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Density-based clustering regards clusters as subsets in a dissimilarity space \((S, d)\) that have a high object density; these regions are separated by regions of low object density.

dbscan is the best known density-based clustering algorithm. The central idea behind dbscan is the notion that objects are assigned to the same cluster if they are density-reachable from each other, a notion that we discuss next.
Let $D$ be a subset of a dissimilarity space $(S, d)$ referred to as set of objects and $B[x, \epsilon]$ be a closed sphere of radius $\epsilon$ centered in $x$, where $x \in D$. The number of objects of $D$ in $B[x, \epsilon]$ is $|B[x, \epsilon] \cap D|$.

- The set $B[x, \epsilon] \cap D$ will be denoted by $B_D[x, \epsilon]$.
- A region of high density around an object $x$ is a closed sphere $B[x, \epsilon]$ that contains at least $\mu$ objects.
Definition

An object $x$ is said to be an $(\epsilon, \mu)$-core object if $|B_D[x, \epsilon]| \geq \mu$. An $(\epsilon, \mu)$-border object is an object $y$ in $B_D[x, \epsilon]$, where $x$ is a core object such that $|B_D[y, \epsilon]| < \mu$. An object that is neither an $(\epsilon, \mu)$-core object nor an $(\epsilon, \mu)$-border object is an $(\epsilon, \mu)$-noise object.

To simplify the language, when $\epsilon$ and $\mu$ are fixed we omit references to these numbers and we use the terms core object, border object and noise object.
Core, border and noise objects

Example

We have:

\[ |B_D[x, 2]| = 8, |B_D[s, 2]| = 5, |B_D[r, 2]| = 2. \]

Thus, if we take \( \mu = 6 \) and \( \epsilon = 2 \), then \( x \) is a core object, \( s \) is a border object, and both \( r \) and \( u \) are noise objects.
**Definition**

An object $y$ is \((\epsilon, \mu)\)-directly density-reachable from an \((\epsilon, \mu)\)-core object $x$ if $y \in B_D[x, \epsilon]$.

An object $z$ is \((\epsilon, \mu)\)-density reachable from an object $x$ if there exists a sequence of objects $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ with $y_1 = x$ and $y_n = z$ such that $y_{i+1}$ is \((\epsilon, \mu)\)-directly density-reachable from $y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. 
Note that:

- if $z$ is $(\epsilon, \mu)$-density reachable from $x$ through a chain of objects, then the intermediary objects $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ must be core points;
- if $z$ is $(\epsilon, \mu)$-density reachable from a core point $x$ and $u$ is $(\epsilon, \mu)$-density reachable from a core point $z$, then $u$ is $(\epsilon, \mu)$-density reachable from $x$. In other words, the direct reachability is a transitive relation.

Thus, the density-reachability relation is the transitive closure of the direct density-reachability. However, this relation is not symmetric although its restriction to core objects is symmetric.
Definition

An object \( p \) is \((\epsilon, \mu)\)-density connected to an object \( q \) if there exists a core object \( w \) such that both \( p \) and \( q \) are \((\epsilon, \mu)\)-density reachable from \( w \).

Density connectivity is a symmetric relation. Its restriction to density reachable points is reflexive. Also, it is clear that if an object \( p \) is density reachable from a core object \( o \), then \( p \) is density connected to \( o \).
Definition

Let $D$ be a collection of objects. An $(\epsilon, \mu)$-cluster is a non-empty subset $C$ of $D$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- $C$ contains at least one $(\epsilon, \mu)$-core point;
- for all $u, v \in D$, if $u \in C$ and $v$ is $(\epsilon, \mu)$-density reachable from $u$ (which implies that $u$ is a core object), then $v \in C$ (the maximality property);
- for all $u, v \in C$, $u$ is $(\epsilon, \mu)$-density connected to $v$. 
Two border objects of the same cluster $C$ are not necessarily density-reachable from each other. However, there must be a core object in $C$ from which both border objects are density-reachable.

**Definition**

Let $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ be the $(\epsilon, \mu)$-clusters of a database $D$. The *NOISE* is the set of objects in $D$ that do not belong to any cluster $C_j$. 
Theorem

Let $D$ be a collection of objects and let $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ be the $(\epsilon, \mu)$-clusters of $D$. The following hold:

- each cluster $C_j$ contains at least $\mu$ objects;
- if $p \in D$ and $|B_D[p, \epsilon]| \geq \mu$ (which means that $p$ is a core object), then the set $O$ defined as

$$O = \{ o \in D \mid o \text{ is } (\epsilon, \mu)\text{-density reachable from } p \}$$

is a $(\epsilon, \mu)$-cluster;
- if $C$ is a $(\epsilon, \mu)$-cluster and $w \in C$ is a $(\epsilon, \mu)$-core object in $C$ then

$$C = \{ o \in D \mid o \text{ is } (\epsilon, \mu)\text{-density reachable from } w \}.$$
Proof

Let \( C_j \) be an \((\epsilon, \mu)\)-cluster. Since \( C_j \) contains at least an object \( p \), \( p \) must be density-connected with itself via some object \( o \) in \( C_j \). Thus, at least \( o \) must satisfy the core object condition and, therefore \(|B_D(o, \epsilon)| \geq \mu\), which proves Part 1.

To prove Part 2 let

\[
O = \{ o \in D \mid o \text{ is } (\epsilon, \mu)\text{-density reachable from } p \}.
\]

Suppose that \( u \in O \) and \( v \) is \((\epsilon, \mu)\)-density reachable from \( u \). Since \( u \in O \), it follows that \( u \) is \((\epsilon, \mu)\)-density reachable from \( p \); therefore, \( u \in O \).
Proof cont’d

Let now $u, v \in O$. In this case, both $u$ and $v$ are $(\epsilon, \mu)$-density reachable from $p$, which means that they are $(\epsilon, \mu)$-density connected. Thus, $O$ is indeed a cluster.

Let $C$ be a cluster and suppose that $o$ is an object such that $o$ is density-reachable from a core object $w$ of $C$. Then, $o \in C$ by the maximality property of clusters.

Conversely, suppose that $o$ belongs to $C$. Note that $C$ must contain a core point $v$, hence $o$ is density connected to the point $v$ by the definition of clusters. Thus, there exists a core object $p \in C$ such that both $o$ and $v$ are $(\epsilon, \mu)$-density reachable from $p$. This implies that $o$ is density reachable from $p$. 
Clusters are discovered in a two-step approach:

- choose an arbitrary point in the data set satisfying the core point condition as a seed;
- retrieve all points that are density-reachable from the seed, this obtaining the cluster that contains the seed.

A cluster $C$ contains exactly the points that are density-reachable from an arbitrary core point of $C$.

The CLId(clusterId) of points which have been marked as NOISE may be changed later if they are density-reachable from some other core point.
Density connectivity is a symmetric relation. Its restriction to density reachable points is reflexive. If an object \( p \) is density reachable from a core object \( o \), then \( p \) is density connected to \( o \).
- \texttt{dbscan} starts with an arbitrary point \( p \) and retrieves all points density-reachable from \( p \) with respect to the parameters \( \epsilon \) and \( \mu \).
- If \( p \) is a core point, this procedure yields a cluster relative to \( \epsilon \) and \( \mu \).
- If \( p \) is a border point, no points are density-reachable from \( p \) and \texttt{dbscan} visits the next point of the database.
- Since we use global values for \( \epsilon \) and \( \mu \), \texttt{dbscan} may merge two clusters into one cluster, if two clusters of different density are "close" to each other.
Two sets of points having at least the density of the thinnest cluster will be separated from each other only if the distance between the two sets is larger than $\epsilon$. Thus, a recursive call of \texttt{dbscan} may be necessary for the detected clusters with a higher value for $\mu$. 
Assumptions Concerning \texttt{dbscan}

- The set SetOfObjects represents either the whole set of objects or the discovered cluster from the previous run.
- The variable ClusterId ranges over a \textit{countable data type} whose first value is unclassified, second value is noise followed by other values which are integers:

\[
\text{unclassified} < \text{noise} < 1 < 2 < \cdots
\]

- Each object is marked with a ClusterId value, Object.ClId.
- The function nextId(ClusterId) returns the successor of clusterId. The function SetOfObjects.get(i) returns the \(i^{th}\) element of SetOfObjects.
The DBSCAN Algorithm

**Data:** A set of points $\text{SetOfObjects}$, $\epsilon$ and $\mu$;

**Result:** A density-based clustering of $\text{SetOfObjects}$;

// Initially objects in $\text{SetOfObjects}$ are unclassified 
{
ClusterId ← nextId(noise);

for ($i ← 1$ to $|\text{SetOfObjects}|$) do
  Object ← $\text{SetOfObjects}.\text{get}(i)$;
  if (Object.ClId is unclassified) then
    if (ExpandCluster($\text{SetOfObjects}$, Object, ClusterId, $\epsilon$, $\mu$)) then
      ClusterId ← nextId(ClusterId)
    end
  end
end

}
The function ExpandCluster is described next. In this function, the function `SetOfPoints.regionQuery(p, \epsilon)` is called in order to compute $B[p, \epsilon] \cap D$ as a list of points.
The function ExpandCluster

ExpandCluster(SetOfPoints, Point, ClId, $\epsilon, \mu$) {
  seeds ← SetOfPoints.regionQuery(Point, $\epsilon$);
  if (seeds.size < $\mu$) then
    SetOfPoints.changeClId(Point, NOISE);
    return false;
  else
    /* all points in seeds are reachable from Point */
    setOfPoints.changeClId(seeds, ClId);
    seeds.delete(Point);
    while (seeds $\neq$ EMPTY) do
      currentP ← seeds.first;
      result ← SetOfPoints.regionQuery(currentP, $\epsilon$);
      if (result.size $\geq$ $\mu$) then
        for $i$ ← 1 to result.size do
          resultP ← result.get(i);
          if (resultP.ClId $\in\{unclassified, noise\})$
            if (resultP.ClId = unclassified))
              seeds.append(resultP)
          end
        end
        SetOfPoints.changeClId(resultP, ClId);
      end
    end
    seeds.delete(currentP)
  end
  return TRUE
}
SetOf Points is either the whole database or a discovered cluster from a previous run while $\epsilon$ and $\mu$ are the global density parameters determined either manually or according to a certain heuristics. The function SetOf Points.get(i) returns the $i^{th}$ element of SetOfPoints. The most important function used by dbscan is the function ExpandCluster shown next.
The density-based algorithm in \texttt{dbscan} relies on computing all points belonging to an $\epsilon$-neighborhood. A simple approach is to perform a linear search, i.e., always calculating the distances to all other points to find the closest points. This requires $O(n)$ operations, with $n$ being the number of data points, for each time a neighborhood is needed.
Since \texttt{dbscan} deals with each data point once, this results in a $O(n^2)$ runtime complexity. A convenient way in \texttt{R} is to compute a distance matrix with all pairwise distances between points and sort the distances for each point (row in the distance matrix) to precompute the nearest neighbors for each point. However, this method has the drawback that the size of the full distance matrix is $O(n^2)$, and becomes very large and slow to compute for medium to large data sets. To avoid computing the complete distance matrix, \texttt{dbscan} relies on \textit{kd}-trees, a space-partitioning data structure. This data structure allows \texttt{dbscan} to identify all neighbors within a fixed radius $\epsilon$ more efficiently in sub-linear time using on average only $O(n \log n)$ operations per query. This results in a reduced runtime complexity of $O(n \log n)$. 
A direct interface is provided in dbscan, either as

\[ \text{kNN}(z, k, \text{sort=TRUE}, \text{search='kdtree'}, \text{bucketSize} = 10, \]
\[ \text{splitRule = ''suggest'', approx = 0}) \]

or as

\[ \text{frNN}(z, \varepsilon, \text{sort=TRUE}, \text{search='kdtree'}, \text{bucketSize} = 10, \]
\[ \text{splitRule = ''suggest'', approx = 0}) \]

These interfaces differ only in that \text{kNN()} requires \( k \) while \text{frNN()} requires \( \varepsilon \). The data is \( z \) and the result is a list of neighbors for each point in \( z \).
Available search methods are "kdtree", "linear" and "dist". The linear search method does not build a search data structure, but performs a complete linear search to find the nearest neighbors. The dist method precomputes a dissimilarity matrix which is very fast for small data sets, but problematic for large sets. The default method is to build a kd tree. The option suggest uses the best guess of the ANN library given the data; approx greater than zero uses approximate NN search. Only nearest neighbors up to a distance of a factor of \((1 + \text{approx})\epsilon\) will be returned, but some actual neighbors may be omitted potentially leading to spurious clusters and noise points. However, the algorithm will enjoy a significant speedup.
A bidimensional artificial data set that consists of four Gaussian clusters with 100 points each is produced using the following piece of code:

```r
> set.seed(665544)
> n <- 400
> z <- cbind(
+ x = runif(4,0,1) + rnorm(n,sd = 0.1),
+ y = runif(4,0,1) + rnorm(n,sd = 0.1)
+ )
> true_clusters <- rep(1:4,time=100)
```
The data set shown next is produced with

```r
> pdf(''cldbscan.pdf'')
> plot(z,col= true_clusters,pch=true_clusters)
> dev.off()
```
Data set containing 400 points in four Gaussian clusters
A practical choice for $\mu$ (denoted here by $\minPts$) is the number of dimensions plus 1. In this case, the chosen $\mu$ is 3. To decide on the neighborhood radius $\epsilon$ one can use the function `kNNdistplot` computed by

```
> kNNdistplot(z,k=3)
> abline(h=.05, col='red', lty=2)
```

This function yields a plot of the distances to the $k^{th}$ nearest neighbor in decreasing order. The idea is that points located inside clusters will have small $k$-nearest neighbor distance because of their proximity to other points in the same cluster, while noise points are isolated and have a rather large kNN distance.
The resulting graph is shown next. We look for a knee in the plot and one can be found at $\epsilon = 0.05$; this is shown in the graph by a horizontal line at 0.05.
Thus, we can apply dbSCAN with the parameters $\epsilon = 0.05$ and $\mu = 3$ by writing:

```r
> res <- dbscan(z, eps=0.05, minPts = 3)
> res
```

dbscan clustering for 400 objects.
Parameters: $\text{eps} = 0.05$, $\text{minPts} = 3$
The clustering contains 6 cluster(s) and 38 noise points.

```
   0 1 2 3 4 5 6
38 182 79 84 4 4 9
```

Available fields: cluster, eps, minPts

```r
> predict(res,z[1:25,],data = z)
[1] 0 1 1 2 3 1 1 4 6 1 1 2 3 1 1 0 0 1 1 2 3 1 1 2 0
```
The final scatter plot is obtained with

```r
> plot(z,col=res$cluster + 1L,pch=res$cluster + 1L)
```
This scatter plot shows that dbscan correctly identifies the upper cluster and the cluster located in right lower quadrant but merged the two remaining clusters because the region between them has a sufficiently high density. The remaining small clusters are isolated groups of 3 points (passing minPts) and the isolated noise points.
Finally, the function `hullplot` adds convex closures of the clusters to the previous scatter plots. When applied as

```r
> hullplot(z,res)
```
Convex hull of the clusters

The results are shown next

Convex Cluster Hulls