q, \theta, Q_0, F)$ be a transition system such that $L = L(\mathcal{T})$. Let $Q_K = \{q \in Q \mid (q_0, x, q) \in \theta^* \text{ for some } q_0 \in Q_0 \text{ and } x \in K\}$ and let $\mathcal{T}_K$ be the transition system $\mathcal{T}_K = (A, Q, \theta, Q_K, F)$. The following statements are easily seen to be equivalent:

1. $y \in K^{-1}L$;
2. $xy \in L$ for some $x \in K$;
3. $(q_0, xy, q') \in \theta^*$ for some $q_0 \in Q_0$ and $q' \in F$;
4. there is $q \in Q_K$ such that $(q_0, x, q) \in \theta^*$ and $(q, y, q') \in \theta^*$ for some $q_0 \in Q_0$ and $q' \in F$;
5. $y \in L(\mathcal{T}_K)$.

From these equivalences it follows that $K^{-1}L = L(\mathcal{T}_K)$, and thus $K^{-1}L$ is regular.
Proof (cont’d)

To make the argument for the right quotient, let $P_K$ be the set 
$P_K = \{ q \in Q \mid (q, z, q') \in \theta^* \text{ for some } q' \in F \text{ and } z \in K \}$. Define the 
transition system $\mathcal{J}^K$ as $\mathcal{J}^K = (A, Q, \theta, Q_0, P_K)$. We have the following 
equivalent statements:

1. $y \in L(\mathcal{J}^K)$;
2. $(q_0, y, q) \in \theta^*$ for some $q_0 \in Q_0$ and some $q \in P_K$;
3. $(q_0, y, q) \in \theta^*$ and $(q, z, q') \in \theta^*$ for some $q_0 \in Q_0$ and some $q' \in F$;
4. $(q_0, yz, q') \in \theta^*$ for some $q_0 \in Q_0$ and some $q' \in F$ and $z \in K$;
5. $yz \in L$ for some $z \in K$;
6. $y \in LK^{-1}$.

Therefore, $LK^{-1} = L(\mathcal{J}^K)$. This proves that the language $LK^{-1}$ is regular. 
Note that this property of closure under quotients does not depend on the 
regularity of $K$. 

Corollary

If $L \subseteq A^*$ is a regular language, then there exists a finite number of distinct left (right) quotients of the form $K^{-1}L$ (of the form $LK^{-1}$), where $K \subseteq A^*$.

Proof.

Suppose that $L = L(\mathcal{T})$, where $\mathcal{T} = (A, Q, \theta, Q_0, F)$. Note that if $K, H$ are two languages such that $Q_K = Q_H$, then $K^{-1}L = H^{-1}L$. In other words, there are no more distinct left quotients than subsets of $Q$, which implies that the number of distinct left quotients of $L$ is finite.
Corollary

If \( L \subseteq A^* \) is a regular language, then there exists a finite number of distinct left (right) derivatives of \( L \).

Proof.

Follows immediately from the previous corollary by considering the quotients of \( L \) and singleton languages \( K = \{ x \} \) for \( x \in A^* \).
Corollary

*If* $L$ *is a regular language, then* $\text{PREF}(L)$, $\text{SUFF}(L)$, *and* $\text{INFIX}(L)$ *are all regular languages.*

Proof.

Follows from $\text{SUFF}(L) = (A^*)^{-1}L$, $\text{PREF}(L) = L(A^*)^{-1}L$ and $\text{INFIX}(L) = L(A^*)^{-1}(L(A^*)^{-1})$. 

□
Example

Using closure properties, it is easy to verify that if $\rho \subseteq A \times A$, then the language $L_\rho \subseteq A^*$ is regular. Indeed, we can write

$$A^* - L_\rho = \bigcup \{ A^*aa'A^* \mid (a, a') \in (A \times A) - \rho \}.$$ 

Note that each language of the form $A^*aa'A^*$ is regular by. Furthermore, since $A$ is a finite set, the right member of the equality is the union of a finite number of regular languages. Therefore, $A^* - L_\rho$ is regular, so implies that $L_\rho$ is regular.